

MONTHLY WEATHER REVIEW.

Editor: Prof. CLEVELAND ABBE. Assistant Editor: FRANK OWEN STETSON.

VOL. XXXIV.

APRIL, 1906.

No. 4

The MONTHLY WEATHER REVIEW is based on data from about 3500 land stations and many ocean reports from vessels taking the international simultaneous observation at Greenwich noon.

Special acknowledgment is made of the data furnished by the kindness of cooperative observers, and by Prof. R. F. Stupart, Director of the Meteorological Service of the Dominion of Canada; Señor Manuel E. Pastrana, Director of the Central Meteorological and Magnetic Observatory of Mexico; Camilo A. Gonzales, Director-General of Mexican Telegraphs; Capt. I. S. Kimball, General Superintendent of the United States Life-Saving Service; Commandant Francisco S. Chaves, Director of the Meteorological Service of the Azores, Ponta Delgada, St. Michaels, Azores; W. N. Shaw, Esq., Secretary, Meteorological Office, London; H. H. Cousins, Chemist, in

charge of the Jamaica Weather Office; Señor Anastasio Alfaro, Director of the National Observatory, San José, Costa Rica; Rev. L. Gangoiti, Director of the Meteorological Observatory of Belen College, Havana, Cuba.

As far as practicable the time of the seventy-fifth meridian, which is exactly five hours behind Greenwich time, is used in the text of the MONTHLY WEATHER REVIEW.

Barometric pressures, both at land stations and on ocean vessels, whether station pressures or sea-level pressures, are reduced, or assumed to be reduced, to standard gravity, as well as corrected for all instrumental peculiarities, so that they express pressure in the standard international system of measures, namely, by the height of an equivalent column of mercury at 32° Fahrenheit, under the standard force, i. e., apparent gravity at sea level and latitude 45°.

SPECIAL ARTICLES, NOTES, AND EXTRACTS.

NOTE ON EVAPORIMETERS.¹

By B. F. E. KEELING, Superintendent Precise Survey, Survey Department, Egypt. Dated Helwan Observatory, Helwan, Egypt, January 2, 1906.

The following note on evaporimeters is suggested by an article on the Piche evaporimeter in the MONTHLY WEATHER REVIEW for June, 1905.

In view of the all important question of water supply in Egypt, evaporimetry is a subject of great interest to meteorologists here. A systematic investigation of the actual evaporation from different classes of water surfaces and cultivated land is being undertaken.

The article in the MONTHLY WEATHER REVIEW for June, 1905, pages 253-5, gave a résumé of Prof. Thomas Russell's experiments on the Piche evaporimeter. A comparison of the values obtained with the different evaporimeters installed at the Helwan Observatory [of the Survey Department, latitude 29° 51' 34" N., longitude 31° 20' 30" E.], will probably be of interest.

(A) The instrument which has been considered as standard has been an open pan Wild evaporimeter mounted in a Renou screen, one and one-half meters by one meter, with double louvred walls. The pan has an area of 250 square centimeters, or about seven and one-half times that used by Professor Russell. When full the water surface is 1.3 centimeters below the rim. Besides this two other evaporimeters have been observed at irregular intervals during the past year.

(B) Of these one is a Piche evaporimeter mounted in the same screen as the Wild instrument. The evaporating surface of the paper disk is about 11.4 square centimeters in area.

(C) The other is an instrument recently designed by Mr. E. B. H. Wade, of the Survey Department of Egypt. Outside the observatory is a tank four meters square and one meter deep, filled with water. In the center of this is a zinc cylinder 50 centimeters in diameter which receives a separate supply of water. The water in this cylinder is automatically maintained to a constant level by an instrument which at the same time measures the quantity of water supplied, i. e., the quantity evaporated from the inner water surface. The water in the outer tank merely acts as a guard ring. The water is fully exposed to sun and wind.

In the accompanying Table 1, are given the ratios Piche/Wild and Wade/Wild. It will be seen that the mean ratio Piche/Wild

is 1.45, or about 10 per cent greater than the factor found by Professor Russell. This is probably accounted for by the difference in dimensions of the evaporimeters used, particularly by the relatively large size of the Wild pan. The ratio Wade/Wild is 1.37.

Taking only the months August, October, November, and December of 1905 the ratio Wade/Piche is 0.96.

TABLE 1.—Comparison of the Wade, Wild, and Piche evaporimeters.

Month,	No. of days.	Mean evapora- tion by Wild.	Ratio— Wade Wild	Differ- ence from final mean.	No. of days	Mean evapora- tion by Wild.	Ratio— Piche Wild	Differ- ence from final mean.
1904.		mm.		Per cent.		mm.		Per cent.
August	27	9	1.45	+6
September	13	8	1.37	0
1905.								
May	21	14	1.33	-3
June	28	13	1.37	0
July	6	9	1.49	+9
August	23	10	1.44	+5	31	10	1.45	+1
September	5	12	1.41	+3	28	9	1.52	+6
October	24	9	1.29	-6	31	10	1.39	-3
November	29	7	1.32	-4	29	7	1.45	+1
December	23	4	1.38	+1	22	4	1.39	-3
		Weighted mean	1.37		Weighted mean	1.44		

NOTE.—The weighted means above given will vary in accordance with the adopted system of weights. If, for instance, we give the respective ratios for the last five months equal values without regarding the number of days of observation or the quantity of evaporation we get the following results:

$$\text{Wade/Wild} = 1.37$$

$$\text{Piche/Wild} = 1.44$$

$$\text{Wade/Piche} = 0.95$$

If, on the other hand, we give weights depending on the total quantity of evaporation, or the product of the number of days in the second and sixth columns by the millimeters in the third and seventh columns, respectively, then we get the following results:

$$\text{Wade/Wild} = 1.36$$

$$\text{Piche/Wild} = 1.44$$

$$\text{Wade/Piche} = 0.94$$

In general the average of any series of observed ratios is correctly found only by reducing each to a common denominator, and then giving each a weight corresponding to its own specific reliability.

¹ By permission of the Director General, Survey Department, Egypt.

In the present case, since nothing is known to the contrary, and since we rather roughly assume that the ratio is the same with all humidities, temperatures, and winds, the mean ratio for any given month must be obtained by dividing the sum total of the daily evaporation measured with one instrument by the corresponding sum total of the simultaneous observations with the other instrument. In the same way the average for several months would be the ratio of the sums of the daily evaporation for the whole period, as measured simultaneously with any two instruments.—EDITOR.

THE NEPHOLOGICAL REVIEW.

The students of meteorology will not be surprised to find that certain branches of this broad subject have been prosecuted to such an extent that special journals have begun to appear, such as the *Beiträge, or Contributions to the Physics of the Free Atmosphere*, which is devoted to the more difficult problems from an observational and theoretical point of view. But the newest journal, namely, *La Revue Néphologique*, published by A. Bracke, at Mons, Belgium, will appeal to a very wide circle of observers. The lessons to be learned from a study of the clouds are as yet but slightly appreciated by either observers, or theorists, or forecasters. The main trouble is that we have no simple method of recording cloud structure and phenomena. Photographs are helpful, but they tell us the internal motions of clouds only when we closely compare several photographs, taken at very short intervals of time. So very few observers are furnished with photogrammeters that we are generally forced to rely upon the very inartistic sketches of the ordinary observers. May the journal of Doctor Bracke stimulate interest and work in this important line of research, and develop a special class of observers who will investigate the minuter details of cloud formation.—C. A.

STORM AND HURRICANE INSURANCE IN THE WEST INDIES.

In the matter of insurance of plantations in the West Indian Islands against "damage by hurricanes," we would call attention to the general remarks of Mr. Howard E. Simpson, on "Tornado Insurance," published in the December, 1905, REVIEW.¹ The term "hurricane," like "tornado," can not be so defined but that the insurance companies will often be legally and properly able to evade payment of losses. It is in the interest of the insured, and of fair business dealing, to introduce into the tornado policy provisions against destruction by the directly destructive agents, i. e., wind, waves, rain, drought, frost, hail, lightning, and omit the indirect, less definite terms, tornado, hurricane, cold wave, thunderstorm, etc. For centuries we have insured against loss by accidental fire, without pretending to mention the specific agencies that may start the fire. We insure a plate glass or a mirror against loss by accidental breakage without specifying that the break must be due to a runaway horse or a stone thrown by a boy. We can not imagine that a merchant will insure his goods in the West Indies against the wind or lightning that accompanies a hurricane and not also insure it against the wind or lightning that may occur without any connection with a hurricane. The complex combinations of winds, waves, lightning, and hail involved in the idea of a hurricane, tornado, or blizzard need not enter into the text of an insurance policy, but should certainly be replaced by special mention of the directly destructive individual agents. The Weather Bureau has no desire to see the insurance business conducted in such a way that local observers will be daily called upon to testify in the courts as to whether certain destruction has been caused by a hurricane or not. At some of our stations the official in charge is overrun with subpoenas requiring him to bring his records into court and to stand a cross examination on the

weather. It is to be feared that this new departure in insurance will both increase these labors and responsibilities and also increase the ease with which insurance companies evade the payment of losses.

The rate of insurance against damage by tornadoes could, as was shown by Mr. Simpson, be included safely in the ordinary rate against loss by fire and other sources of damage or in the ordinary marine risk. The same remark may be made as to insurance against loss by hurricane. Destruction of plantations, crops, and buildings by hurricanes is a rare occurrence, and if the policy be restricted to damage done by rain or floods within a continuous twenty-four-hour period accompanying a gale or hurricane, then the risk is still further diminished. As tropical buildings of all kinds are of slight structure, and as tropical plantations rapidly recover from a hurricane, the injury done by the latter on land is apt to be overestimated. Probably the fright and the disheartenment and the change in business relations, leading sometimes to the complete desertion of the island or neighborhood, is more important than the direct loss on the property.—C. A.

CLOUD BANNERS.

Every one who has lived among mountains has seen the clouds formed by the currents of moist air moving up the slopes. Sometimes the strong wind prevails only near the very top of a mountain, in which case the cloud forms a hood or cap, closely fitting and hiding the mountain top. At other times we have at the top a strong wind without enough moisture to form a cap cloud, a cape, or a tablecloth, as it is sometimes called; but, nevertheless, close to leeward of the mountain top, there is a small special region of slightly lower pressure, sometimes known as a region of discontinuity, analogous in many respects to the region immediately behind a pier in the strong current of a river. Into this region the air flows from all sides, producing a mass of whirls, analogous to the eddies behind a river pier, and, as it expands into this region, it expands just enough to form a slight cloud or haze, which floats like a flag or banner to leeward of the mountain top. The appearance, like smoke or steam, is apt to deceive a careless observer, for the whole phenomenon is simply a cloud, analogous to some forms of cirri. When the mountain top is covered with snow the drifting particles caught within this region of discontinuity also produce the appearance of steam or smoke, but it is simply drifted snow. A careful record of these cloud banners would add considerably to our knowledge of local climatology, since we so rarely can have observers stationed on mountain summits.

We are indebted to Mr. G. N. Salisbury, Section Director, Seattle, Wash., for a few observations on the banners of Mount Rainier, and we hope that many more may be recorded. He states that on March 6, 1906:

The mountain was visible and entirely clear when I left my home on the hills at 7:15 a. m. About 8:30 a. m. the captain of the small excursion steamer *Acme* on Lake Washington, adjacent to this city, telephoned to me that there was apparently a heavy column of smoke or steam ascending from the peak of Mount Rainier and that a large number of people were watching it from the shore of the lake. * * * It could be plainly seen from the State University, where it was observed by Prof. Henry Landes, of the chair of geology, who declared it to be merely a good specimen of a cloud banner, due to a warm south wind blowing over the peak. Professor Landes has made several ascents of the mountain, and is well versed in mountain lore.

The phenomenon has been observed several times before. * * * A similar appearance was noticed in December, 1894, and caused some remark. The Post-Intelligencer sent an expedition to the mountain at that time, but of course they could not get to the top at that season, only to the 9000-foot level. It was determined that the phenomenon noticed then was caused by masses of snow being carried by violent southwest winds across the lip of the crater, giving the appearance of masses of smoke, as the snow was broken into fine particles.

There are, however, steam caverns in the crater, from the crevices of which a warm mist ascends in summer, as has been experienced by parties who have ascended the mountain and slept in the crater.

C. A.

¹ Vol. XXXIII, pp. 534-539.

THE INDEXING OF MARINE REPORTS.

The meteorologist daily needs an index to all stations at which observations have been made, and a second index to the places where the data are published, either in tabular summaries or on charts. He needs an index for ocean data as well as for land data, and these indexes for printed matter should be supplemented by a third, relative to unpublished manuscript matter preserved in archives and libraries. The German Government has begun to meet these needs as far as relates to marine records by publishing its *Tabellarische Reiseberichte*, or table of the log books of steamers and sailing ships preserved in the library of the Deutsche Seewarte at Hamburg. The first volume related to the year 1903, and the second brings the record down to the end of 1904. As the number of logs is so great the index includes only the more important, but after careful sifting we find that the second volume includes 1500 long voyages by about five hundred different vessels. For each voyage the index gives the dates, the locations, the times of entering and leaving the trade regions, and in special cases the highest and lowest latitudes, the dates of storms, the ocean currents, temperatures, and interesting local phenomena, such as meteors, auroras, ice floes and icebergs, and sudden changes of wind or weather. This work is an elaboration of similar undertakings by the English, Russian, and French governments, and is a continuation of the indexes or guides (fuehrer) prepared by Knipping, Koeppen, and other officials at the Seewarte since 1896.

We are informed that other nations, and possibly the United States, are preparing analogous indexes to their archives. We recall vividly the huge mass of log books collected by Matthew F. Maury between 1840 and 1860, which were destroyed as waste paper about 1869 or 1870, and we hope that such a fate will not befall the modern collection of marine reports. All that we know of ocean meteorology is embalmed in these old log books, whose contents have as yet been very imperfectly analyzed and studied. Notwithstanding their great volume and awkward shape, they should be preserved for at least a century, as it is certain that they will be needed by those who will study meteorology when we are dead and gone.

What would we not now give for full records of Hakluyt, Dampier, and earlier voyagers, and the possibility thereby afforded of studying the changes in the oceanic climates? What an invaluable contribution would it not be if we could recover that continuous record of the height of the Nile at Cairo, which runs back more than three thousand years, but nearly all of which has been lost, excepting here and there a fragment? If we are unable to answer many queries as to secular changes in climate, it is largely because we have lost the records preserved by engineers and observers from most ancient dates. Students search for these old records among the hieroglyphs of Egypt, the cuneiform records of Assyria, the old writings of China and India, the annals and diaries of the monasteries of the Middle Ages; and it is certain that generations hence will search for such fragments of our own records as may survive the ravages of time.

The ancients long since discovered that papyrus and parchment were destructible and that burned clay tablets or bricks were the most enduring of all. We have learned that if the light of the sun and the oxygen of the air are shut out we may preserve the very best of our tender paper records, in properly built libraries, for only a few centuries. They are worthy all the care that we can bestow upon them; it is a sad sight to behold unique, invaluable records, inscribed on the poorest paper, crumbling to dust under the influence of mildew, sunlight, and the noxious vapors given off by our gas lights. They should be studied from many points of view, indexed, and summarized before they disappear.—C. A.

THE PUBLICATION OF THE CHICAGO MEMOIRS.

Many of these memoirs were published in Parts I, II, and III of the Weather Bureau Bulletin 11, but the most important memoirs on the dynamics and physics of the earth's atmosphere still await publication. This delay was caused primarily by the assignment of Doctor Fassig to other duties; no provision having been made for the publication of these important papers, except a general understanding given by Professor Harrington that the Weather Bureau would be responsible for the work, the completion of Bulletin 11 was assigned to the Editor of the *MONTHLY WEATHER REVIEW*, and at present it seems likely that the unpublished memoirs will almost necessarily be printed first in the pages of the *MONTHLY WEATHER REVIEW*, as time and opportunity may occasionally allow.

Bulletin 11, or the Report of the International Meteorological Congress held at Chicago, Ill., was planned to contain memoirs as follows:

- Section I, pp. 1-67. Weather services and methods.
- Section II, pp. 68-149. Rivers and floods.
- Section III, pp. 150-206. Marine meteorology.
- Section IV, pp. 207-394. History and bibliography.
- Section V, pp. 395-459. Agricultural meteorology.
- Section VI, pp. 460-583. Atmospheric electricity and terrestrial magnetism. (Two important memoirs by Neumayer on ocean meteorology and terrestrial magnetism, respectively, were apparently lost in the mails, and have in substance been rewritten and published elsewhere.)
- Section VII, pp. 585-686. Climatology.
- Section VIII, pp. 687-772. Instruments and methods of investigation.
- Section IX, theoretical meteorology, and Section X, thunderstorms and local storms, still await publication.—C. A.

THE WARMTH OF DECEMBER, 1905.

In the *MONTHLY WEATHER REVIEW* for August, 1894, p. 329, we had occasion to figure on the meteorological influence of a forest fire. We now notice that a daily newspaper has started the idea that possibly changes in climate, such as the mild weather of December, 1905, may be due to the great consumption of coal, especially in our large cities. It is a sufficient answer to this suggestion to say that more coal is consumed in proportion as the weather is colder, and that the warmth of December, 1905, should not be attributed to the coal consumed, any more than should the cold of December, 1904. A correspondent estimated that 100,000 tons are consumed daily in New York city. Possibly 5 per cent of the heat thus produced is added to the atmosphere as the latent heat of steam, while 95 per cent belongs to the dry gases, the CO_2 , of the atmosphere. According to the best engineering figures a pound of coal, as used for making steam, evaporates from 12 to 15 pounds of water, 20 per cent of the heat being useless as far as the engine is concerned. This steam adds a little more moisture to the air of a large city, as well as a corresponding amount of heat to its atmosphere. On the other hand the sun itself pours a large quantity of heat into the city. Thus, during December, 1905, in the latitude of New York, the surface of the ground receives an average of 2.7×1375.2 calories per square centimeter per day; a vertical column receives much more than a horizontal area at this latitude and season of the year; the total received by a surface normal to the sun's rays, that is to say by the atmosphere itself, amounts to very nearly 2.0 gram calories per square centimeter per minute, or about 960 gram calories per day of eight hours of average sunshine. As there are many millions of square centimeters in a square mile we see at once that the amount of heat poured into the atmosphere over the total area of New York by the sun is so immensely superior to that furnished by the burning of 100,000 tons of coal that the latter is unimportant in general climatological studies.—C. A.

STYLE OF METEOROLOGICAL PUBLICATIONS.

It would be well if certain meteorological institutions, in publishing the results of observations, would keep in view the requirements of the libraries in which their publications are to be bound and preserved. The Weather Bureau Library is embarrassed by the receipt of many periodical printed reports of unwieldy size. In other cases the size of page varies from year to year, or is even altered in the middle of a year; and again the publications of a single institution exhibit a variety of sizes in reports which, but for this fact, it would be desirable to bind in one volume.

The latter case is illustrated by the publications of the Meteorological Service of the Azores. These consist of single sheets of three different sizes. The type is unnecessarily large and two of the three forms of page used are of awkward dimensions. A uniform quarto page might be substituted in these cases by the use of smaller type.

The *Anales del Instituto Físico-geográfico Nacional de Costa Rica*, in which are published the very complete meteorological observations made by the Institute at San José, were formerly issued in a size not much exceeding the quarto, and small enough to be accommodated on the quarto shelves of libraries. Volume IX, however, which has recently been received by the Weather Bureau, is an unwieldy folio, having a page 16 by 12 inches, about one third of which (on most of the pages) is waste margin. Though the binder will reduce these dimensions somewhat, the volume will still be too large to be placed with the earlier numbers, an obvious disadvantage to any one consulting the file.

Capricious changes in the titles of serials, eccentricities in pagination, and many other vagaries on the part of authors and editors might be mentioned in this connection, but would take us too far afield.—C. F. T.

COSMIC RELATIONS OF THE ATMOSPHERE.

In reviewing a recent work by J. M. Schaeberle, of Ann Arbor, Mich., as published in the *Astronomische Nachrichten*, Dr. Johann Riem, of Berlin, has the following paragraph in the *Beiblätter* for 1906, page 57:

The assumption that certain terrestrial phenomena, like auroras and magnetic disturbances, occur when the earth passes through streams of matter emanating from the sun, is generally opposed by the fact that there is no common periodicity in these phenomena. On the other hand Schaeberle shows that such a periodicity is not to be expected, unless one assumes that the initial velocity with which the matter proceeds from the sun is the same in all these streams. He computes a table giving the following quantities for the respective initial velocities, V_0 , in English miles per second; namely, T_1 , the time, expressed in days, elapsing until the stream starting from the sun reaches the orbit of the earth; r , the distance (in radii of the earth's orbit as unit) to which the particles can attain; V_1 , the velocity in miles per second with which they pass the earth's orbit; and T_2 , the interval in days within which the current passing out of the earth's orbit returns to it again, as shown in the following table:

V_0	T_1	r	V_1	T_2
376.76	64.6	1.0	0.0
381.56	33.2	2.0	18.3	332
381.78	29.7	4.0	22.4	1063
381.93	28.8	6.0	23.6	1869
382.00	27.4	∞	25.9	∞

From this it appears that while the velocity, V_1 , with which the particles cut across the earth's orbit may increase from 0 to 26 miles, the initial velocity increases by only one-tenth of 1 per cent.¹ With an initial velocity of 382 miles per second, or above it, the material is scattered through space to infinity; for less velocities, after an interval of from 27 to 65 days, we get traces of an influence on the earth. If the current has considerable inclination to the vertical at the sun's surface, then the phenomena are considerably more complex.

¹Thus in the text; but 1.4 per cent would seem to be correct.—C. A.

Applying this argument to a comet, of which V is the radial component of the stream, and v the velocity of the comet in its orbit, then the relative velocities before and after the perihelion passage will be as $V+v$ to $V-v$. This explains the variation in the comet's brightness and the departures from the law that would make the diminution of brightness a simple function of the distances from the sun and the earth to the comet.

THE PROVINCE OF THE MONTHLY WEATHER REVIEW.

Several scientific periodicals are published in the United States in which attention is given to meteorology. The oldest of these is the *American Journal of Science* in which Redfield, Mitchell, Olmstead, Hare, Loomis, Tracy, Ferrel, DeForrest, and others published important papers. The well-known journal "Science," beginning about 1875, has continued to offer a field for the interchange of views on every branch of meteorology and has been distinguished by the number of short articles as compared with the longer elaborate investigations. The only periodical specially devoted to meteorology was the *American Meteorological Journal* founded by Harrington in 1884, and maintained at his own private expense until 1892, when it was adopted by the New England Meteorological Society and Ginn and Co., publishers.

With the advent of Professor Harrington as Chief of the Weather Bureau, July 1, 1891, the *MONTHLY WEATHER REVIEW*, which had been restricted to the publication of data and notes by the officials of the Weather Bureau, was authorized to enlarge its scope, so that when the *American Meteorological Journal* ceased, at the close of its 12th volume, the *MONTHLY WEATHER REVIEW* became the natural and most convenient medium for the publication of meteorological communications of all kinds. On the other hand there has been a danger lest its official character should give undue weight to its editorial notes and to the special articles contributed by both official and nonofficial meteorologists.

As the Chief of Bureau has made the Editor largely responsible for the character of the material published in the *REVIEW* he has endeavored, by allowing the greatest freedom of publication, to encourage everyone interested in meteorology to publish his best ideas and to philosophically accept honest and kind criticism when the latter is animated solely by a desire to advance our knowledge of the subject. Of course criticism has always something of a personal aspect; it is liable to arouse opposition, replies, and counter replies, and to wound one's personal pride, but by many years of experience we have learned that there are many who hold the progress of science as something far more important than their own personal triumphs. There are those who can calmly weigh the arguments pro and con, and decide with fairness whether a certain view or theory is in accordance with the facts and in accord with the present state of our knowledge; whether it is an error long since overthrown or whether it is an hypothesis too far in advance of our present knowledge to be demonstrable now and one which must therefore be left to future generations to settle.

The sciences can advance only step by step. No one can tell where or when the next important step will originate. Many humble beginners may suggest good ideas that will be confirmed by more eminent investigators after years of work. We must be careful not to ridicule a new hypothesis, but equally careful not to adopt it as a well established principle for fear lest thereby we may be led astray. There has always been a contest between the dullards and conservatives on one side and the bright theorists on the other. The legitimate use of the imagination is the most important consideration to a man engaged in research, but the illegitimate use of the imagination is very dangerous.

If the Editor of the *MONTHLY WEATHER REVIEW* occasionally allows the publication of a memoir in which the imagination is more prominent than the facts, it is not that he wishes to assist in the propaganda of some new idea, but because he is

confident that the ability of the investigator will eventually enable him to right himself and find his way out of the woods into the clear light of some important truth as yet unknown to us all.

If, on the other hand, the Editor sometimes rejects a communication in which imagination is more prominent than the facts, or in which the facts have been distorted so as to appear to support a preconceived theory, this may be because meteorology is overburdened with ill-founded notions, and students must be discouraged from pursuing really foolish or unimportant lines of work while the important problems of meteorology are almost neglected on account of their difficulty.

The MONTHLY WEATHER REVIEW is therefore a medium for honest, rational discussion of every important problem of meteorology, whether it be approached from the statistical, the experimental, or the mathematical side. It is not carrying on an unreasonable propaganda.—C. A.

DIURNAL VARIATION OF THE BAROMETER.

An article in *Gaea*, for August, 1905, by Doctor Korselt, of the Realgymnasium, or high school, at Annaberg, Germany, on the causes of the diurnal oscillation of the barometer, attempts to show how this oscillation is an important link in the chain of phenomena that results from the unequal warming of our atmosphere by insolation, and its unequal cooling by radiation. This paper is an elaboration of one presented by Doctor Korselt, in 1893, to the International Meteorological Congress at Chicago.

Korselt develops the idea that the atmosphere may be considered as a heat engine, maintained in operation by the periodical accession of solar heat, in which the motion of the atmosphere is the work that is done. The location of the driving force, analogous to a steam boiler, is in the Tropics. The pushing of the hot air from the Torrid Zone toward either pole, and its return as cold air, is renewed daily by the rotation of the earth, and is analogous to the expansion and contraction of the steam cylinder. His memoir of 1905 develops this conclusion in a popular way, without the ordinary formulas of mechanics; and he also concludes that the minute study of the daily barometric oscillation can be of great value for practical forecasting, because it ought to give us information about conditions in the atmosphere at altitudes which balloons have not yet been able to attain. If, for instance, we compiled a daily weather chart, showing the observed difference between the barometric ranges by day and by night (that is to say, the day range between the 10 a. m. maximum and the 4 p. m. minimum, and also the night range between the 10 p. m. maximum and the 4 a. m. minimum), we shall, he thinks, probably find that any temporary area of low pressure has a tendency to move toward the region where this difference of the ranges is a minimum.

The application of Korselt's rule can probably be tested in the United States better than in any other part of the world, since every regular station has its self-recording barometer, and could easily telegraph every morning the extent of the day range and night range during the preceding twenty-four hours. On the other hand these ranges are so small, and often so completely covered up by the nonperiodic changes, that relatively very large and misleading errors would seem to be inevitable.—C. A.

INFLUENCE OF THE OCEAN ON CONTINENTAL PRECIPITATION.

In a recent paper before the Société Helvétique des Sciences Naturelles on the interchange of moisture between land and sea,¹ Prof. Dr. Ed. Brückner estimates that 93 per cent of all the water evaporated from the ocean is returned to it in the

¹ Sur le bilan du cycle de l'eau sur la terre. Archives des sci. phys. et nat. Genève, Oct., 1905. Tome 20, p. 427-30.

form of precipitation, leaving but 7 per cent available for distribution over the land surface. Of the total precipitation over the land, 20 per cent is supplied directly by the ocean, while the remaining four-fifths is the recondensation of vapor evaporated from the continents.

Professor Brückner's figures appear to be based upon the following approximate data:

1. Total evaporation from the sea, 384,000 cubic kilometers.
2. Total precipitation upon the land surface, 122,000 cubic kilometers.

3. Total volume of water returned to the sea by rivers, 24,000 cubic kilometers.

It is evident that in the long run as much water must be returned to the ocean as is taken from it. We may consider that this water is returned to the ocean in three ways: (a) by precipitation of water evaporated from the ocean surface; (b) by precipitation of water evaporated from the land and carried by winds over the ocean; (c) by rivers.

The rivers, therefore, are the means by which the land returns to the ocean all of the oceanic waters carried over the land and not returned in the form of aqueous vapor (as given under b above), and the total volume of the rivers therefore represents the difference between the amount of vapor passing from the sea over the land and that passing from the land over the sea.

By subtracting the third quantity from the first, we obtain the total precipitation over the ocean, while the difference between the second quantity and the third gives the land precipitation due to evaporation from the land.

If we might depend upon the accuracy of these figures and the underlying assumptions, it would appear that were the influence of the oceans eliminated the continents would still receive four-fifths of their present precipitation. But it is obvious that the three quantities above given are derived from measurements both incomplete and inexact. The accurate determination of evaporation is a problem that much investigation has never solved. Of the total discharge of the Amazon, the largest of rivers, and of the rainfall in its sparsely inhabited basin we have but a vague idea. The large rivers of China have never been systematically measured, and the same is true of the precipitation over extensive provinces of this secluded country. Africa has many regions as yet guiltless of the rain gage and rivers unstudied by the hydrographic engineer. In all countries numerous smaller streams, individually too unimportant to demand investigation, augment by a considerable total annual volume the waters of the sea. With the spread of civilization and the increased application of scientific methods to practical ends, we may hope to approximate closer and closer to the true values of such large factors as Professor Brückner considers. In the meantime, his figures may be provisionally accepted as indicating that the direct influence of the ocean upon continental precipitation is less than has been generally supposed.—F. O. S.

PRESSURE AND RAINFALL OVER THE INDIAN MONSOON AREA.

Dr. W. L. Dallas, first assistant of the Indian Meteorological Office, has presented to the American Philosophical Society a memoir on the above subject, of which an abstract is published in the proceedings of that society, Vol. XLIV, pages 159-163, from which we quote the following:

The investigation has brought out certain relationships which appear at least worthy of record. The tentative conclusions arrived at are as follows:

(1) That over the trades-monsoon area, and most markedly so over the equatorial belt, there occur four-year oscillations of pressure; (2) that during the rising portions of these oscillations the *general* rainfall of the trades-monsoon area is below, and during the falling portions is above the average, with a well-marked minimum of rainfall in the first year of the cycle and a well-marked maximum of rainfall in the third year; (3)

that from the Antarctic, or extreme southern regions, there emanate at irregular intervals rays or streamers, of varying extent and intensity, which occasion increased atmospheric pressure over the affected area; (4) these rays, or streamers, are apparently not in the least in the nature of waves, as they affect large areas practically simultaneously and continue for considerable periods; (5) when these rays, or streamers, are frequent and extensive, as in portions of the years 1899 and 1900, the pressure ranges largely above the normal, but exhibits large oscillations or fluctuations; when, on the contrary, they are absent, as in portions of the years 1898 and 1899, the pressure is low and the oscillations small; (6) these variations are superposed on the four-year cycle of the tropical belt, and are spasmodic, occurring at intervals over irregular areas, so that their influence occasions irregular variations of rainfall and irregularities in the pressure cycles.

There appears to be no satisfactory explanation either of the four-year cycle of pressure over the trades-monsoon area or of the irregular spasmodic disturbances of pressure referred to above. With regard to the cycles it is possible that compensatory actions are at work, so that when atmospheric pressure increases in one part of the world it decreases in another; though the evidence of the barometry of the United States is opposed to this, and rather suggests that the principal secular variations of pressure are of a uniform character over the whole globe. It is impossible to believe that the variations of pressure are a result of variations of rainfall. For one thing the variations are as marked in a dry area like Aden as in a wet area like Bombay, and for another the evidence, so far as it can be sifted, shows that the variations of pressure precede the variations of rainfall. Thus the increase of pressure which culminated in the large excess of pressure in the months of July, August, and September, 1899, commenced in February of that year, thus preceding by some months, and not succeeding, the scanty rainfall of that season.

Having had an opportunity of examining the original extensive manuscript of Mr. Dallas, the Editor feels some doubt as to whether a four-year cycle is sufficiently well established by this beginning of a research that in fact ought to include a larger area and a longer period of time than the seven years for which Mr. Dallas collected the necessary data.

It will be remembered that some years ago Hildebrandsson published a series of tables and charts showing the distribution of pressure over the whole globe, month by month, for ten years, and a few charts showing special types of distribution; from these it appears that not only is the atmosphere subject to areas of high pressure and low pressure that have long been known as subpermanent, namely, slowly changing conditions, but superposed upon these are departures from the monthly normals which are of the same nature over large portions of the globe, so that sometimes one-third or one-half of the isobars show an abnormal positive departure while the others show a negative. These areas of positive and negative departure seemed to Hildebrandsson to be subject to no law and no system of cyclic change, while the old-fashioned subpermanent areas first revealed by Buchan's maps do show appreciable systematic changes. But the idea expressed in the word "systematic," or "cyclic," or "periodic," often depends wholly upon our point of view. There can be no doubt but what the atmosphere obeys in every respect the laws of mechanics, and these must pervade the changes that seem to us so irregular. We can not expect to find many changes recurring after definite intervals of time, and therefore technically periodic. Even the diurnal and the annual periodic changes have a wide range of irregularity, most plausibly due to the action of the variable quantities of moisture and cloud in the atmosphere and the variable influences of the irregular contours of the respective continents. We may, however, understand that the reaction of continents and oceans on the atmosphere will introduce local peculiarities in the distribution of temperature, pressure, winds, and rain, and among these local peculiarities there will often appear something like a period as regards time, or a cycle of changes repeating themselves rather irregularly as regards location and time. Thus the tropical areas of high pressure over the north Atlantic and north Pacific are perpetually shifting about—north, or west, or east, or south—as well as increasing and diminishing as to their central maximum pressures. These shifts may be said

to control the weather of the adjoining continental coasts. They were perceived promptly in the daily work of the Weather Bureau in 1871 and made the basis of improvements in what would otherwise have been rough forecasts of the weather. The movements of these areas of high pressure northward and southward were studied very satisfactorily by means of our daily simultaneous weather charts of the Northern Hemisphere, 1875-1889; in fact, the meteorologist, A. Poincaré, of the Meteorological Society of France, with much plausibility showed that the north-south motion was partially controlled by the monthly change of the moon in declination, although the larger part of the motion was due to the complex internal hydrodynamics of the earth's atmosphere.

The Editor has often pointed out that there is every reason to believe that all the important phenomena of climatology are the result of hydrodynamic and thermodynamic combinations within our atmosphere, and are not due to any external variation of the moon, or the radiation of the sun, or cosmic influences in general. To us cosmic meteorology, so called, is the fairy realm of the science, full of beautiful problems for the future, but far removed from the severe practical problems of terrestrial meteorology, and not to be cultivated by us until we have made better progress than now in the study of the atmosphere itself.

The geographic cycles and the chronologic periods that have been worked out by Hildebrandsson, Dallas, and others have their most pronounced development in that remarkable annual revolution by means of which the southeast trade winds of the southern Indian Ocean become the southwest monsoon of India and Cochin China. We do not expect that further study will show important new chronologic periods, but we may expect to find very important geographic cycles, such that, for instance, the droughts and floods of Australia and southern Asia and eastern Africa transmit their influences eastward over the North Pacific and find some slight response in North America. By an arrangement with Professor Hildebrandsson we have undertaken to extend his work, and hope eventually to publish the necessary series of charts for a period of about thirty years, so as to obtain a more correct view of these general phenomena and their relation to the internal economy or conservation of energy that characterizes our own atmosphere.—C. A.

VINCENT'S BIBLIOGRAPHY OF TREATISES ON METEOROLOGY.

The meteorological "Annuaire" of the Royal Observatory of Belgium has been published for nearly seventy years quite regularly. At first it was a combined *annuaire* for astronomy and meteorology, but since 1901 the two branches of science have been published separately, the latter under the care of Prof. A. Lancaster. In each annual volume, in addition to a large mass of tabular details relative to the climate of Brussels and meteorology in general, there are also several chapters of very general interest.

The volume for 1905 contains a contribution by E. Vandervelden on the study of the frosts of spring and autumn, and a memoir by J. Vincent on the bibliography of treatises on meteorology; the latter occupies 45 pages. The author says that it frequently happens that persons who are desirous of posting themselves as to the present state of meteorology inquire what works are most to be recommended. This demand can perhaps best be answered by publishing a list of the best treatises in various languages. But such a list is much longer than one would expect; the various authors have written for a great variety of readers, from the most elementary books for children up to the more difficult technical treatises. It is therefore impossible to recommend any particular work unless one knows the capacity of the would-be reader. Consequently the latter should examine the general list of treatises and

select the books whose titles seem promising; and eventually he must study several of these before he feels entirely satisfied. Here we find meteorology taught from the point of view of the sailor, the farmer, the physician, the merchant, the astronomer, the physicist, the forecaster, and perhaps some might even say the mathematician. The whole subject has many aspects, and each looks at it from his own point of view. Vincent's list is classified according to the languages in which the treatises are written, rather than the countries in which they are published. His list of authors, names, and dates of the various editions and translations will enable those interested in the subject to look up the books themselves in such libraries as may be at hand.

The numbers of the titles are as follows: Greek, 2 titles; Latin, 43; French, 65; German, 121; English, 42; Italian, 13; Dutch, 5; Russian, 5; Danish, 1; Spanish, 2; Hungarian, 1; Norwegian, 1; Portuguese, 1.

Many of these titles, especially those in French, German, and English, are translations of treatises already published in other languages, so that the whole number of independent works is about 200.—C. A.

METEOROLOGY IN EGYPT.

We learn from H. G. Lyons, Esq., Director General of the Survey Department, Cairo, Egypt, that the following changes will be made in the publication of the meteorological observations of Egypt and the Sudan, commencing January, 1906.

(1) The daily observations made at climatological stations will no longer be published monthly, but will be included in the annual report.

(2) The Monthly Weather Summary will be enlarged, and will include additional stations so as to connect the Egyptian and Sudan area with those of India and Europe, and will give a detailed summary of the climate of the month, together with the mean and extreme values of the principal meteorological phenomena.

(3) The Annual Meteorological Report will include:

- (a) An account of the climate of the year in Egypt and the Sudan.
- (b) The hourly observations made at the Helwan Observatory.
- (c) The daily observations made at the climatological stations.
- (d) The measurements of rainfall and the river gage readings of the Nile.

THE COLORS OF DUST-HAZE.

The German steamer *Schonfels* reports a sand storm for two days, February 16 and 17, in the Red Sea. The air was thick with a yellowish mist; every distant object had its tinge of yellow. These sand storms are the accompaniments of areas of low pressure with high winds that pass from northern Africa on to the Mediterranean, and are themselves the whirls that are formed between great areas of high pressure over Europe and the Sahara of Africa and Syria. But what we would especially call attention to is the fact that the reddish and yellowish sands, and the corresponding red and yellow hazes of the sky, differ entirely in appearance from the very white haze that accompanies the harmattan which blows from the same region southward and southwestward until it reaches the adjacent Atlantic Ocean. This northeasterly harmattan, with its white haze, occurs at the same time as the southerly sirocco with its yellowish and reddish haze, and both seem to represent the outflow from a mass of dry air, descending on the Sahara and the Sudan under the Tropic of Cancer, especially during the months of December–February. The dust of the sirocco is essentially sand, but that of the harmattan consists of the minutest shells and fragments of shells of fresh water infusoria, and microscopic algae or diatoms. The whiteness of the diatom dust, as it gathers on the decks and rigging of the vessels passing through a harmattan, like the whiteness of the harmattan haze and its hazy sky, is due not to any special color of the diatom shells, since they are composed of transparent pure quartz, but is one of the many and varied diffraction phenomena produced by the action of minute irregular objects on a beam of light. If these objects are of nearly

the same size, shape, and distance they produce a white haze, with such colored borders and spectra as we see in halo and rainbow phenomena; but if they are very irregular as to size and shape we have only the whitish haze without well-defined color bands. On the other hand the red and yellow tints of the sirocco haze seem to be due, not to any irregularity of shape or size, but to the color of the stony particles themselves as brought out by transmitted light. A very complete exposition of diffraction phenomena will be found in Chapters VII and XX of the new treatise on physical optics by Prof. R. W. Wood.—C. A.

CAN WE ARGUE FROM THE CLIMATE BACK TO THE OROGRAPHY?

An interesting application of our knowledge of the physics of the atmosphere is discussed by Sir Clements Markham, in the July number of the Geological Journal of London, 1905.

Explorers in the Antarctic regions have observed warm southerly winds on the mountainous eastern coast of Victoria Land. The existence of such winds arouses the question as to what they can teach us relative to the extent and shape of the Antarctic Continent. Thus far geographers have located four masses of land along the Antarctic Circle, and between them a submerged plateau, at a depth of 250 fathoms, all of which appear to form the northern coast of Victoria Land. Sir Clements's argument, to the effect that the eastern coast of Victoria Land sweeps farther south toward Graham Land, is based upon the probability that the warm southerly winds are not foehn winds, since they are reported to be damp and laden with snow, and therefore could not have just previously descended from mountains. They are oftener from the southwest than from the south. A little to the west is Mount Erebus, over which the winds come in an upper current from the west, as shown by the smoke,¹ and do not descend to sea level. It is, therefore, reasonable to suppose that, since they are not foehn winds, they must come from an open ocean to the south, possibly far south, beyond the South Pole, and therefore from the open Weddell Sea beyond the pole, just as warm north winds reach the east coast of Victoria Land from the open ocean to the north. It is possible, as stated by Mr. Shaw, that the snow coming with the south winds may be a surface drift, but in fact the observers on the expedition reported that heavy falls of snow from the clouds came with southerly winds, and that they were warm, not cold, winds.

We may be allowed to add that in America, when cold polar winds are followed by warm so-called equatorial winds with clouds and snow, we attribute much of the warmth to the evolution of heat that accompanies the formation of snow, and also to the protection against radiation offered by the cloudy canopy; and the presence of a sufficient quantity of vapor to form clouds and snow does not necessarily imply the presence of any large body of open water near at hand. To be sure we have heavy snowfalls along the Atlantic coast from Virginia to Maine, in which a southeast wind has brought moist air from the Atlantic only 100 miles away, but we also have heavy snows on the western slope of the Appalachians and over the Lake region, in which moist southwest winds have come, not from the Gulf of Mexico, near at hand, but demonstrably from equatorial regions, so far away that the moisture and the air must be considered as belonging to the general circulation of the atmosphere; and it would be hazardous speculation to argue from these winds and snows back to the character of the continent over which they have traveled.—C. A.

KRAKATOA DUST *versus* KRAKATOA VAPOR.

With reference to the origin of the so-called volcanic dust from Krakatoa, Pelée, etc., it should be remarked that it would require a systematic long-continued mechanical grinding to make an impalpable powder so uniformly fine as to be able to produce beautiful sky colors of uniform tint and wave length by diffraction. To me it seems more plausible that such fine dust, if it existed, must have resulted from the evaporation of the drops of water and condensed steam ejected by the vol-

¹ Is not this a banner cloud rather than smoke from Mount Erebus?—C. A.

cano. Such water must undoubtedly have been ejected hot, and must have held in solution a large percentage of the soluble solids—rock-salt, quartz, feldspar, etc. The water of these cloud particles would soon disappear in the upper air by evaporation, and their solid contents would be left floating as an impalpable powder of particles, whose size could be determined by optical methods.

On the other hand it is also important to remark that it is not unlikely that the famous red sunsets of 1883-4 were due to simple selective reflection from solid dust fragments, or to diffraction between dust particles, or to refraction and dispersion through transparent crystals. To me it seems most likely that another optical process was involved, and that these sunsets were produced essentially by a combination of two phenomena, namely (1) the selective absorption of the sunlight by the atmospheric aqueous vapor, a dense layer of which allows the red rays only to be transmitted, and (2) the diffraction of the red rays thus produced, as they passed between minute spheres of water or minute particles of dust. The diffraction effect thus produced depends on the size of these spheres or particles, and this determines the extent and duration of the sunset glow at any one place, as well as the limits of its geographical distribution. The red tint was produced by the absorptions. The particles of dust could not produce these beautiful diffraction phenomena unless they had great uniformity in their size and distribution. It is most likely that both the colored suns, the sunset sky colors, and the successive afterglows, as also the Bishop's ring of 15° to 25° radius, were due to the same minute vapor particles or so-called "vapor dust," and that mineral dust played only a minor part in their production.

Whether the particles were dust or vapor we shall obtain the same results as to their dimensions by a computation based on the diffraction formula first given by Fraunhofer, according to which particles that have an average diameter of 0.000153 Paris inch, or 0.000145 English inch, or 0.00368 millimeter, would fairly well explain the red sunset phenomena observed by me from August to November, 1885, at Washington; particles whose average diameter is 0.000101 Paris inch, or 0.000095 English inch or 0.00241 millimeter, would explain the phenomena of Bishop's ring, as observed by me on the same dates.

The fact that the red sunset phenomena continued for two years longer, my last observation being in February, 1887, and that they are frequently visible now all over the globe as a pink spot in the west after sunset, in mid-ocean as well as in the center of a continent, and that the spot never was a rare phenomenon, increases the probability that they are due to moisture rather than to mineral dust. (See American Meteorological Journal, April, 1889, Volume V, pages 529-544.)

An afterglow of a beautiful pink tint was frequently observed by myself in tropical regions during the cruise of the *Pensacola*, October, 1889, to May, 1890, on the United States scientific expedition to the west coast of Africa.—C. A.

THE CONVECTION THEORY OF WHIRLWINDS.

It is well known that Professor Espy, in developing his theory of the formation of tornadoes, and also, we believe, Doctor Mitchell of North Carolina have both of them quoted a few definite cases in which the whirl in an ascending column of flame and smoke over a fire in forest or cane brake developed into a cloud with a moving, whirling column, which, during the course of a half hour, became a rainstorm, a tornado, or thunderstorm, depending upon the condition of the surrounding atmosphere. Many others will perhaps agree with the Editor in having themselves seen a rising mass of air become at first hazy and then cloudy, and eventually turn into a rain-storm before disappearing on the horizon. The Editor has

had occasion to publish a special description of a dust whirl with a beautiful delicate central column of vapor haze, a true incipient waterspout, forming on a hot afternoon over Pennsylvania avenue in Washington, D. C., and moving along for several minutes until broken up by the mixture of currents over the house tops.

In one of the bulletins published on the U. S. S. *Pensacola* in November, 1889, during the United States Eclipse Expedition to the west coast of Africa, he published some details as to the formation, growth, and dissipation of a series of twenty or thirty waterspouts among which that vessel sailed and into some of which it penetrated.

The latest illustration of the formation of local whirls and clouds is contained in a letter from Rev. G. M. Davis, Cedar- town, Ga., dated March 15, 1905.

He stated that he "raked together a circle of dry leaves, fired them simultaneously on four sides, and noted that on two sides of the circle the fire was hotter where the pile of leaves was thicker." He then "noticed that a miniature whirlwind formed in the flame and smoke, continuing so long as the heat was greater on two sides and there was no fire in the center, while all distinguishable rotary motion ceased as soon as the heat became equable at all points." This observation suggests to him the following hypothesis with regard to hurricanes, namely, "that the interior of a circle 500 miles in diameter is filled with air of a certain temperature and surrounded by air of a higher temperature; that in this outer circle the two opposite sides are hotter than the remaining portion, and that the hot air streaming upward from these two special regions constitutes the source of the whirlwind."

This is one form of the many diversified hypotheses that have been offered, all of which taken together are known as the convectional theory. Considerable attention is given to these theories in the Editor's work known as "Preparatory Studies for Deductive Methods in Storm and Weather Predictions," Washington, 1880. Without experimenting with fire one may do even better by watching the movements of the steam and air above a horizontal surface of boiling water. (See page 29 of that work.) The rising vortex is by no means the only form of motion. However, all such theories seem not to be directly applicable to the origin of hurricanes, however well they may apply to the origin of tornadoes and thundershowers. In the case of the hurricane we have to deal with nearly horizontal motions; the ascending component is so slight that although it exists and is important yet it can not be thought of as causing the whirlwind. In so far as hurricanes have been traced back to their origin the daily weather maps simply show a large area of perhaps 10,000 square miles within which the winds are light and variable, and the temperature and moisture a little higher than on the outside. A strong current of wind suddenly appears pouring into this region—it may be a northerly wind on the west side or a southerly wind on the east side, an easterly wind on the north side or a westerly wind on the south side—and quickly the whole mass gets into motion, and the barometer falls in the central region.

Ferrel has shown that the fall in the barometer and the rotation of the whole mass is due to the diurnal rotation of the earth on its axis. A very slight barometric depression is sufficient to start a current of air in the direction of the gradient, and this wind then causes a great barometric gradient in a direction at right angles to it; so the isobars and gradients shown on our daily weather maps around every storm center are the result of the winds and the rotation of the earth. When once the rotation is started it would die away, on account of the resistances offered by the earth's surface, unless there were some maintaining cause, and two such causes have been discussed.

First. That one suggested by Espy, and especially worked out

by Ferrel, namely, the heat evolved by the condensation of vapor into cloud and rain.

Second. The surplus energy derived from the underflow of the cold air from polar regions toward the equator, and the return of the equatorial air toward the pole, the importance of which has been especially insisted upon by Professor Bigelow.

These polar and equatorial currents may be superposed vertically or may flow on side by side laterally. In the former case they roll over and over each other where they meet, and form roll clouds, roll cumuli, roll cirrus, and bring sudden changes from warm to cold and from cold to warm, with a preliminary slight dash of rain. In the latter case they whirl around a central region with a grand sweep, with southerly winds on the east and northerly winds on the west side, in the Northern Hemisphere, and the whole system moves along over the surface of the globe day after day; there is usually heavy rain on the east side, and according to Ferrel this must contribute to the maintenance of the whirl.

These great whirls with low pressure at the center lie between much larger areas of high pressure, and are sometimes said to be fed by them. After they have moved northward beyond the influence of the high pressure of the North Temperate Zone and approach the Arctic Zone, they seem to die away, and we do not yet know enough about the storms north of 65° north latitude to say with certainty whether they are straight-line gales or hurricanes.

We have used the words "whirlwind," "hurricane," and "tornado" because there can be no doubt what is meant by these terms. The technical meteorologists would use the single word "cyclone," or "area of low barometer," or simply "low;" but we have avoided the use of the word "cyclone" because our correspondent, like many other writers and the whole newspaper fraternity, has applied the word "cyclone" specifically to tornadoes, which we think is very objectionable. Of course we recognize the fact that the English language is in a state of perpetual change and the usage of one century is sure to differ from that of the next, but it is not common in scientific literature to arbitrarily change the meaning of such a specific word as "tornado."

The word "cyclone" was devised as equivalent to a special theory as to how the wind moves in hurricanes on the ocean, and ought never to have been applied to a tornado. That erroneous usage began, so far as we know, with a popular sensational newspaper writer in 1875. Another similar writer endeavored to go him one better by introducing the French word *tourbillon* as being a little more high-sounding than the correct French word *tourbillon*. We could wish that this usage had survived, as it would have saved us the annoyance of the popular confusion of the terms "tornado" and "cyclone."—C. A.

A METHOD OF PREDICTING THE MOVEMENT OF TROPICAL CYCLONES.

By MR. MAXWELL HALL. Dated Montego Bay, Jamaica, W. I., February 19, 1906.

At the given time and place let p be the pressure, i. e., the reading of the barometer in inches and decimals of an inch, corrected for instrumental error, reduced to 32° F., sea level, and standard gravity, and further corrected for diurnal variation; let p_m be the mean value of p for the season; let $\Delta p = p_m - p$, so that Δp is the fall of pressure below the mean; and let r be the distance, in miles, between the observer and the nearest edge of the central calm area of the cyclone.

Let a line be drawn from the center through the place of observation to the outer limit of the cyclone; then along that line, and except when near the central calm, we have the equation—

$$\Delta p = \frac{c}{\sqrt{r-a}} \quad (1)$$

a and c are constants along the line; and if a curve be drawn showing the relation between Δp and r it will be found that Δp leaves the curve at a certain point near the central calm, and then follows the tangent to the curve at that point until it reaches the calm.

This statement applies only to tropical cyclones; in higher latitudes other forces, such as the effect of the rotation of the earth, render equation (1) quite inapplicable.

Let us take as an example the Jamaica cyclone of August 11, 1903. The center moved in a remarkably straight line from Martinique to Jamaica, and on to the Cayman Islands, at the rate of 20 miles an hour, and as the edge of the central calm reached Montego Bay at 9:15 a. m., there is no difficulty in obtaining the different values of r given in the fifth column in Table 1. The different values of Δp were found by taking p_m to be 29.928.

TABLE 1.—Montego Bay, August, 1903.

Day and hour.	p	Δp	Wind.	r	Δp Computed.
	Inches.	Inches.	M. p. h.	Miles.	Inches.
10th, 6 p. m.....	29.837	0.09	3	305	0.11
11th, 6 a. m.....	29.686	.24	10	65	.24
11th, 7 a. m.....	29.609	.32	20	45	.29
11th, 8 a. m.....	29.520	.41	50	25	.39
11th, 8:15 a. m.....	29.478	.45	60	20	.45
11th, 8:30 a. m.....	29.427	.50	60 to 80	15	.52
11th, 8:45 a. m.....	29.331	.60	60 to 80	10	.67
11th, 9 a. m.....	29.16	.77	60 to 80	5	*0.77
11th, 9:15 a. m.....	28.93	1.00	0	0

*Measured along the tangent to the curve.

In order to plot the curve showing the connection between Δp and r , take a scale of 40 divisions to an inch, let each division represent a mile along the horizontal line, and let each division represent 0.01 inch of Δp down the vertical line.

In fig. 1, Plate I, the dots surrounded by small circles show the observed values of Δp , while the curve is drawn among them with a free hand.

From the smooth curve we have,

r	Δp
80.....	0.22
60.....	0.25
40.....	0.32
20.....	0.45

and then from equation (1) we have, approximately,

$$a = +2$$

$$c = 1.9.$$

To solve the equations by the method of least squares would be a waste of time; the nature of the work permits only approximate values. From these values of a and c , Δp was computed, and the results given in the last column of Table 1.

The close agreement between the observed and computed values of Δp shows that equation (1) suits this particular cyclone.

It will be noticed that Δp leaves the curve when r is about 5, Δp about 0.77, and the wind blowing a hurricane. For values of r less than this, or even less than 10, equation (1) gives values of Δp absurdly large. The tangent is drawn through 1.05, the lowest pressure.

As a second example let us take the Jamaica cyclone of August 20, 1886. It passed centrally over Kingston, along a line joining Kingston and Montego Bay, at the rate of twelve miles an hour; but near Montego Bay it turned northward. (Jamaica Weather Report No. 69). The effects of the small, secondary cyclone near St. Ann's Bay were entirely local; it did not perceptibly affect the barometer at either Kingston or Montego Bay. (See Table 2.)

The different values of Δp were found by taking $p_m = 29.914$. The lull at the center lasted for about half an hour, from 3:30

to 4:00 a. m.; but as the center did not pass exactly over the place of observation, we must avoid small values of r .

TABLE 2.—Kingston, August, 1886.

Day and hour.	p	Δp	Wind.	r	Δp computed.
19th, 7 a. m.	29.773	0.14	3	246	0.13
19th, 8 p. m.	.745	.17	3	150	0.17
19th, 11 p. m.	.618	.30	6	54	0.31
19th, 12 midnight	.498	.42	12	42	0.37
20th, 1 a. m.	.418	.50	35	30	0.51
20th, 2 a. m.	.257	.66	60	18	*0.65
20th, 3 a. m.	.159	.76	40	...	*0.81
20th, 8:30 a. m.	29.123	0.79	0	...	*0.90

* Measured along the tangent to the curve.

From the smoothed curve (see fig. 2, Plate I) we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} r & \Delta p \\ 140 & 0.17 \\ 60 & 0.27 \\ 30 & 0.50 \end{array}$$

whence $a = +16$, $c = 1.9$ and thence the computed values of Δp in Table 2.

It will be noticed that Δp left the curve when r was about 30, and the wind not more than 35 miles an hour. But Kingston is sheltered from winds from the north, and this wind-velocity may be too small in consequence.

We shall use these values of a and c for the same cyclone, when approaching Montego Bay. (See Table 3.)

TABLE 3.—Montego Bay, August, 1886.

Day and hour.	p	Δp	Wind.	r	Δp computed.
19th, 7 a. m.	29.782	0.13	0	329	0.11
19th, 4 p. m.	29.778	.14	0	221	.13
19th, 7 p. m.	29.753	.16	28	185	.15
19th, 11 p. m.	29.716	.20	23	137	.17
20th, 2 a. m.	29.688	.23	34	101	.21
20th, 4 a. m.	29.667	.25	34	77	.24
20th, 7 a. m.	29.622	0.29	23	41	0.38

The distance between Kingston and Montego Bay is 83 miles. After 7 a. m. of the 20th some great change occurred; the cyclone swerved on its course, which was no longer a straight line.

Let us take as a last example the destructive cyclone of October 29, 1867, which passed in a straight line centrally over the harbor of the small island of St. Thomas, in the West Indies. Prof. J. R. Eastman, U. S. N., made a report on this cyclone, and the data for Table 4 are taken from that report, published at Washington, in 1868, in the annual volume of the U. S. Naval Observatory.

TABLE 4.—St. Thomas, October, 1867.

Day and hour.	Barometer.	Δp	Wind.	r	Δp computed.
29th, 7 a. m.	Inches.	Inches.		Miles.	Inches.
29th, 8 a. m.	29.76	0.20		98	0.20
29th, 9 a. m.	29.75	.22		82	.22
29th, 10 a. m.	29.73	.26		68	.24
29th, 11 a. m.	29.72	.27		52	.28
29th, noon	29.69	.28		38	.33
29th, 1 p. m.	29.64	.31	Hurricane.	22	.48
29th, 1:30 p. m.	28.86	1.06	do	8	*1.02
	28.59	1.42	Calm	0	*1.47

* Measured along the tangent to the curve.

The above barometric readings were further corrected for diurnal variation and standard gravity, whence p and then Δp were found by taking $p_m = 29.88$.

The velocity of the center along its westerly course was 15 miles an hour; the calm at the center lasted half an hour, and the wind blew with hurricane force for an hour and a half before the passage of the central calm, and for an hour after.

It is more difficult to deduce a and c for this storm than for the two preceding ones; however, adopting

$$a = +6$$

$$c = 1.9$$

we have Δp in the last column of Table 4.

Table 4 and its corresponding figure (fig. 3, Plate I) are very remarkable; the fall before noon was very small, and the fall during the following hour and a half very large. It will be noticed that the gradient here is no greater than in the first figure, and probably the wind was no stronger, 60 to 80 miles an hour, in gusts; and when Mr. T. H. Jahnecke stated that the wind rose to 74 miles an hour his estimate was probably correct as an average.¹

We shall measure gradients by the fall of pressure in inches of mercury per mile toward the center. But in the above figures the vertical scale was taken to be a hundred times the horizontal scale according to the adopted units, an inch and a mile. So that if φ be the angle the tangent at any point of the curve makes with a horizontal line through the point, the gradient = $\frac{\tan \varphi}{100}$.

Thus in fig. 1 the limiting value of φ is 81° , and the limiting gradient 0.063; in fig. 2 the limiting values are $54\frac{1}{2}^\circ$ and 0.014; and in fig. 3 the limiting values are 80° and 0.057.

The rate of fall of pressure, or the fall of p per hour, should be taken from a series of readings if possible. Thus from Table 1 we have:

	$P.$	$Dif.$
August 11, 1903, 6 a. m.	29.686	0.077
August 11, 1903, 7 a. m.	29.609	0.089
August 11, 1903, 8 a. m.	29.520	

By taking the mean of the two differences we have 0.083 as the rate of fall at 7 a. m.

We can now show that equation (1) is of some use even at an isolated station. Suppose a cyclone should generate 900 miles away from the station; then, assuming $c = 2$ and neglecting a , the fall of pressure below mean will be 0.067. Suppose next day the cyclone has approached to 530 miles; then the fall below mean will be 0.087. Consequently for two days or so the pressure will be about 0.08 below the mean, no other change having taken place.

I have often called attention to this rather sudden drop in the barometer as a most valuable wireless message from the cyclone to put the observer on his guard. As the cyclone approaches, clouds, wind, and the continued fall of p supply the observer with information; and perhaps the following considerations may aid him.

If the center is advancing along a line drawn through the center and the place of observation, we have,

$$\text{Gradient} = \frac{\text{rate of fall at observatory}}{\text{velocity of center}};$$

or in the notation of the differential calculus,

$$\frac{dp}{dr} = \frac{\frac{dp}{dt}}{\frac{dr}{dt}} \quad (2)$$

But from (1)

¹ There was great loss of life; 60 or 70 vessels were driven ashore or sunk at their moorings, including the Royal Mail steamship *Rhone* and the West India and Pacific steamship *Columbian*. The latter arrived an hour before the storm with a full cargo from Liverpool and sank in seven fathoms of water. The *Rhone* was the transatlantic steamer which in those days used to meet all the intercolonial steamers at St. Thomas; as she was sinking her boilers exploded and 160 persons on board lost their lives.

$$\frac{dp}{dr} = \frac{c}{2(r-a)^2} = \frac{\Delta p}{2(r-a)}$$

and substituting for $\frac{dp}{dt}$ we get

$$\frac{r-a}{\frac{dr}{dt}} = \frac{\Delta p}{2 \frac{dp}{dt}}$$

But, neglecting a , the left hand term in this equation is the time in hours that the central calm will take to reach the station; so that,

$$\text{Time of arrival} = \frac{\Delta p}{2 \frac{dp}{dt}} \quad (3)$$

In words, the time of arrival is the fall below mean divided by twice the rate of fall.

If, therefore, we find that our series of observations agree in indicating the same time of arrival, there can be no doubt but that the cyclone is directly approaching.

As an example of equation (3), we have already seen that by Table 1, $\frac{dp}{dt}$ was 0.083; and as Δp was 0.32 at that hour, the computed time of arrival is 9 a. m., which is quite correct.

In order to add to this series of cases, let us take the cyclone which passed over Kingston, Jamaica, August 18, 1880. This was before the weather service was established there, so that Kingston was really an isolated station. The cyclone approached from the Windward Islands, and, according to the chart in Meteorological Observations, Vol. I, the cyclone center was not directly approaching till noon, when it turned on its course and made straight for Kingston.

TABLE 5.—Kingston, August 18, 1880.

Hour.	p .	Δp .	r .	Δp computed.	$\frac{dp}{dt}$	Time of arrival, as computed
	Inches.	Inches.	Miles.	Inches.	Inches.	
7 a. m.	29.782	0.14	256		0.017	2:00 p. m.
9 a. m.	29.744	0.18	220		0.014	6:30 p. m.
11 a. m.	29.714	0.21	184		0.012	11:00 p. m.
1 p. m.	29.687	0.24	148	0.22		
3 p. m.	29.666	0.26	112	0.26	0.022	9:00 p. m.
5 p. m.	29.597	0.32	76	0.32	0.060	8:00 p. m.
7 p. m.	29.428	0.49	40	0.49	0.181	8:30 p. m.
9 p. m.	28.874	1.05	41	*1.05		

* Measured along the tangent to the curve.

The velocity of the center was 18 miles an hour, and p_m was taken to be 29.922.

The computed times of arrival before 3 p. m. are irregular; this shows that the center was not directly approaching. The times subsequently agreed, which shows that then the center was directly approaching. The time of arrival computed by equation (3) was 8:30 p. m. and the calm center actually arrived at 9 p. m.

From noon onward, then, equation (1) should hold good, and we easily find

$$a = +12$$

$$c = 2.6$$

whence result the computed values of Δp given in Table 5, and in fig. 4, Plate I, in which the limiting values of φ and the gradient are 57° and 0.015.²

We will now consider the case of two stations in the Tropics, on the line of usual approach of hurricanes, and connected by telegraph.

Let Δp be the fall below mean at the station nearer the hurricane at the time t ; and let t' be the time at the further station when the fall below mean reaches the same value Δp ; then

²This small gradient is surprising, as to my own knowledge the wind reached full hurricane force.

if D be the distance between the two stations in miles, the hourly velocity of the center toward the stations will be

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = \frac{D}{t' - t} \quad (4)$$

when the interval $(t' - t)$ must be expressed in hours and decimals of an hour.

Equation (4) is, of course, independent of (1), and holds good for any relation between Δp and r , provided that Δp increases as r decreases.

Hence, by the mutual exchange of barometer readings by telegraph, each station may come to know $\frac{dr}{dt}$, and hence predict the time of arrival of the center.

Take for example the cyclone of 1886 (Tables 2 and 3). At 7 a. m. on the 19th Δp at Kingston was 0.14; but Δp did not reach 0.14 at Montego Bay until 4 p. m. And as $D = 83$ miles, we have $\frac{dr}{dt} = \text{velocity of center} = 9$ miles an hour.

Similarly for $\Delta p = 0.17$, we get $\frac{dr}{dt} = \text{velocity of center} = 17$ miles an hour. The mean of these two computed values is 13, while the true observed value was taken as 12 miles per hour.

Again, as each station can, from its local observations, also find its own $\frac{dp}{dt}$, or rate of fall at any instant, it follows from (2) that by the exchange of telegrams, each station can calculate its own gradient at the given instant, or $\frac{dp}{dr} = \frac{dp}{dt} / \frac{dr}{dt}$, quite free from any theory.

Consequently each station can compute its

$$r = \frac{\Delta p}{2 \frac{dp}{dr}} \quad (5)$$

In words, the distance of the calm area at any time is the fall below the mean divided by twice the gradient.

Thus for the storm of 1903, at Montego Bay, we have found that $\frac{dp}{dt}$ was 0.083 at 7 a. m., but as $\frac{dr}{dt}$ was 20, therefore, $\frac{dp}{dr}$ was 0.00415; and by equation (5)

$$r = 40.$$

The true observed value was 45, or thereabouts.

There is no need to proceed any further at present with the mathematical part of this investigation, but I think that much valuable information might be obtained by the discussion of a large number of tropical cyclones on the plan indicated in this article. We want to know more about a and c , and when and why Δp breaks away from the curve and follows the tangent.

As to the practical part of this inquiry, I do not know that observers can do better during the approach of a cyclone, while waiting for time to pass and further exchange of telegrams, than to put their observations into the forms indicated above. Equation (3) has often saved me anxiety, and I have been able to send the second reassuring general telegram, "not coming our way," after the first general warning to the islands had been issued some hours previously.

ON THE CONDITIONS DETERMINING THE FORMATION OF CLOUD-SPHERES AND PHOTOSPHERES.

By ARTHUR W. CLAYDEN, M. A.

[From the Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, December, 1905.]

In the course of an investigation of the conditions under which clouds may be formed in our own atmosphere certain considerations presented themselves which seem equally ap-

plicable to the conditions which determine the position of a stellar photosphere in the mass of a star.

As the spectrum of a star is to a great extent dependent upon the position of the photosphere it seems possible that a survey of these points may help to clear up some of the difficulties attending the interpretation of spectral details.

To begin with it is necessary to ask that it may be taken for granted—

1. That the photosphere of a star is the upper surface of a stratum of clouds.

2. That those clouds are caused by the condensation of some substance from the state of vapor to that of small solid or liquid particles.

3. That the condensation is due to cooling produced by expansion brought about by the ascent of vapor-charged convection currents.

4. That the cooling effect of expansion follows the same general thermodynamic law as is the case in our own atmosphere.

It is true that under the high pressures and temperatures of a star the gradation of temperature may be considerably modified. The transference of heat from one stratum to a higher by conduction and radiation should tend to equalize temperatures, but the increased viscosity due to pressure should tend in the opposite direction. Hence, a curve showing the relations of temperature and pressure is not likely to differ very greatly from one plotted in accordance with the expression

$$\frac{\log t - \log t'}{\log p - \log p'} = \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma}$$

in which t is the absolute temperature at a pressure p , and t' is the absolute temperature at the reduced pressure p' ; γ is, of course, the ratio of the two specific heats.

In order to argue from the known to the unknown, let us first consider the case of planetary bodies surrounded by an atmosphere consisting wholly of water, a substance whose temperature-pressure relations are well understood.

At temperatures far below freezing point ice gives off vapor which exerts a certain maximum pressure. As the temperature rises this maximum pressure increases more and more rapidly. This goes on until 365° C. is reached, at which point the maximum pressure is 200.5 atmospheres. If at any temperature the pressure be less than the maximum, evaporation will take place; and, conversely, if the pressure exceed the maximum, condensation will follow until the pressure is reduced to that value.

We can then plot a curve (fig. 5, Plate I) showing the maximum pressures for all temperatures. Let this be done, taking temperatures as abscissæ and pressures as ordinates.

We find the curve rises at first very slowly, and at 100° C. it shows a pressure of one atmosphere. It then turns upward more and more rapidly until it reaches 365° C. and 200.5 atmospheres. This is the critical point, and if the temperature be higher no increase of pressure can possibly bring about liquefaction. The curve may be regarded as giving the pressures and temperatures of condensation. If, then, the pressure is greater than 200.5 atmospheres, condensation will be effected at the critical temperature. The curve must therefore turn vertically upward.

Let us now assume that the masses of atmosphere and planet are such that the pressure on the solid surface is 250 atmospheres, and that this surface has a temperature of 400° C. No liquid water can exist on such a surface, but condensation will occur at a certain height.

If the curve of decreasing temperature be plotted, using the expression quoted and giving to γ the proper value for water vapor—namely, 1.3—it will be found that this curve will cut the condensation line very near the critical point, at which temperature and pressure cloud-production will begin.

Suppose, next, that the surface temperature is increased to 500° C. If a similar curve be now plotted, it will be found to cut the condensation line at about 50 atmospheres and a temperature of only 260° C.; that is to say, the effect of increasing the surface temperature of the planet is not only to drive the cloud level farther up in the atmosphere, but to lower the temperature at which its formation begins.

Again, suppose the surface temperature to be 400° C., but the pressure only 50 atmospheres. The curve then cuts the condensation line at about 160° C. and a pressure of less than 10 atmospheres.

It thus appears that the result of suitably diminishing the mass of a planet may be to produce exactly the same effect upon any cloud sphere, by which it is surrounded, as would be brought about by increasing the temperature, or that a hot planet of large mass might present exactly the same features as a cooler and smaller one.

If we imagine the planetary atmosphere to contain other noncondensable gases, this will not affect the conclusions. The changes due to alterations of temperature and pressure will still be in the same direction, and the diagram will serve equally well if we remember that it relates only to the pressures and temperatures of the water vapor present. The actual pressures in the whole atmosphere could be computed by calculating them for the other substances and adding those values.

An inspection of fig. 5, Plate I, shows that no cloud sphere could possibly have a higher base temperature than 365° C. This is one point worth noting.

Next it is evident that if our eyes were so constituted that we could see the radiations emitted from the outer surfaces of such cloud spheres, they would become true photospheres, and if the distances were great enough we should see these supposed planetary bodies as star points. If two of them had their cloud spheres at similar positions in their atmospheres, they should present similar spectral features. We should then class them together, although they might really owe their apparent similarity to their true diversity.

Finally it is obvious that a determination of the temperature of the outer surface of the cloud sphere would be no measure whatever of the temperature of the solid planet beneath.

It is not necessary to point out that these conclusions have an important bearing on the cloud spheres surrounding the actual planets.

It seems only reasonable to attempt to apply them also to the stars.

Now it is not necessary to make any assumption as to the nature of the substance which makes up the cloud particles of a stellar photosphere, nor as it necessary to assume that all photospheres are due to the same body. Whatever the substance concerned its condensation curve would probably present features similar to those of all bodies for which the requisite data are obtainable.

The argument, however, will be clearer if we start with the assumptions that all photospheres are due to the same substance, and that that is the element carbon. It will be easy later on to briefly consider other possibilities.

We have no measurements of the vapor pressures of carbon, but there seems some reason to think, from the phenomena of the electric arc, that the vaporization temperature under one atmosphere pressure is about 3770° absolute.¹ This, then, will correspond to 373° absolute, the boiling point of water under one atmosphere.

If we can get some idea as to the critical temperature we can then draw a curve resembling the known curve for water,

¹ 3770° A is the same as 3497° C. 373° A. = 100° C. We shall use the abbreviations A. and C. in this sense.—EDITOR.

and feel tolerably sure that our proceedings are reasonable. Messrs. Wilson and Gray estimated the temperature of the solar photosphere at 6900° C. (7173 Å.), but this is the upper surface of the cloud stratum, which must be cooler than the base. Hence, if we take 7200° Å. as the critical temperature we can feel sure that we are at least within the mark, and may be a long way within it.

The difference between 3770° and 7200° Å. is about thirteen times as great as that between 373° Å. and the critical temperature for water. Hence, if the condensation curve for carbon is similar to that for water the critical pressure should be about 2600 atmospheres. If we connect the two points thus fixed by a curve resembling that for water it will serve our purpose, since neither the exact temperatures nor pressures are material to the issue, which rests only on the supposition that the vapor pressures and temperatures for the photospheric substance can be represented by some curve of the usual type.

Let us draw the curve, and again, for simplicity, consider only the pressures due to the carbon vapor.

Before drawing the curves to represent the changes of temperature and pressure in the stellar mass we are confronted with another unknown—namely, the value to be assigned to γ . According to the determinations of this quantity yet made, it appears that elementary bodies whose molecules are monoatomic give a value 1.66; those whose molecules are diatomic give 1.4 to 1.3; while polyatomic molecules give values decreasing with the complexity, but always greater than unity. At stellar temperatures it seems unlikely that there will be polyatomic molecules, so that if we take 1.5 as a working value we shall not be far wrong. Moreover it will soon be evident that a change in the value of γ will in no way affect our general conclusions, but will only modify the numerical examples which serve the purpose of making the argument clearer.

Let us now imagine six stars in which the pressure of 10,000 atmospheres is reached at $8,000^{\circ}$, $10,000^{\circ}$, $11,850^{\circ}$, $14,950^{\circ}$, $21,500^{\circ}$, and $500,000^{\circ}$ Å., respectively. Plot the curves of descending temperature and pressure as we pass outward as before. (See fig. 6, Plate I.) The results may be tabulated thus:

Temperature at $p=10,000$ atmospheres.	Condensation.	
	Pressure.	Temperature.
$^{\circ}$ Å.	Atmospheres.	$^{\circ}$ Å.
8,000	7,400	7,200
10,000	3,850	7,200
11,850	2,150	7,050
14,950	750	6,250
21,500	130	5,250
500,000	30?	4,400

The table shows that if the initial pressure be far above the critical, the result of increasing the initial temperature is to drive the photosphere into regions of diminished pressure. So long as the pressure of condensation is above the critical, the temperature at which condensation begins remains unaltered; but as soon as this point is passed, and the curved part of the condensation line is reached, the temperature at which the clouds are formed begins to fall; that is to say, the effect of raising the internal temperature is to drive the photosphere farther out, and to cool it.

Again, let us imagine that a temperature of $10,000^{\circ}$ Å. is found with pressures of 10,000, 8,000, 6,000, 4,000, 2,000, and 200 atmospheres, respectively, and tabulate the results as before.

The sequence of changes is exactly similar, and the conclusion is that, if the initial temperature is constant, decrease of pressure will produce the same effect as increase of temperature.

Initial pressure, $t=10,000^{\circ}$ Å.	Condensation.	
	Pressure.	Temperature.
Atmospheres.	Atmospheres.	$^{\circ}$ Å.
10,000	3,850	7,200
8,000	3,050	7,200
6,000	2,150	7,050
4,000	1,150	6,550
2,000	420	5,850
200	30?	4,400

Now the pressure at any point is determined by gravity and the mass of the superincumbent gases. It therefore appears that no carbon photosphere can have a higher temperature than the critical, and that the hotter photospheres must be those most deeply seated or surrounding the most massive stars.

Deep seated photospheres must mean heavy absorption, high photospheres little absorption.

Inspection of the diagram reveals a number of interesting points, and it is easy to see how the spectra of stars should be modified by altering the ratio of temperature and pressure.

Call this $\frac{T}{P}$

Let us at the outset give this expression a very high value, so high as to make the curve on the scale of our diagram raised very little above the line of no pressure. Such a star would have no photosphere. If the temperature were suitable, small particles of incandescent carbon would be dispersed here and there in a thin mist at a high level. They would be unable to hide the radiation from gases at lower levels or to reverse the light from those among which they were spread. Such stars would be bright-line stars in which the lines would be mixed with a faint continuous spectrum, which would begin with a dim radiance in the yellow-green.

As we reduce the ratio $\frac{T}{P}$ the dim radiance will spread and

brighten, since condensation under higher pressure means a higher temperature. The mist stratum of the bright-line star should then pass through denser stages into the condition of a discontinuous stratum of bright photospheric clouds, still high up, though lower than before. The bright clouds will be overlaid by those gases which lie highest, giving a spectrum showing the dark lines due to absorption. But with a discontinuous stratum of cloud there must be convection. Rising currents will make the clouds, descending currents the interspaces. The light, then, from the descending spaces should be bright lines corresponding with those which are reversed above the clouds.

If we now bear in mind the fact that a star spectrum is an integration of the whole light from the stellar surface, it is easy to see that the bright-line spectrum may be brighter than the continuous; it may be equally bright or it may be less brilliant. If the convection movements were slow the respective results would be: bright lines on a continuous background, a continuous spectrum alone, or a simple absorption spectrum. It is, however, not likely that all the lines would behave alike, and the result should generally be a white or helium star showing some lines bright and others reversed.

If we may suppose the convection currents sufficiently rapid, then the bright lines due to descending currents should be shifted toward the red, while other bright lines from deeper layers might be undisturbed. We should then have some bright lines fringed on their more refrangible sides by dark companions.

Decreasing the ratio still further the spaces between the cloudlets will close up until we have the complete helium star.

Further progress will yield a yet brighter and hotter photosphere, sinking step by step beneath stratum after stratum of

gases. As the background gets brighter, helium absorption, having little intensity, becomes less and less obvious. Hydrogen absorption, on the contrary, becomes more and more extensive, until it in turn becomes secondary to the absorption due to metallic vapors.

The curves showing the temperature and pressure at which condensation takes place indicate steadily rising pressure, and rising temperature (and, therefore, intrinsic brightness) until the critical point is reached. From this point no further

change in $\frac{T}{P}$ can alter the temperature of the photosphere. As

it sinks lower and lower the density of absorption increases. If the metallic-line spectrum of the sun may be supposed to be formed under the conditions represented by the curve S , on passing to the next cooler line we might have the denser absorption of *Arcturus*.

If the ratio $\frac{T}{P}$ be still smaller we come to the curve A . Here the photosphere is still deeper. The outer parts of the superincumbent gases are much cooler, and compound vapors may be formed. If so, we should expect that the absorption should consist of metallic lines, flutings, and general absorption of the kind known as smoke-veil, for instance, a *Orionis* and *Antares*.

Continuing the process, such a spectrum should grow in intensity until the light of the photosphere should be hidden by superincumbent vapors, or even nonluminous clouds formed by condensed metals and compounds. The last glimpse of the incandescent depths should be a dull red glow.

Such, then, seems to be the normal history of a star.

There is, however, a special case.

Suppose $\frac{T}{P}$ is very high, but that its large value is due to extreme heat, and that P is itself large.

The result should be a very dense gaseous nucleus which should give a continuous spectrum, and therefore act as a deeper-seated photosphere whose light would be veiled by absorption, in which that due to carbon vapor would be a conspicuous feature; but metallic lines would also be present, and if the absorption were great, or the intrinsic brightness of the continuous spectrum small, some of the strong metallic lines would stand out as bright lines—carbon stars. Such stars should pass through the normal sequence as a result of declining temperature, which may explain the former redness of *Sirius*.

It thus appears that bright line white stars should be associated with nebulæ; that white stars being due to the greatest range of conditions should be most numerous, especially among the smaller stars; that solar stars should be next in order of frequency, and should form a larger proportion of the massive stars; that stars with fluted spectra should be comparatively few in number, and should as a rule be massive. Finally, carbon stars, demanding exceptionally high pressure and temperature, should therefore be rare and vast.

There are several other deductions which may be drawn. First, if a binary is formed by the fission of a single star, and the division is equal, both stars should be white, or both solar, or beyond. If unequal, and differing to a sufficient extent, the smaller would adhere to a Sirian spectrum long after the larger and less cooled had passed into the solar stage or beyond; as, for instance, β *Cygni*.

Second, any determination of the temperature of the photosphere is no guide to the temperature of the star center, neither is the position of the photosphere, as shown by the absorption, much help. It is possible to have a large hot star showing exactly the same spectrum as a much smaller and

cooler one. The ratio $\frac{T}{P}$ may be identical.

So far it has been assumed that carbon is the cause of all photospheres. It is, of course, possible that different stars may be differently constituted, and that different elements may play similar parts. But all the evidence of the spectroscope indicates a cosmic distribution of the elements best known to us. Moreover, a moment's thought will show that all that has been said in reference to a carbon photosphere will apply with equal force to any substance whatever. If, then, we can have photospheres formed of some heavier atoms, they should be situated deeper in the mass of the star, and should be overlaid by carbon, which should either form a higher photosphere in turn or should betray its presence by absorption. We ought, then, to have as great a variety of carbon stars as we have of other types. The fact of the rarity of carbon stars is one of the strongest evidences that it is preeminently the photospheric element. However this may be, the main conclusions here set forth remain unaffected, because they hold good for any substance which forms a photosphere, granting only the four postulates with which we started, and that this substance behaves like all others whose condensation curves are known.

THEORY OF THE RAINBOW.¹

By Prof. W. LECONTE STEVENS, Washington and Lee University. Dated Lexington, Va., May 25, 1906.

This pamphlet by Doctor Pernter is an attempt to put the complete theory of the rainbow into such form as to involve no application of higher mathematics, especially no application of calculus, and thus to render it suitable for development in the instruction of students who are below the grade of the university or the advanced college class.

To an American teacher, familiar with the limitations found universally in American schools and colleges, the examination of such a paper at once raises the question whether such a demonstration could find a place in any prescribed course in physics in an American college. If not, it would be included in an elective course. This assumption in turn raises the question whether such a subject would probably be attacked voluntarily by any student not already in possession of such elementary knowledge of calculus as to prepare him for the many difficulties that are sure to arise if the study of optics is pursued beyond its elementary stage. Gymnasial instruction in Austria is conducted under conditions somewhat different from those of college instruction in America. The fact that interchange of conditions is not possible, and that Pernter's work would quite surely meet with little appreciation here, does not in any way diminish the merit of what he has done, even if the critical reader is compelled to think that the adaptation to secondary schools is very imperfect on account of the inherent difficulties of the subject.

Pernter begins with the statement that in all schools, high and low, the correct theory of the rainbow is wholly ignored, and that everywhere the "incorrect Descartes's theory of effective rays" (wirksamen Strahlen) is taught, "as if the correct explanation of the rainbow had never been given by Airy". The task which he undertakes is that of presenting the results of Airy's work in a form as simple as the nature of the subject may permit.

At the outset, therefore, it is necessary to dissent from the author's assumption. A geometric theory may be incomplete without being incorrect. From the days of Noah the conditions under which the rainbow appears have been observed and generally known. That light is reflected and refracted at the bounding surface between air and water, was familiar to Ptolemy, but the law of refraction was not discovered until 1621 by Snell. Its correct formulation and publication was subsequently made by Descartes, who died in 1650. Ten

¹ Ein Versuch der richtigen Theorie des Regenbogens Eingang in die Mittelschulen zu verschaffen. Von Dr. J. M. Pernter. Wien, 1898. Selbstverlag.

years before Snell's discovery, Antonio de Dominis showed that one reflection and two refractions of light in drops of rain were sufficient to explain the production of a luminous arc in the sky opposite the sun. Descartes gave exactness to the explanation of Dominis by the application of Snell's law. Descartes's work was correct, and the recognition of his "effective rays" does not in any way contravene the subsequent discoveries of Grimaldi, Newton, Huyghens, Young, and others that are now applied in the discussion of the rainbow. Descartes seems to have thought that refraction produced color instead of separating colors; but it was reserved for Newton, sixteen years after Descartes's death, to discover that each hue has its own index of refraction when white light traverses a given medium. Descartes's geometric theory is true whatever may be the hue or index of refraction, but it was insufficient to account for the supernumerary colored bands or alternations of brightness which may be often seen as accompaniments to the rainbow. Young was the first to propose, in 1804, an explanation of these based on the wave theory. His suggestion was afterward confirmed and extended by the mathematical investigations of Potter and Airy about 1835; but this important supplement to the work of Descartes and Newton was in no sense contradictory. The phenomena of diffraction and interference may coexist with those of refraction; and this, indeed, is at times apparently assumed by Pernter, despite his introductory condemnation of "die unrichtige Descartes'sche Theorie."

It is probably correct to say that in most, if not all, of the elementary text-books the explanation of the rainbow is limited to the application of the laws of geometrical optics, with no reference to the modifications necessitated by the wave theory of light. The great majority of students who receive baccalaureate degrees have no knowledge of such modification. The subject of rainbows receives scant attention ordinarily, and in such an admirable modern text-book as Drude's Theory of Optics it is not even mentioned. But in Preston's Theory of Light a good discussion may be found, in which the reader is assumed to possess all the mathematical knowledge that the subject naturally suggests. Professor Hastings, in America, has given an excellent discussion in Hastings and Beach's Physics. The pamphlet by Pernter is noteworthy as an attempt to emphasize the importance of the wave theory. His strenuous arraignment of the schools for teaching what is insufficient, rather than incorrect, is perhaps a pardonable exhibition of zeal which should not be taken too seriously.

The theory of the rainbow is best developed by a succession of approximations, beginning with purely ideal conditions.

FIRST APPROXIMATION.

Let a sheaf of parallel rays meet a sphere of glass or water. Consider only those impinging at a single angle of incidence, i , such as 60° . Let μ be the mean index of refraction, μ' that for red, and μ'' that for violet. From Snell's law the angles of refraction, r , r' , r'' , are readily calculated, and the mean deviation is $i-r$. At the first point of incidence, A (fig. 1, Plate II), some of the light is reflected externally and some refracted to B . Here some is reflected internally, but much more emerges with additional mean deviation, $i-r$. The total mean deviation, δ , is thus

$$\delta = 2(i-r). \quad (1)$$

For the extreme rays we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta' &= 2(i-r') \text{ for red;} \\ \delta'' &= 2(i-r'') \text{ for violet.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence a screen properly placed would receive a circular spectral band of width $\delta''-\delta'$. If the globe be of glass, and $i=60^\circ$, as shown, the mean angular diameter, 2δ , for the circular bow would be about 100° .

This is easily projected experimentally by restricting the light to a suitable angle of incidence on a glass sphere, or by

using a glass cone of proper angle, with its vertex toward the source of light. The bow thus produced is the most brilliant possible.

SECOND APPROXIMATION.

A bow of the kind just described, though always produced, would not be perceptible in the sky, because the refracting sphere and the sun are too nearly in the same direction from the receiving eye. For a visible bow we must consider the rays emergent after one or more internal reflections.

On reaching the reflecting surface B , (fig. 2, Plate II), the change of direction by reflection is obviously $\pi - 2r$. For each one of n internal reflections the total deviation of the mean ray is thus

$$D = 2(i-r) + n(\pi - 2r). \quad (2)$$

For a single internal reflection the emergent mean ray would be received by an eye at O at an angular distance $\pi - D$ from the original direction, and to such an eye all drops of water at this angular distance would appear of the same hue. Assuming $i = 60^\circ$ the angular distances for the extreme rays are thus readily calculated and found to be about 42° for red and 40° for violet. A spectral bow, with angular width of 2° , would hence appear in the eastern sky upon a cloud, if the afternoon sun is not obscured. The arch would be lined with red on its outer border and violet on the lower side.

For two internal reflections a similar application of equation 2 may be made with $n = 2$. The result is that a second, but fainter bow may be produced, with angular width of about 3° , the angular distances for the extreme rays being about 51° and 54° , with red on the lower side and violet on the outer border.

For more than two internal reflections the emergent light is so reduced in brightness, or is so directed, as to be almost if not quite imperceptible. Often only the primary bow is seen. One or both bows may be seen as complete circles in the spray of a fountain or cascade under favorable conditions.

THIRD APPROXIMATION.

Thus far we have assumed but a single value, 60° , for the angle of incidence on a drop while in reality this takes all values from zero to 90° . For nearly perpendicular incidence the proportion of light reflected is very small, and the value of D for a single internal reflection is then given by equation 2 as nearly 180° . For nearly grazing incidence the proportion externally reflected is large, hence very little is returned by internal reflection; and the value of D for a single internal reflection, when $i = 85^\circ$, is given by equation 2 for red light as $156^\circ 36'$. Under the same condition for $i = 60^\circ$ the equation gives $D = 137^\circ 56'$. This is less than either of the preceding values, while the proportion of light refracted and internally reflected is much greater. The internally reflected rays are thus crowded about some mean ray that gives a minimum value of D , and these are Descartes's "effective rays". A simple application of calculus to equation 2 makes it possible to find the value of i corresponding to this minimum value of D , and thus to compute D . For red light it is $i = 59^\circ 24'$, and $D = 137^\circ 54'$, which is the supplement of $42^\circ 6'$ the angular distance of the red border of the primary bow from the axial line passing through the observer's eye from the sun. Since most of the emergent light corresponds approximately to this angle, but is not confined to this value of i , it follows that the entire area within the primary rainbow will receive some light, but none will be deviated beyond the outer margin of the red.

Similar reasoning may be applied to the secondary bow with the result that the region exterior to it will be slightly illuminated while that on its concave side receives none of its scattering rays. The area between the primary and secondary bows is hence that of minimum illumination while the adjacent primary bow is that of maximum illumination. The two bows are separated by a distinctly dark band.

The experimental test of what has just been set forth may be readily made with a spectrometer. At the center is erected a small glass cylinder, this substitute for a sphere being selected because the measurements are restricted to a horizontal plane. The index of refraction being known and the angle of incidence being controllable, the deviations for the different hues are calculated by use of equation 2. A beam of white light is transmitted through a narrow vertical slit and made parallel by the collimator. By suitable shifting of the observing telescope the values of D found by experiment are compared with the indications of theory. If any errors or additional phenomena are discovered the investigation of these is naturally suggested.

To avoid the use of calculus Pernter makes out a table of values of D for selected values of i from 30° to 85° . The results are expressed graphically and the lowest point of the curve is thus found to correspond to an angle of incidence a trifle less than 60° . For greater exactness the following trigonometric method is then given for finding the angle of incidence corresponding to minimum deviation.

By a simple transformation equation 2 becomes

$$D = n\pi + 2 \left(i - (n+1)r \right).$$

For brevity let $p = n+1$. Then

$$D = n\pi + 2(i - pr). \quad (3)$$

This indicates that small alterations of i will produce diminishing alterations of D until the minimum value of D is reached. Let a denote an increment of i ; let β be the corresponding increment for r , and d that of D . Then

$$\begin{aligned} D + d &= n\pi + 2 \left((i + a) - p(r + \beta) \right) \\ &= n\pi + 2(i - pr) + 2(a - p\beta). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Subtracting (3) from (4),

$$d = 2(a - p\beta).$$

The condition that makes d vanish is obviously

$$a = p\beta. \quad (5)$$

If I denotes the angle of incidence when d vanishes, and R that of refraction, the index of refraction being n , Snell's law gives

$$\sin(I + a) = n \sin(R + \beta).$$

Expanding and reducing this equation, noting that a and β are small so that $\sin a = a$, $\sin \beta = \beta$, and $\cos a = \cos \beta = 1$, also that $a = p\beta$, we readily obtain

$$\sin^2 I = \frac{p^2 - n^2}{p^2 - 1} \quad (6)$$

Using this equation Pernter makes out a table of values of I for water, and the corresponding values of R and D , for the first fifteen successive internal reflections. A similar table is then made for glass, and the results are subject to comparison.

FOURTH APPROXIMATION.

Up to this point, as has just been shown, geometrical optics without calculus is sufficient for the study of the rainbow, and this is usually the limit of the treatment applied.

Let us consider some of the rays that penetrate a raindrop at angles of incidence differing considerably from that corresponding to minimum deviation. Without sensible error we may assume 60° for the angle of incidence of the "effective rays". For comparison two other angles of incidence, 50° and 70° , may be selected, each differing 10° from 60° , and we may assume $n = 1.333$, corresponding approximately to orange red, or the Fraunhofer line C . We will also assume but a single internal reflection for this monochromatic light. Let SA be the ray incident at 60° (fig. 3, Plate II), $S'A'$ at 50° , and $S''A''$ at 70° . Although these incident rays are parallel, the corresponding emergent rays are readily found by equation 2 to be not parallel. For the emergent ray CE the angle of deviation,

D , is $137^\circ 54'$; for $C'E'$, $139^\circ 44'$; for $C''E''$, $140^\circ 46'$. The emergent wave front is perpendicular to each of these rays. On leaving the drop CE and $C'E'$ diverge, as if they had come from some point, F , while CE and $C''E''$ converge, crossing at the point G . If P be a point on the least deviated ray, CE , about which the effective rays are most crowded, the wave front PR on the upper side of PE will be convex, while the part PQ on the lower side will be concave, toward the direction of propagation. This curvature indicates the development of phase difference on emergence, so that the mutual relation of the different components of the beam is like that of rays issuing from a diffraction grating. Interference bands of alternate brightness and darkness are hence superposed on the main sheaf of effective rays and may become plainly noticeable along its margins.

The rainbow is thus a complex result of both refraction and interference of light. We have assumed the incident rays to be parallel. But this is not strictly true. Each illuminated point on a drop of water in mid-air receives light from the whole disk of the sun, which is more than half a degree in angular diameter. The incident sheaf is hence conical. A variety of overlapping spectra are thus produced by refraction, widening the rainbow beyond the requirements of the ordinary theory, and greatly diminishing the purity of the colors observed. The extent of these disturbances depends much on the clearness or haziness of the atmosphere. In any case the illumination of the air in the direction of the sun produces an effective area with a diameter several times as great as that of the solar disk; and if this area is much charged with vapor the rainbow colors become so mixed that scarcely more than a whitish arch is distinguishable. The same disturbing influences destroy the sharpness of the interference bands just discussed. No theory of the rainbow can therefore be made to fit exactly the phenomena as ordinarily observed because we can not quite realize the assumptions implied; but under favorable circumstances the interference bands have been repeatedly traced, particularly along the inner margin of the primary bow at its upper part. They are known as supernumerary bows.

The complete theory of the rainbow thus requires a mathematical investigation of the curved wave front of the emergent rays. This was first done about 1835 by Potter. It requires also a determination of the intensity at any point receiving the light thus subjected to interference. This was done by Airy in 1836. The process is complex and leads to an integral, the values of which were calculated by Airy for a variety of wave lengths by successive approximation. No one but a skilled mathematician could be expected to understand or repeat the details of the work.

From the theory of diffraction it may be shown that the width and the degree of separation of the interference bands is increased with diminishing size of the raindrops. This may be experimentally tested with the spectrometer by the use of small cylinders of glass as media. In Pernter's discussion he describes experiments of this kind, and reaches the conclusion that the rainbows of richest color are those from water drops varying in diameter from about two-tenths to four-tenths of a millimeter. He gives an elaborate trigonometric investigation, which he ascribes to Wirtzinger (Innsbruck, 1897), and by which the results of Potter and Airy are attained; but it can not be called elementary, though he claims to have greatly simplified Wirtzinger's work. It covers more than six pages of rather fine print, and the majority of readers, if they have any knowledge of Potter and Airy, would perhaps be ready to admit the correctness of the results without plowing through the intricate underbrush of equations. Calculus is indeed avoided, but not with great saving of labor.

Pernter closes his discussion with an earnest appeal to all teachers in secondary schools to give in full the correct theory

of the rainbow. He regards it "an irrefutable duty" (eine unabewisliche Pflicht). All of the observed phenomena he considers as postulates of the correct theory and in contradiction to the Descartes theory of "effective rays," which he thinks should give place to that of "effective wave surfaces". He proclaims as his aim that no one henceforward should teach a false theory of the rainbow, since the means are now at hand for giving the correct theory in a way easily intelligible to school pupils (den Schülern leicht verständlichen Weise). How easy this may be, it would hardly be wise to accept on trust from one who is plainly an enthusiast. Probably the majority of physicists will continue to believe that the colors of the rainbow are due chiefly to refractive dispersion. This may be true without any disregard of the masterly work of Airy or any faulty observation of the phenomena of interference.

RECENT PAPERS BEARING ON METEOROLOGY.

H. H. KIMBALL, Librarian.

The subjoined titles have been selected from the contents of the periodicals and serials recently received in the Library of the Weather Bureau. The titles selected are of papers or other communications bearing on meteorology or cognate branches of science. This is not a complete index of the meteorological contents of all the journals from which it has been compiled; it shows only the articles that appear to the compiler likely to be of particular interest in connection with the work of the Weather Bureau. Unsigned articles are indicated by a —.

Bulletin of the American Geographical Society. New York. Vol. 38. Apr., 1906.

W[ard], R. DeC[ourcy]. The pressure and rainfall conditions of the trades-monsoon area. [Abstract of work by W. L. Dallas.] P. 237.

Electrical World. New York. Vol. 47. Apr. 28, 1906.

McAdie, Alexander G. Atmospheric electricity and trees. Pp. 870-874.

Nature. London. Vol. 73. Apr. 19, 1906.

Wood, Alex. Diurnal periodicity of ionization of gases. P. 583.

Lockyer, William J. S. Studies of temperature and pressure observations. Pp. 594-595.

Popular Astronomy. Northfield, Minn. Vol. 14. May, 1906.

— Shadow bands. Pp. 319-320.

Proceedings of the Royal Society. London. Series B. Vol. 77. No. 519.

Hill, Leonard and Greenwood M., Jr. The influence of increased barometric pressure on man. Pp. 442-453.

Science. New York. New Series. Vol. 23. Apr. 27, May 11, 1906.

Fergusson, S. P. Meteorological phenomena on mountain summits. [Comparison of mountain and kite observations, Mount Washington.] Pp. 672-674.

McGee, W. J. Climatology of Tinajas Altas, Arizona. Pp. 722-730.

Science Abstracts. London. Vol. 9. Apr. 25, 1906.

S[tarling], R. G. Coefficient of viscosity of air at low temperatures. [Abstract of article by S. Chella.] P. 187.

B[aynes], R. E. Pressure and temperature of air in motion. [Abstract of article by F. M. Exner]. P. 188.

B[aynes], R. E. Distribution of solar radiation on the earth. [Abstract of article by F. Hoptner.] P. 189.

B[utler], C. P. Frequency of curves of barometric heights. [Abstract of article by J. P. van der Stok.] P. 190.

Scientific American. New York. Vol. 94. Apr. 28, 1906.

— Feeling the earth's pulse. Pp. 344-347.

Scientific American Supplement. New York. Vol. 61. Apr. 28, May 5, 1906.

McAdie, Alexander G. Lightning and the electricity of the air. II, III. Pp. 25347-25350; 25364-25365.

Scottish Geographical Magazine. Edinburgh. Vol. 22. May, 1906.

Mossman, R[obert] C[ockburn]. Some meteorological results of the Scottish National Antarctic Expedition. Pp. 252-272.

Symons's Meteorological Magazine. London. Vol. 41. Apr., 1906.

Rambau, Arthur A. The green flash on the horizon. Pp. 41-45.

— Meteorological observations taken during 1905 at Panani, Pemba, near Zanzibar. P. 47.

Terrestrial Magnetism and Atmospheric Electricity. Baltimore. Vol. 11. Mar., 1906.

Elster, J.; Geitel, H.; Harms, F. Luftelektrische und photometrische Beobachtungen während der totalen Sonnenfinsternis vom 30 August 1905, in Palma (Mallorca). Pp. 1-44.

Burbank, J. E. Recent papers on atmospheric electricity. [Abstracts.] P. 57-61.

Atmospheric electricity in high latitudes.

Observations of the rate of dissipation of electric charges in the open air.

An electrometer for radioactive investigations.

Vertical electric currents in the atmosphere.

New apparatus for electrical conductivity of the air.

Induced thorium activity at Göttingen.

Observations of atmospheric electricity at Palma, Majorca, during the total eclipse of August 30, 1905.

Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences. Paris. Tome 142. 9 avril 1906.

Rozet, Cl. Observations d'ombres volantes au lever et au coucher du soleil. Pp. 913-915.

Rotch, [A.] L[awrence] and Teisserenc de Bort, L[eon]. Résultats des sondages aériens dans la région des alizés. Pp. 918-921.

La Géographie. Paris. Tome 15. 15 février 1906.

Brunhes, Bernard. La Conférence Météorologique d'Innsbruck. Pp. 125-133.

Rudaux, Lucien. Le climat de Dawson City. Pp. 151-153.

Journal de Physique. Paris. 4 série. Tome 5. Avril 1906.

Bouty, E. Passage de l'électricité à travers des couches de gaz épaisses. Loi de Paschen, application à la haute atmosphère. Pp. 229-241.

Revue Néphologique. Mons. Avril 1906.

Bracke, A. La prévision locale par le degré de nébulosité. Pp. 25-26.

— Calcul de la nébulosité par les dénominations relatives à l'état du ciel. Pp. 28-29.

Annalen der Hydrographie und Maritimen Meteorologie. Berlin. 34 Jahrgang. 1906.

Meinardus, Wilhelm. Periodische Schwankungen der Eistrift bei Island. Pp. 148-162.

Annalen der Physik. Leipzig. 4 Folge. Band 19. 1906.

Walter, B. Einige weitere Bemerkungen über Blitze und photographische Blitzaufnahmen. Pp. 1032-1044.

Beiträge zur Physik der freien Atmosphäre. Strassburg. 1 Band, 4 Heft.

Schubert, J. Der Zustand und die Strömungen der Atmosphäre. Pp. 147-162.

Quervain, A. de. Untersuchungen über die Verkleinbarkeit der Temperaturregistrirungen in der freien Atmosphäre, mit experimenteller Bestimmung der Trägheitskoefizienten der verschiedenen Thermographen. Pp. 163-199.

Hergesell, H[ugo]. Ballon-Aufstiege über dem freien Meere zur Erforschung der Temperatur und Feuchtigkeitsverhältnisse sowie der Luftströmungen bis zu sehr grossen Höhen der Atmosphäre. Pp. 200-204.

Hergesell, H[ugo]. Die Erforschung der freien Atmosphäre über dem atlantischen Ozean nördlich des Wendekreises des Krebses an Bord der Yacht Seiner Durchlaucht des Fürsten von Monaco im Jahre 1905. Pp. 205-207.

Hergesell, H[ugo] and Kleinschmidt, E. Nachtrag zu der Arbeit: über die Kompensation von Aneroidbarometern gegen Temperaturinwirkungen. Pp. 207-210.

Meteorologische Zeitschrift. Braunschweig. Band 23. Apr., 1906.

Heilman, G. Ueber die Kenntnis der magnetischen Deklination vor Christoph Columbus. Pp. 145-149.

Quervain, A. de. Ueber die Bestimmung atmosphärischer Strömungen durch Registrier- und Pilot-balloons. Pp. 149-152.

Grossmann, [Louis Adolph]. Die barometrische Höhenformel und ihre Anwendung. Pp. 152-162.

Exner, Felix M. Das Wetter bei Kellen hohen Luftdruckes im Norden der Alpen. Pp. 163-169.

Stigleithner, Josef. Staubfall; Gelber Schnee. P. 170.

Maurer, J. Aufstiege in der Atmosphäre mittels gefesselten Registrierballons. Pp. 170-172.

Walter, B. Ueber das Nachleuchten der Luft bei Blitzschlägen. Pp. 172-174.

Sebelien, John. Ueber die Verteilung der aktinischen Sonnenstrahlung über die Erdoberfläche. Pp. 174-175.

Vincent, J. Die doppelte Bewegung der Cirrusstreifen. Pp. 176-177.

Graziadei, Heinrich. Ein Beitrag zur elementaren Ableitung der ablenkenden Kraft der Erdrotation. Pp. 178-180.

Grossman, L[ouis]. Mögliche und wirkliche Sonnenscheindauer. P. 180.

Samec, Maximilian. Ueber die Durchsichtigkeit der Luft bei verschiedenen Witterungszuständen in Wien. Pp. 181-182.

Wiesner, J. Ueber die Aenderung des diffusen Lichtes mit der Seehöhe. Pp. 182-183.

Naturwissenschaftliche Rundschau. Berlin. 21 Jahrgang. 3 Mai, 1906.

Geitel, H[ans]. Ueber die spontane Ionisierung der Luft und anderer Gase. Pp. 21-225.

Physikalische Zeitschrift. Leipzig. 7 Jahrgang. 15 Apr., 1906.

Dufour, Henri. Die Leitfähigkeit der Luft in bewohnten Räumen. Pp. 253-266.

Koenigsberger, J. Ueber den Temperaturgradienten der Erde bei Annahme radioaktiver und chemischer Prozesse. Pp. 297-300.

Krebs, Wilhelm. *Technischer Nachweis eines Einflusses der Sonnenaktivität auf die erdmagnetischen Störungen vom November, 1905.* Pp. 309-311.

Mache, Heinrich. *Ueber die Diffusion von Luft durch Wasser.* Pp. 316-318.

Das Wetter. Berlin. 23 Jahrgang. Apr., 1906.

Klengel, Freidrich. *Die Niederschlagsverhältnisse von Deutsch-Südwestafrika.* Pp. 73-77.

Stalikof, D. *Systematische Beobachtungen der Dämmerungsscheinungen.* Pp. 78-83.

— *Dämmerungsbeobachtungen in Sofia 1905.* Pp. 84-85.

Assman, J., Sen. *Der schneereiche Winter 1905-06.* Pp. 93-95.

RECENT ADDITIONS TO THE WEATHER BUREAU LIBRARY.

H. H. KIMBALL, Librarian.

The following titles have been selected from among the books recently received, as representing those most likely to be useful to Weather Bureau officials in their meteorological work and studies. Most of them can be loaned for a limited time to officials and employees who make application for them.

Abbe, Cleveland, jr. *The climate of Alaska.* (Extr. fr. Professional paper No. 45, U. S. Geological Survey.) Pp. 133-200. 4°. Washington. 1906.

Alexander [Aphrodisiensis]. *In Aristotelis meteorologicorum libros commentaria. Consilio et auctoritate Academiae litterarum regiae Borussicæ. Edidit Michael Hayduck.* xiii, 255 pp. 4°. Berolini. 1899.

Barus, Karl. *A continuous record of atmospheric nucleation.* (Smithsonian contributions to knowledge. No. 1651.) xvi, 226 pp. 4°. Washington. 1905.

Bologna. Università. Osservatorio. *Osservazioni meteorologiche...* 1904. 29 pp. 4°. Bologna. 1905.

Bracke, A. *Les précipitations dans la région de Mons.* 91 pp. 8°. Mons. 1906.

Chevreuse (France). Observatoire. *Météorologie 1903-1904-1905.* 24 pp. 8°. n. p. n. d.

Colegio de San Juan Nepomuceno. (Saltillo, Mexico). Observatorio Meteorológico. Año de 1905. 40 pp. 4°. [Saltillo. 1906.]

Denmark. Danske Meteorologiske Institut. *Nautisk-meteorologisk Aarbog,* 1905. xxix, 159 pp. 4°. Kjøbenhavn. 1906.

Endrös, Anton. *Seeschwankungen (Seiches) beobachtet am Chiemsee...* Dissertation. (Vorgelegt am 23 October 1903.) 117 pp. 8°. Traunstein. 1903.

Die Seiches des Waginger-Tachingersees. (S. A.-Sitzber. Ak., München. Bd. 35, 1905, Heft 3.) Pp. 447-476.

Flammarion, Camille. *L'atmosphère et les grands phénomènes de la nature.* 370 pp. 4°. Paris. 1905.

Les phénomènes de la foudre. 338 pp. 8°. Paris. [1905.]

France. Bureau Central Météorologique. *Annales.* 1902. I. *Mémoires.* xii, 181 pp. 4°. Paris. 1905.

Annales. 1902. II. *Observations.* v. p. 4°. Paris. 1905.

Annales. 1902. III. *Pluies en France.* (6), 143 pp. 4°. Paris. 1904.

Annales. 1903. III. *Pluies en France.* (6), 143 pp. 4°. Paris. 1905.

Great Britain. Meteorological Office. *The Beaufort scale of wind force...* With a report... by G. C. Simpson, and notes by G. H. Darwin, W. H. Dines, and Campbell Hepworth. (Official No. 180.) 54 pp. 4°. London. 1906.

Report of the meteorological council... year ending March 31, 1905. 227 pp. 8°. London. 1906.

Hamberg, H[ugo] E[manuel]. *Moyennes mensuelles et annuelles de la température et extrêmes de température mensuels pendant les 150 années 1756-1905 à l'Observatoire de Stockholm.* (Akad. Handl., Stockholm. Bd. 40. No. 1.) 59 pp. 4°. Uppsala and Stockholm. 1906.

House, William. *The climate of the Pacific northwest and its influence on nervous and mental disease.* (Repr. fr. Med. sentinel, Portland, Oreg., Mar., 1906.) 5 pp. 8°.

Humphreys, W[illiam] J[ackson]. *An attempt to find the cause of the width of the spectrum end of the pressure shift.* (Repr. Astrop. J., Apr., 1906.) Pp. 233-247. 8°.

Hungary. Königlich-ungarische Central-Anstalt für Meteorologie und Erdmagnetismus. *Bericht über die Tätigkeit...* 1904. 38 pp. 8°. Budapest. 1905.

Internationale Seismologische Assoziation. *Übereinkunft betreffend die Organisation der internationalen Assoziation.* Juli, 1903. Abgeändert August, 1905. 4 pp. 4°. n. t. p.

Internationale Seismologische Konferenz. Berlin, August 15, 1905. *Sitzungsberichte.* 11 pp. 4°. n. t. p.

Japan. Central Meteorological Observatory. [Observations at Japanese meteorological stations in China.] 1904, 1905. 4°. Tokio. n. d.

London. Solar Physics Observatory. South Kensington. Report... upon the work... 1905. 21 pp. 8°. n. t. p. [1906.]

Madras. Kodaikanal and Madras Observatories. Annual report... for 1905. 25 pp. 4°. Madras. 1906.

Marchi, Luigi de. *Considerazioni generali sulla circolazione delle atmosfere della terra, del sole e di Giove.* (Atti Istit., Venezia. 1905-6, Tomo 65, Parte seconda.) Pp. 591-610. 8°. Venezia. 1906.

New South Wales. Government Astronomer. *Mean annual rain map of New South Wales.* 1 chart, 25 by 31 cm. [Sydney.] n. d.

Nilsson, H. *Einige Beobachtungen über die tägliche Variation in Leistungsvermögen der atmosphärischen Luft in Upsala.* (Oefversigt. Stockholm. 1902. No. 7.) Pp. 243-248. 8.

Platania, Giovanni and Platania, Gaetano. *Sul magnetismo prodotto da fulminazioni.* (Estr. Mem. accad. Zealanti. Acireale. 3 ser. Vol. 4, 1905-6.) 8 pp. 8°. Acireale. 1906.

Prussia. Königliches Preussisches Meteorologisches Institut. *Ergebnisse der meteorologischen Beobachtungen in Potsdam...* 1902. xv, 118 pp. 4°. Berlin. 1905.

Ergebnisse der Niederschlagsbeobachtungen... 1902. xliv, 243 pp. 4°. Berlin. 1905.

Scott, Robert H. *Elementary meteorology.* 8th thousand. xiv, 410 pp. 8°. London. 1903.

Schindler, Hermann. *Beitrag zur Kenntnis der Niederschlagsverhältnisse Mährens und Schlesiens.* 13 pp. 8°. Brünn. 1904.

Trabert, Wilhelm. *Meteorologie und Klimatologie.* 132 pp. 8°. Leipzig. 1905.

Uruguay. Instituto Nacional para la Predicción del Tiempo. Año 1906, Numero 1. 8°. Montevideo. 1906.

Vincent, J. *Les variations du temps et leur prévision.* (Extrait de l'Annuaire météorologique pour 1906.) 28 pp. 12°. Bruxelles. 1905.

Watkins, V. E. *Neurasthenia among blondes in the Southwest.* (Repr. fr. N. Y. med. J. and Phila. med. J., Dec. 30, 1905.) 3 pp. 12°.

Westman, J. *Einige Messungen über die Ablationsgeschwindigkeit der Schneedecke in Stockholm, und bei Kärrgrufvan im Frühling 1902.* (Oefversigt. Stockholm. 1902. No. 9.) Pp. 325-334. 8°.

Western Australia. Government Astronomer. *Meteorological observations...* Perth observatory and other places... 1902. 143 pp. 4°. Perth. 1904.

Woodruff, Charles E[dward]. *Complexions of the insane.* (Repr. fr. N. Y. med. J. and Phila. med. J., Dec. 23, 1905.) 7 pp. 12°.

The neurasthenic states caused by excessive light. (Repr. fr. Med. record, Dec. 23, 1905.) 21 pp. 12°.

Wundt, Walter. *Barometrische Teildepressionen und ihre Wellenförmige Aufeinanderfolge.* 21 pp. 4°. [Berlin. 1904.]

WEATHER BUREAU MEN AS EDUCATORS.

The following lectures and addresses by Weather Bureau men are reported:

Mr. W. T. Blythe, April 18, 1906, before the Railroad Branch of the Young Men's Christian Association, Brightwood, Ind.; also April 28, 1906, before the Indiana Association of Science and Mathematics Teachers, Indianapolis, Ind., on "The History of Meteorology and of the U. S. Weather Service".

Mr. N. B. Conger, April 29, 1906, before the Business Men's Class of Brewster Church, Detroit, Mich., on "The Weather Bureau and its Work".

Prof. H. J. Cox, March 7, 1906, before the Geological Club, University of Chicago; also March 9, before the Geographic Society of Chicago, in the exhibition room of the local Weather Bureau office, also March 20, before the Department of Science and Philosophy, Lakeview Woman's Club, on "Chicago Weather", with lantern slide illustrations.

Mr. David Cuthbertson, April 24, 1906, before the North Buffalo, N. Y., Young Men's Literary Association, on "The Workings of the U. S. Weather Bureau and its Value".

Mr. G. T. Todd, April 24, 1906, before the Men's Guild of St. Paul's Church, Albany, N. Y., on "Meteorological Instruments, Weather Maps, and Forecasting".

Mr. F. J. Walz, April 5, 1906, before the Male High School, Louisville, Ky., also April 6, before the Female High School, on "The Forecast Work of the Weather Bureau", with lantern slide illustrations.

Classes from colleges, schools, academies, etc., have visited Weather Bureau offices, to study the instruments and equipment and receive informal instruction, as reported from the following offices:

Albany, N. Y., April 11, 1906, 35 men from the local Young Men's Christian Association.

Buffalo, N. Y., April 27, 1906, a physical geography class from the State Normal School.

Charlotte, N. C., April 28, 1906, the junior physics class from Elizabeth College.

Detroit, Mich., April 13, 1906, a class from the Leggett Home and Day School.

Evansville, Ind., April 9, 1906, a section of the senior class of the Henderson, Ky., High School.

Iola, Kans., April 3 and 6, 1906, a class in physical geography from the Iola High School, in two sections.

La Salle, Ill., during the middle of April, several visits by the class in general science of the La Salle-Peru Township High School.

Little Rock, Ark., April 2, 1906, the senior physics class of the local High School.

Moorhead, Minn., April 10 and 11, 1906, the physical geography class of the Normal School, in two sections.

Pittsburg, Pa., April 16 and 17, 1906, the class in physics of the Fifth Avenue High School, in sections.

Springfield, Ill., April 12, 1906, over 150 pupils of the local High School.

Syracuse, N. Y., April 5, 1906, a class from the local High School.

MR. R. F. deGRAIN.

Mr. Reinhold Frederick deGrain, clerk of class I in the Weather Bureau, died at his residence in Washington, D. C., on April 25, 1906. Mr. deGrain, born in Marien, Werder, Prussia, July 18, 1840, came to America in 1862, and at once entered the Union Army (the avowed purpose of his immigration), and was discharged after an honorable service of three years' duration. He joined the Signal Corps in 1874, and, with the exception of about four years, served continuously in that corps and the Weather Bureau until his death. His duties in the Bureau were those of draftsman, for which he had been fitted by education in Germany. Mr. deGrain enjoyed the high respect both of his comrades in the Grand Army of the Republic, of which he had long been an active member, and of his associates in the Weather Bureau. He was buried in Arlington National Cemetery.—J. P. C.

FORECASTS AND WARNINGS.

By Prof. E. B. GARRIOTT, in charge of Forecast Division.

High barometric pressure prevailed over the eastern Atlantic during the first half of April. From the 16th to 19th a disturbance moved from Portugal northeastward over continental Europe. During the last decade of the month pressure was low over the British Isles, with reported minimum, 28.98 inches, at Sumburg Head, Scotland, on the 28th. In the vicinity of the Azores the barometer was high except on the 1st, 2d, and 12th, when slight depressions were shown in that region. Over the western Atlantic there were frequent fluctuations of the barometer until the 17th, after which the pressure continued low, with a minimum of 29.00 inches at Eastport, Me., on the 24th.

The first important storm of the month in the United States occupied middle and southern portions of the Rocky Mountain and Plateau regions from the 1st to 7th, crossed the lower Missouri Valley on the 8th, the Great Lakes on the 9th and 10th, and by the evening of the 10th had united on the southern New England coast with a secondary disturbance that had developed on that date over Virginia. During the 11th the storm center moved northeastward over the Canadian Maritime Provinces. This disturbance was attended by snow in the northern Plateau and northern Rocky Mountain districts, by rain from the Pacific coast over middle and southern portions of the Plateau and Rocky Mountain districts, and, in connection with low area III, by rain generally east of the Rocky Mountains. From southern California over western Arizona, southern Nevada, and southwestern Utah, and in areas from the Mississippi and lower Missouri valleys to the Atlantic coast the rainfall was heavy, and augmented flood stages in the lower Ohio and lower Mississippi rivers and tributaries. On the 10th and 11th high easterly shifting to northwesterly winds prevailed on the New England coast.

From the 10th to 15th a disturbance moved from California to the St. Lawrence Valley. On the 10th and 11th rain fell from the Pacific coast over the middle Rocky Mountain districts, and extended on the 12th over the Missouri and middle and upper Mississippi valleys, with snow in the northern Rocky Mountain districts. During the afternoon of the 12th severe local storms were reported in an area extending from

southern Kansas to northern Texas. During the 13th heavy rain and thunderstorms occurred in the lower Mississippi Valley and the rain area extended over the Ohio Valley and the upper Lake region. The rain area reached the Atlantic coast on the 14th and during the night of that date was heavy in the Middle Atlantic States.

In connection with a disturbance that moved from the British Northwest Possessions to the Maine coast from the 19th to 22d and two disturbances, secondary thereto, that appeared over the Middle Atlantic States and passed thence northeastward, rain fell in the Middle Atlantic and New England States and snow from the upper Ohio Valley over the mountains of Pennsylvania and the interior of New York and New England from the 21st to 23d, and gales prevailed along the middle Atlantic and New England coasts from the 22d to 24th. From the 22d to 24th rain fell in the Pacific coast States. From the 23d to 26th a disturbance moved from the British Northwest Possessions to Minnesota, attended on the 25th by showers and thunderstorms in the Northwestern States. From the 26th to 30th a disturbance advanced from the southeastern Rocky Mountain slope to the St. Lawrence Valley, attended on the 26th and 27th by snow and rain in Colorado, and on the 27th by thunderstorms in the lower Missouri Valley. On the night of the 26th severe local storms were reported at several points in Texas. From the 27th to the close of the month a disturbance moved from the north Pacific coast to western Kansas, attended by rain from the Pacific States to the Mississippi and Ohio valleys, and by snow at Flagstaff, Ariz.

On the morning of the 2d light frost occurred on the east Gulf and south Atlantic coasts, and on the 2d and 3d heavy frost was reported at Wilmington, N. C. From the 15th to 18th a frost-bearing cool wave advanced from the Missouri and upper Mississippi valleys to the middle Atlantic coast States, and heavy frost occurred in the mountains of Virginia and North Carolina on the 17th and 18th. On the 23d heavy frost was reported in the upper Mississippi and Ohio valleys, and on the 24th from the lower Lake region and upper Ohio Valley over the Middle Atlantic States and North Carolina,

with light frost as far south as Augusta, Ga. During the closing days of the month freezing temperature and snow occurred at Flagstaff, Ariz.

Warnings were issued well in advance of storms that threatened shipping on the seacoasts and Great Lakes, and ample and timely advices regarding frosts and freezing temperatures were distributed in sections where vegetation was subject to damage by cold.

The display of storm warnings on the Great Lakes was resumed for the season April 16, 1906.

BOSTON FORECAST DISTRICT.

The principal storm occurred on the 9th and 10th, when heavy precipitation occurred over a great part of New England. In Maine the precipitation fell as snow, which exceeded 6 inches in many sections of the State. Along the coast the storm was attended by easterly gales that caused considerable damage to shipping and to telegraph and telephone lines. Storm warnings are displayed in connection with this storm and also on the 14th and 24th. No gales occurred for which warnings were not displayed.—*J. W. Smith, District Forecaster.*

LOUISVILLE FORECAST DISTRICT.

Fine seasonable weather prevailed. Several thunderstorm periods were attended by moderate rains and more or less severe local storms. There were three warm periods and a like number of cool periods with frost. Special frost warnings issued on the mornings of the 16th and 23d were verified.—*F. J. Walz, District Forecaster.*

CHICAGO FORECAST DISTRICT.

Warnings were issued to open ports on Lake Michigan in connection with storms that reached the upper Lake region on the 9th and 14th. On the morning of the 25th warnings were issued to Lake ports for a storm that occupied the middle Missouri Valley. This storm was attended by thunder-squalls on Lakes Michigan and Superior.—*H. J. Cox, Professor and District Forecaster.*

NEW ORLEANS FORECAST DISTRICT.

No storm or cold-wave warnings were issued or required. Frost warnings were issued on the 13th for Oklahoma and on the 14th for Arkansas and were verified over portions of the area covered by the warnings. No frost occurred without warning.—*I. M. Cline, District Forecaster.*

DENVER FORECAST DISTRICT.

Unsettled weather predominated. Storms were not severe in the plains region, but in the mountain districts, especially in Colorado, heavy falls of snow were common. Light frost was noted on a number of dates, but owing to the backwardness of vegetation little or no damage resulted. No special warnings were issued or needed.—*F. H. Brandenburg, District Forecaster.*

SAN FRANCISCO AND PORTLAND FORECAST DISTRICTS.

Rain occurred in southern California on the 4th, 5th, and 6th, and in Nevada on the 11th, and rain was general in California and Nevada on the 10th, 22d, 27th, and 28th. In the North Pacific States and Idaho the month was warm and dry, the mean temperature at Portland, Oreg., being the highest on record for that station. Frost occurred frequently during the month and timely warnings were issued in connection therewith. Storm warnings were ordered well in advance of a storm that appeared off the Washington coast on the morning of the 8th.—*E. A. Beals, District Forecaster.*

RIVERS AND FLOODS.

At the close of the month of March, 1906, the Ohio and Mississippi rivers and their principal tributaries were rising rapidly, and by the second day of April a moderate flood was in progress in the Ohio River below the Big Sandy, the crest passing Cairo on the 9th. The stages from Cincinnati to Cairo were only slightly above the flood lines, except at Evansville

and Mount Vernon, Ind., where the water reached a height of a little over 41 feet on the 6th and 7th, about six feet above the flood stage. Along the Wabash and White rivers the flood was quite severe, with a stage at Mount Carmel, Ill., on the Wabash River, of 23.6 feet, 8.6 feet above the flood stage. A large area of bottom lands was overflowed, and in a few lowland sections the people were compelled to temporarily abandon their homes. The damage done was comparatively little, as the floods occurred sufficiently early to allow the bottoms to dry out fairly well before the full inauguration of the season of spring plowing. There was some damage done to growing wheat along the Wabash River, but its exact extent has not been ascertained.

The preliminary warnings for these floods were issued on March 28, and specific ones regularly thereafter until the waters began to recede.

The lower Mississippi flood also set in early, and the dates of reaching the flood stages at the various stations were as follows:

Station.	Flood stage.	Date.	Maximum stage.	Date.
New Madrid, Mo.	34	April 2	37.0	April 9-12
Luxora, Ark.	33	31.3	April 14-15
Memphis, Tenn.	33	April 6	37.1	April 16
Helena, Ark.	42	April 8	47.0	April 18-19
Arkansas City, Ark.	42	April 5	50.0	April 22
Greenville, Miss.	42	April 14	44.9	April 23-24
Vicksburg, Miss.	45	April 17	47.2	April 26
Natchez, Miss.	46	April 24	46.7	April 29-30
Baton Rouge, La.	35	May 1
New Orleans, La.	16	April 20

At the end of the month the river was falling from Natchez northward, but was still rising slowly below.

The issue of flood warnings began over the Memphis district on March 31, and they were gradually extended southward at the proper times. The warnings were verified to almost absolute exactness, and, so far as has been ascertained, no serious damage was caused, nor was there any irreparable delay in planting. Many people were, of course, subjected to considerable expense and inconvenience, but such experiences are in a measure expected almost annually. The following report on the flood in the Memphis district was prepared by Mr. S. C. Emery, the official in charge of the local office of the Weather Bureau at Memphis, Tenn. The remarks of Mr. Emery as to the effects of recent levee construction, and his deductions from the changed gage relations between Cairo and Helena, are especially interesting and instructive.

The first important rise of the season had its beginning about March 1, and was caused by a series of heavy rains over the Missouri watershed, supplemented by a protracted period of local rains. After reaching nearly a bank full stage about the middle of March, there occurred a slight decline, which was suddenly checked on March 24 by a sharp rise. This was caused by a Texas rainstorm that passed over the central valleys about that time, causing flood stages in many of the upper tributaries. These flood waters came mainly from the upper Mississippi, Ohio, and Cumberland rivers, and coming, as they did, into the lower stream with its already well-filled banks, at once gave promise of very high water throughout this district. Accordingly on March 31 warning messages and bulletins were extensively distributed over the threatened area; the inhabitants of the lowlands of Tennessee and Arkansas were notified that flood stages would soon prevail throughout the district, and that the river would be out of its banks in about seven days. Two days later a second warning bulletin was issued, in which the people were advised to prepare for stages as high as 38 feet at New Madrid, 37 feet at Memphis, and 47 feet at Helena. Considering the already swollen condition of the river, the rise was remarkably rapid, the daily increase ranging from two feet to about one-half foot from the beginning of the rise, on March 24, until the arrival of the flood crest, on April 14. On the latter date the river became practically stationary at Memphis, although the actual maximum occurred at noon of the 16th, when the gage marked 37.1 feet. The highest stage reached at New Madrid was 37 feet on April 9, and that at Helena, 47 feet on the 18th.

The river was above the danger line as follows: New Madrid from April 2 to 19, 18 days; at Memphis from April 6 to 24, 19 days; and at Helena from April 7 to 28, 21 days.

The region overflowed embraced all the bottom lands along the left bank of the Mississippi River from below Hickman, Ky., to a short distance above Memphis, most of the islands, and all of the Arkansas lands lying outside the protecting levee. The overflow caused no serious damage to property, or, as far as known, irreparable delay in planting. It necessarily caused very great inconvenience and considerable expense to the large number of people who were forced to abandon their homes and move live stock and other property to places of safety, but as, in some sections at least, these conditions have become somewhat of an annual occurrence, and as the people are in a measure prepared for such emergencies, the hardships in that connection were not excessive. All levee work was suspended, and a few of the camps of workmen were moved to higher ground.

As often as the mail facilities would permit, weather maps and river bulletins were sent to every town or settlement having a post office, and distributed along the river by passing boats. In this way nearly every one in the district was kept very well informed regarding the situation and also as to the prospects for the immediate future. As a result of the widespread distribution of river information there was very little excitement, and planters as a rule were able to control their negro help. Residents of Memphis having property interests in the overflowed district consulted with this office daily, and upon the advice given set about moving or otherwise protecting their property, or refrained from doing so as the exigencies of the case seemed to warrant.

The effect of the new extensions to the levee system has been the raising of the flood level in certain sections, notably at New Madrid and Helena. At the latter place, owing to the closing of an 18-mile gap in the levee south from Walnut Bend, which prevents the water from flowing out over the southeast portion of Lee County as it has done in previous years, the flood level has been raised about one and one-half feet; that is, with the same amount of water that obtained in 1903 and 1904 the gage at Helena may be expected to show about one and one-half feet more than was registered during the high water of those years.

The effect of the new levee along the south end of the basin is shown to some extent at Memphis and as far south as Mhoon's Landing, Miss. The additional restraint caused by the modified levee conditions has resulted in a slight engorgement, making the outflow somewhat less rapid, and retarding the arrival and passing of the flood crest at this place. In former years the crest of any rise was usually from two to three days in passing from Cairo to Memphis, while during the present high water the river at Memphis rose steadily for five days after a fall had set in at Cairo, and even on the seventh day a rise of two-tenths of a foot occurred, though the latter may possibly have been caused by the return waters from the Reelfoot bottoms, as was the case in 1903.

The usual flooding of North Memphis did not occur this year, on account of the recent construction of a high levee along the banks of Bayou Gayoso, which prevents the backwater in Wolf River from entering that portion of the city.

A change has also occurred in the gage relation between Cairo and New Madrid, Mo., the high-water level at the latter place having been raised nearly one foot since 1903. This is supposed to be due to the 4-mile extension recently made in the Reelfoot levee, which runs south from Hickman, Ky., to Tiptonville, Tenn., and fronts the Reelfoot bottoms. The object of this levee was to prevent the overflow waters from spreading through the lowlands in the northern portion of Lake County, Tenn., to Reelfoot Lake, from which place it passes through the marshes and small tributaries, entering the main stream several miles below New Madrid. About seven miles of the distance required to inclose the Reelfoot district is still open to the free passage of the overflow water, but in its incomplete state it has caused the increase at New Madrid noted above. When this levee has been completed, and the water is confined within narrower limits, we may expect the New Madrid gage to show a stage nearer approaching the Cairo reading than has heretofore obtained, as the waters that have formerly passed over and through the low country on the east side of the Mississippi will be thrown back to the Missouri shore.

The recent high water is of special interest at this time, as it is the first occurrence of such conditions since the completion of the St. Francis system of levees, which now extend from Point Pleasant, Mo., to the high ground near the mouth of the St. Francis River, a short distance above Helena, Ark., a total length of 207 miles. It was built jointly by the United States Government and the tax payers of Arkansas, at a cost to date of nearly \$4,000,000, and the present flood afforded the first successful test that has been given the levees since the completion of the entire system. It was in every way quite satisfactory. The water against the levees ranged in depth from 10 to 15 feet, and, while at no time were they considered in danger, they were given very close attention by the U. S. Engineers and Mississippi River Commission officials, a regular patrol being kept up over the entire line.

As an indication of the increasing confidence in the stability of the levee, even in its present state, it can be stated that the high water caused no interruption whatever to farm work or other operations throughout eastern Arkansas, except over those portions lying outside the levee. One could stand upon these great embankments, and see upon one side farmers busy at work planting their crops or engaged in other pursuits and on the other a wide expanse of water with no habitable spot visible as far as the eye could reach.

A very interesting fact in connection with the recent rise is that the highest stage at Memphis was only two-tenths of a foot below the maximum registered by the same gage during the great flood of 1897, when the St. Francis basin was completely inundated and a large number of its inhabitants were forced to leave their homes, to be cared for in refugee camps wherever such could be established.

Notwithstanding this similarity in the height of the wave crests at Memphis during the high water of 1897 and 1906, the records show that the maximum stage at Cairo in 1897 was nearly five feet higher than in 1906. This marked increase at Memphis is of course the result of confining the water to narrower limits, the full effect of which is now shown for the first time since the beginning of levee construction in this district in 1895.

It is now a well established fact that a stage at Cairo equal to that of 1883 (52.2 feet) would raise the water on the Memphis gage to 43 and possibly to 44 feet.

Nothing of interest occurred along the upper Mississippi, except in the vicinity of Hannibal, Mo., where the river was above the flood stage of 13 feet throughout the month, reaching a maximum stage of 15.2 on the 25th. The public was kept well informed of the steady rise, and but little damage resulted. Some unprotected bottom lands on both sides of the river were overflowed, and there was sufficient seepage through the Sny levee to somewhat delay farming operations on the lands behind.

The rivers of Alabama and eastern Mississippi which were generally above the flood stages at the beginning of the month, fell steadily after that time, reaching the normal low-water levels during the last days.

The annual rise of the Columbia River began on the 3d, an unusually early date. The extent of the rise and the possibility of severe floods depends, of course, upon the subsequent weather conditions, but the opinion appears to prevail that the melting snows from the mountains will supply all streams affected with at least an abundance of water for irrigation purposes. The following table shows the maximum stages at Portland, Oreg., resulting from the spring rises of the last thirty years, except those of 1877 and 1878:

Year.	Date.	Stage.
1876	June 24	28.2
1879	June 9	20.5
1880	July 1	27.3
1881	June 16	19.7
1882	June 14	26.2
1883	June 14	17.8
1884	June 14	22.2
1885	June 23	14.5
1886	June 9	20.0
1887	June 21	25.7
1888	June 18	18.2
1889	May 21	10.0
1890	May 20	21.1
1891	June 7	14.1
1892	June 24	19.3
1893	June 15	22.0
1894	June 7	33.0
1895	May 30	16.3
1896	June 23	23.8
1897	May 24	27.7
1898	June 19	20.7
1899	June 23	24.2
1900	May 20	17.8
1901	June 3	20.8
1902	June 4	20.8
1903	June 19	24.0
1904	June 27	20.8
1905	June 15	13.6

The highest and lowest water, mean stage, and monthly range at 309 river stations are given in Table VI. Hydrographs for typical points on seven principal rivers are shown on Chart V. The stations selected for charting are Keokuk, St. Louis, Memphis, Vicksburg, and New Orleans, on the Mississippi; Cincinnati and Cairo, on the Ohio; Nashville, on the Cumberland; Johnsonville, on the Tennessee; Kansas City, on the Missouri; Little Rock, on the Arkansas; and Shreveport, on the Red.—H. C. Frankenfield, Professor of Meteorology.

NOTE.—The term, "danger line", will no longer be used in designating the overflow stages of rivers. As a substitute the words "flood stage", will be used, the term meaning the lowest stage of water at which overflow will begin.

CLIMATOLOGICAL SUMMARY.

By Mr. JAMES BERRY, Chief of the Climatological Division.

TEMPERATURE AND PRECIPITATION BY SECTIONS, APRIL, 1906.

In the following table are given, for the various sections of the Climatological Service of the Weather Bureau, the average temperature and rainfall, the stations reporting the highest and lowest temperatures with dates of occurrence, the stations reporting greatest and least monthly precipitation, and other data, as indicated by the several headings.

The mean temperatures for each section, the highest and

lowest temperatures, the average precipitation, and the greatest and least monthly amounts are found by using all trustworthy records available.

The mean departures from normal temperature and precipitation are based only on records from stations that have ten or more years of observation. Of course the number of such records is smaller than the total number of stations.

Section.	Temperature—in degrees Fahrenheit.							Precipitation—in inches and hundredths.						
	Section average.	Departure from the normal.	Monthly extremes.					Section average.	Departure from the normal.	Greatest monthly.		Least monthly.		
			Station.	Highest.	Date.	Station.	Lowest.			Station.	Amount.	Station.	Amount.	
Alabama.....	65.0	+ 2.4	Highland Home.....	96	30	Tuscaloosa.....	28	1	1.03	-3.00	Bridgeport.....	2.43	Spring Hill.....	0.00
Arizona.....	59.2	- 2.3	Maricopa.....	103	22	Grand Canyon.....	12	12	0.63	+0.18	Williams.....	2.16	Bowie.....	0.00
Arkansas.....	63.7	+ 1.9	Parker.....	103	21	4 stations.....	27	1	2.55	-1.85	Centerpoint.....	4.82	Arkansas City.....	0.70
California.....	56.3	0.0	Newport (No. 1).....	97	11	Tamarack.....	8	8	1.68	-0.43	Blue Canon.....	7.42	Heber.....	0.12
Colorado.....	44.5	+ 0.7	Imperial.....	106	21	Longs Peak (near).....	2	47	3.08	+1.22	Cardinal.....	6.72	San Luis.....	0.05
Florida.....	69.9	+ 0.4	Las Animas.....	90	32	Clear View.....	2	13	2.00	-0.10	Wainwright.....	2.00	Wainwright.....	0.00
Georgia.....	64.9	+ 2.5	Holly.....	90	21, 23	De Funial Springs.....	31	2	1.20	-1.17	Hypoluxo.....	4.19	2 stations.....	0.00
Hawaii.....	70.7†	New Smyrna.....	98	26	De Funial Springs.....	31	2	1.20	-1.17	Elberton.....	3.18	Waycross.....	0.01
Idaho.....	47.1	+ 1.6	Orange City.....	98	28	Clayton.....	25	2	1.15	-2.08	Honolulu Valley, Maui.....	26.96	Ewa Plantation, Oahu.....	0.02
Illinois.....	55.5	+ 3.2	3 stations.....	98	30	Humuula, Hawaii.....	35	20	5.67†	Grangeville.....	2.57	Lewiston.....	0.07
Indiana.....	54.6	- 2.4	Waiulua, (Opaeula) Oahu.....	92	3, 26	Lake.....	4	14	1.09	-0.23	Pana.....	3.94	Benton.....	0.70
Iowa.....	52.5	+ 3.1	Mount Vernon.....	91	28	Antioch.....	20	1	2.06	-1.17	Shelbyville.....	3.66	Bedford.....	0.23
Kansas.....	58.6	+ 3.2	Ida Grove.....	91	24	Crawfordsville.....	19	1, 2	2.13	-0.92	Clinton, Webster.....	2.42	Glenwood.....	5.55
Kentucky.....	59.5	+ 2.7	Onawa.....	94	24	Odebold.....	22	6	2.42	-0.46	Independence.....	0.53	Winfield.....	6.70
Louisiana.....	68.0	+ 0.8	Colby.....	94	24	Wallace.....	22	14	2.97	+0.13	Middleboro.....	2.23	Highbridge.....	0.53
Maryland and Delaware.....	54.1	+ 2.2	Farnsworth.....	94	23	Farmers.....	23	2	1.40	-2.29	Georgetown.....	7.08	Schriever.....	0.83
Michigan.....	45.9	+ 2.8	Bowling Green.....	94	12	Calhoun.....	32	1	2.91	-1.68	McDonogh, Md.....	5.37	Westernport, Md.....	0.38
Minnesota.....	47.9	+ 4.2	Highbridge.....	94	29	Deer Park, Md.....	10	1	3.14	-0.12	Cassopolis.....	4.00	Cheboygan.....	0.20
Mississippi.....	65.7	+ 1.3	Alexandria.....	93	27	Humboldt.....	4	6	1.76	-0.35	Halstad.....	14	Farmington.....	3.38
Missouri.....	59.5	+ 3.6	Reserve.....	93	30	Ripley.....	29	1	1.80	-2.43	Ripley.....	4.65	Magnolia.....	0.60
Montana.....	46.8	+ 3.9	Versailles.....	93	24	Willow Springs.....	22	16	2.24	-1.39	Leakeville.....	4.31	Cape Girardeau.....	0.91
Nebraska.....	52.6	+ 3.2	Tokna.....	93	23	Zeitonia.....	22	19	1.00	-0.13	Mullets Ranch.....	3.69	Fort Logan.....	0.00
Nevada.....	47.6	+ 0.9	Halsey.....	96	23	Lamedeer.....	-5	3	1.00	-0.13	Central City.....	10.13	Cody.....	1.20
New England*.....	43.9	+ 0.1	Santee.....	96	24	Agate.....	10	15	4.35	+1.49	Palmetto.....	4.33	2 stations.....	T.
New Jersey.....	51.2	+ 2.1	Martin's Ranch.....	90	20	Palisade.....	9	4	3.31	+0.50	Norwalk, Conn.....	6.32	St. Johnsbury, Vt.....	0.54
New Mexico.....	52.6	0.0	Westboro, Mass.....	77	21	Van Buren, Me.....	-7	3	2.85	-0.06	Atwater.....	17	Englewood.....	6.42
New York.....	44.7	+ 0.8	Bridgeton.....	87	27, 30	Layton.....	17	2, 3	3.59	+0.26	Oriski.....	3.51	Cape May.....	1.17
North Carolina.....	60.3	+ 3.0	Carlbad.....	90	11	Fort Wingate.....	10	8	1.55	+0.89	Fort Wingate.....	4.65	Strauss.....	T.
North Dakota.....	46.7	+ 6.3	San Marcial.....	90	25	North Lake.....	5	2	2.44	-0.24	New York City.....	5.78	Chazy.....	0.20
Ohio.....	52.1	+ 2.3	3 stations.....	80	19, 29	Pink Beds.....	18	3	1.63	-2.08	Pink Beds.....	4.49	Wash Woods.....	0.44
Oklahoma and Indian Territories.....	62.5	+ 1.5	Napoleon.....	88	24	Sentinel Butte.....	8	4	1.50	-0.27	Atwater.....	18	Denhoff.....	0.42
Oregon.....	51.3	+ 3.5	Ironton.....	91	13	Bellefontaine.....	18	17	1.89	-0.96	Clarington.....	6.20	Coalton.....	0.88
Pennsylvania.....	50.0	+ 1.7	Arrapaho, Okla.....	95	24	Kenton, Okla.....	25	14	4.17	+1.16	Academy.....	6.04	Cache, Okla.....	7.15
Porto Rico.....	75.3	Marble Creek.....	102	21	3 stations.....	12	1, 12, 16	1.50	-1.48	Gold Beach.....	5.71	Umatilla.....	0.02
South Carolina.....	65.1	+ 2.5	Montrou.....	89	21	Pocono Lake.....	12	3	3.13	+0.02	Gordon.....	6.96	Baldwin.....	0.75
South Dakota.....	60.1	+ 3.8	Manati.....	95	23	Adjuntas.....	49	8, 18	3.38	Bayamon.....	9.24	Guayama.....	0.03
Tennessee.....	60.8	+ 2.6	3 stations.....	93	29, 30	Seivern.....	28	24	1.39	-2.16	Calhoun Falls.....	2.84	Darlington.....	0.36
Texas.....	66.3	- 0.3	Chamberlain.....	94	24	Pine Ridge.....	15	4	2.48	+0.03	Academy.....	6.04	Fort Meade.....	0.25
Utah.....	48.0	- 0.6	Carthage.....	92	21	Hohenwald.....	22	1	2.51	-1.88	Franklin (near).....	6.35	Savannah.....	0.53
Virginia.....	56.2	+ 2.4	Pope.....	92	12	Bayard.....	15	1	3.16	-0.46	Quanah.....	5.88	Kent.....	0.01
Washington.....	52.6	+ 3.7	Fort Ringgold.....	99	13, 14	3 stations.....	31	3, 4	2.67	-0.41	Payson.....	4.57	Lucin.....	0.20
West Virginia.....	54.1	+ 2.0	St. George.....	94	21, 26	Ranch.....	5	2	2.10	+0.92	Skyland.....	4.63	Buchanan.....	1.19
Wisconsin.....	47.6	+ 2.3	Arvonia.....	94	30	Burkes Garden.....	20	3	2.41	-0.77	Aberdeen.....	2.94	5 stations.....	0.00
Wyoming.....	40.6	+ 1.6	Zindel.....	95	21	Bonita.....	8	1	0.69	-1.91	Terra Alta.....	6.59	Elkhorn.....	0.57
			Cairo.....	92	29	Bayard.....	15	1	3.16	-0.46	Grantsburg.....	3.56	La Crosse.....	0.73
			Sutton.....	92	13	Minocqua.....	9	1	1.68	-0.85	Centennial.....	3.62	2 stations.....	T.

* Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, and Connecticut.

† 45 stations, with an average elevation of 791 feet.

‡ 132 stations.

APRIL, 1906.

MONTHLY WEATHER REVIEW.

179

THE WEATHER OF THE MONTH.

By Mr. P. C. DAY, Assistant Chief, Division of Meteorological Records.

PRESSURE.

The distribution of mean atmospheric pressure is graphically shown on Chart VI, and the average values and departures from normal are shown in Tables I and V.

The average pressure for April, 1906, over the United States and Canada departed considerably from the normal as to the general position of the highs and lows common to the month. The high pressure, normal over the region from Florida northward to Hudson Bay, was materially augmented during April over its southern extremity and diminished rapidly northward; the north Pacific high extended eastward well beyond its normal limits and the low over the Southwest was appreciably higher than the average.

Over the northern portion of the States of Minnesota and North Dakota, and extending into the Canadian Northwest Territories, the pressure averaged much lower than the normal.

The resulting distribution was a marked ridge of high pressure extending from the Gulf States northwestward to the States of Washington and Oregon, and diminishing by gentle gradients north and south.

TEMPERATURE.

Under the influence of the distribution of pressure, noted above, the surface drift of the atmosphere was largely northward from the ridge of highest pressure, and average temperatures far above the normal for the month were recorded in all districts brought under the influence of the warm southerly winds which extended as far north as the field of observation.

Over the region from the Great Lakes westward to the Rocky Mountains and northward, the average temperatures for the month were, with one or two exceptions, as high as any previously recorded in April.

South of the ridge of highest pressure, under the influence of generally northerly surface winds, the temperature averages were below the normal.

The lowest temperatures recorded during the month occurred in northern Maine, where readings below zero were reported.

Average temperatures and departures from normal.

Districts.	Number of stations.	Average temperatures for the current month.	Departures for the current month.	Accumulated departures since January 1.	Average departures since January 1.
New England	9	43.5	0	0	0
Middle Atlantic	13	53.5	+ 0.5	+ 5.6	+ 1.4
South Atlantic	10	63.9	+ 2.0	0.0	0.0
Florida Peninsula*	8	71.0	+ 0.5	- 1.7	- 0.4
East Gulf	8	66.5	+ 0.7	- 6.7	- 1.7
West Gulf	7	67.4	+ 0.3	- 3.7	- 0.9
Ohio Valley and Tennessee	12	57.6	+ 2.2	- 1.2	- 0.3
Lower Lake	8	46.9	+ 2.2	+ 5.9	+ 1.5
Upper Lake	10	44.9	+ 4.2	+ 9.8	+ 2.4
North Dakota*	8	47.7	+ 6.4	+ 16.6	+ 4.2
Upper Mississippi Valley	13	54.0	+ 3.4	+ 4.0	+ 1.0
Missouri Valley	11	54.8	+ 3.9	+ 9.0	+ 2.2
Northern Slope	7	48.1	+ 3.5	+ 11.3	+ 2.8
Middle Slope	6	56.0	+ 1.8	+ 4.3	+ 1.1
Southern Slope*	6	60.1	- 0.5	- 3.1	- 0.8
Southern Plateau*	13	54.7	- 0.3	+ 6.2	+ 1.6
Middle Plateau*	8	47.3	0.0	+ 4.3	+ 1.1
Northern Plateau*	12	50.4	+ 3.7	+ 8.9	+ 2.2
North Pacific	7	51.3	+ 2.7	+ 9.0	+ 2.2
Middle Pacific	5	56.0	+ 1.5	+ 7.8	+ 2.0
South Pacific	4	30.0	- 0.6	+ 6.0	+ 1.5

* Regular Weather Bureau and selected cooperative stations.

In Canada.—Prof. R. F. Stupart says:

The mean temperature of April was higher than the average over the whole Dominion, excepting a portion of the Maritime Provinces, where there was a negative departure of from 1° to 2° . The widest positive departure from average, amounting to between 8° and 10° , occurred in Manitoba and Saskatchewan, whence westward it diminished to 3° near the Pacific coast, and eastward to between 1° and 3° in Ontario and western Quebec.

PRECIPITATION.

The persistence of high barometric pressure over the South Atlantic and Gulf States and over the States of Oregon and Washington, and a corresponding absence of low pressure areas, resulted in a largely diminished amount of precipitation over those regions.

Over portions of Georgia, Alabama, Mississippi, and Tennessee the month was the driest April on record, and similar conditions prevailed over the greater parts of Washington and Oregon. As a whole the month was one of deficient rainfall, nearly all sections showing amounts below the normal, except western Texas and the southern Rocky Mountain region, where amounts considerably above the normal were recorded.

The snowfall for the month was confined largely to the mountain regions of the west and the northern tier of States. The amounts were generally light, except over New England, where depths as great as 20 inches were recorded, and over the central Rocky Mountain region, where heavy falls occurred, thereby augmenting the already abundant visible supply of water available for irrigation.

Average precipitation and departure from the normal.

Districts.	Number of stations.	Average.		Departure.	
		Current month.	Percent- age of normal.	Current month.	Accumu- lated since Jan. 1.
New England	9	2.60	81	- 0.6	- 1.2
Middle Atlantic	13	2.49	76	- 0.8	- 2.2
South Atlantic	10	1.26	39	- 2.0	- 3.5
Florida Peninsula*	8	1.14	49	- 1.2	+ 0.3
East Gulf	8	1.27	28	- 3.3	- 4.0
West Gulf	7	2.12	55	- 1.7	- 5.2
Ohio Valley and Tennessee	12	2.11	54	- 1.8	- 4.9
Lower Lake	8	1.81	78	- 0.5	- 3.2
Upper Lake	10	1.61	69	- 0.7	- 0.4
North Dakota*	8	1.31	77	- 0.4	- 1.0
Upper Mississippi Valley	13	1.83	62	- 1.1	- 0.1
Missouri Valley	11	2.81	97	- 0.1	+ 0.6
Northern Slope	7	1.64	100	0.0	+ 0.3
Middle Slope	6	2.86	132	+ 0.7	+ 0.3
Southern Slope*	6	3.59	190	+ 1.7	+ 1.0
Southern Plateau*	13	1.58	273	+ 1.0	+ 2.0
Middle Plateau*	8	1.94	170	+ 0.8	+ 2.3
Northern Plateau*	12	0.57	45	- 0.7	- 0.9
North Pacific	7	1.47	35	- 2.7	- 7.6
Middle Pacific	5	1.36	55	- 1.1	+ 3.2
South Pacific	4	0.82	58	- 0.6	+ 4.6

* Regular Weather Bureau and selected cooperative stations.

In Canada.—Professor Stupart says:

The precipitation was in excess of the normal over the Maritime Provinces, while in all other parts of the Dominion it was deficient. In British Columbia, the Northwest Provinces, excepting a few localities in Manitoba, and over most of northern Ontario, the total fall was very scant, and in southern Ontario and in Quebec it was considerably short of the average for April. Halifax, with 8.4 inches, and Charlottetown, with 6.1 inches, were the two stations with the largest amounts, including several inches of snow. In many districts of the upper Ottawa Valley the total fall was less than half an inch, and this was also the case very generally in the Northwest Provinces.

Average relative humidity and departures from the normal.

Districts.	Average.	Departure from the normal.	Districts.	Average.	Departure from the normal.
New England	70	- 3	Missouri Valley	65	0
Middle Atlantic	62	- 5	Northern Slope	60	+ 2
South Atlantic	64	- 8	Middle Slope	62	+ 5
Florida Peninsula	74	0	Southern Slope	61	+ 6
East Gulf	68	- 2	Southern Plateau	46	+ 16
West Gulf	74	+ 2	Middle Plateau	56	+ 11
Ohio Valley and Tennessee	64	- 1	Northern Plateau	53	- 4
Lower Lake	68	- 2	North Pacific	74	- 4
Upper Lake	71	- 2	Middle Pacific	70	- 2
North Dakota	69	+ 1	South Pacific	71	+ 3
Upper Mississippi Valley	67	- 1			

Maximum wind velocities.

Stations.	Date.	Velocity.	Direction.	Stations.	Date.	Velocity.	Direction.
Block Island, R. I.	10	50	e.	New York, N. Y.	6	52	n.w.
Cape Henry, Va.	23	50	n.w.	Do	24	54	w.
Do	25	56	w.	Do	25	52	w.
Cleveland, Ohio	22	52	n.w.	North Head, Wash.	8	60	n.
Columbus, Ohio	9	60	w.	North Platte, Nebr.	13	59	s.e.
Denver, Colo.	12	52	n.	Oklahoma, Okla.	1	50	s.
El Paso, Tex.	24	51	w.	Do	24	54	s.w.
Indianapolis, Ind.	9	55	s.w.	Point Reyes Light, Cal.	1	68	n.w.
Modena, Utah	23	58	s.w.	Do	10	74	n.w.
Mount Tamalpais, Cal.	2	51	n.w.	Do	11	62	n.w.
Do	3	69	ne.	Do	23	56	n.w.
Do	4	52	ne.	Do	25	53	n.w.
Do	6	52	sw.	Do	27	71	n.w.
Do	10	50	n.w.	Do	28	71	n.w.
Do	16	55	n.w.	Pueblo, Colo.	7	51	n.w.
Do	17	52	n.w.	Do	24	55	w.
Do	25	57	n.w.	Southeast Farallon, Cal.	1	51	n.w.
Do	27	70	n.w.	Do	10	51	n.w.
Do	28	60	n.w.	Do	27	52	n.w.
Mount Weather, Va.	6	60	n.w.	Do	28	54	n.w.
Do	23	60	n.w.	Tatoosh Island, Wash.	8	58	s.
Do	24	52	n.w.	Valentine, Nebr.	13	50	n.w.
Do	25	52	n.w.	Do	24	68	n.w.

Average cloudiness and departures from the normal.

Districts.	Average.	Departure from the normal.	Districts.	Average.	Departure from the normal.
New England	5.8	0.0	Missouri Valley	5.1	-0.3
Middle Atlantic	4.5	-0.7	Northern Slope	4.5	-0.9
South Atlantic	3.6	-0.8	Middle Slope	4.8	+0.4
Florida Peninsula	3.6	-0.3	Southern Slope	5.0	+0.8
East Gulf	4.4	-0.1	Southern Plateau	3.4	+1.1
West Gulf	5.8	+0.6	Middle Plateau	5.2	+0.7
Ohio Valley and Tennessee	4.4	-0.9	Northern Plateau	4.2	-2.1
Lower Lake	4.9	-0.6	North Pacific	6.1	-0.4
Upper Lake	5.1	-0.6	Middle Pacific	4.1	-0.5
North Dakota	5.2	-0.3	South Pacific	3.8	-0.1
Upper Mississippi Valley	4.8	-0.7			

DESCRIPTION OF TABLES AND CHARTS.

By Mr. P. C. DAY, Assistant Chief, Division of Meteorological Records.

For description of tables and charts see page 38 of REVIEW for January, 1906.

TABLE I.—Climatological data for U. S. Weather Bureau stations, April, 1906.

Stations.	Elevation of instruments.		Pressure, in inches.		Temperature of the air, in degrees Fahrenheit.								Precipitation, in inches.		Wind.		Maximum velocity.							
	Barometer above sea level, feet.	Thermometer above ground.	Sea level, reduced to mean of 24 hrs.	Departure from normal.	Mean max. + mean min. + 2.	Departure from normal.	Maximum.	Minimum.	Date.	Mean maximum.	Mean minimum.	Greatest daily range.	Mean wet thermometer.	Mean temperature of the dew-point.	Mean relative humidity, per cent.	Total.	Departure from normal.	Days with 0.1, or more.	Total movement, miles.	Prevailing direction.	Miles per hour.	Direction.	Date.	
	Barometer above sea level, feet.	Anerometer above ground.	Sea level, reduced to mean of 24 hrs.	Departure from normal.	Mean max. + mean min. + 2.	Departure from normal.	Maximum.	Minimum.	Date.	Mean maximum.	Mean minimum.	Greatest daily range.	Mean wet thermometer.	Mean temperature of the dew-point.	Mean relative humidity, per cent.	Total.	Departure from normal.	Days with 0.1, or more.	Total movement, miles.	Prevailing direction.	Miles per hour.	Direction.	Date.	
<i>New England.</i>																								
Eastport	76	69	85	29.82	29.91	-.02	43.5	+.0.5	38.1	-.0.5	57	17	44	23	7	32	24	35	31	73	2.60	-.0.5	11	8,473
Portland, Me.	103	81	117	29.83	29.96	+.00	41.4	-.1.6	60	17	48	23	1	34	25	37	32	73	3.51	+.0.5	8	7,119	nw.	
Concord	288	70	79	29.63	29.95	-.04	43.5	-.0.8	71	21	54	21	3	33	39	37	32	73	1.44	-.1.4	8	4,584	nw.	
Northfield	876	16	70	29.02	29.98	-.01	38.6	-.0.7	68	30	49	11	3	28	40	35	30	72	1.05	-.1.0	6	6,154	nw.	
Boston	123	115	188	29.83	29.97	-.00	47.2	+.2.1	70	29	55	28	1	40	31	41	34	63	2.15	-.1.3	8	7,528	nw.	
Nantucket	12	14	90	29.93	29.96	-.01	44.2	+.1.1	60	22	50	31	1	34	19	40	36	77	2.17	-.1.4	9	10,484	sw.	
Block Island	26	11	46	29.94	29.98	-.02	45.4	+.2.0	63	21	51	31	1	34	20	41	37	77	2.03	-.1.4	5	11,370	sw.	
Narragansett	9						44.8	+.0.6	66	21	53	24	*	36	28	32	30	73	3.01	-.0.6	10	11,370	sw.	
Providence	160	57	67	29.79	29.97	-.01	47.4	75	21	57	26	2	38	33	40	32	60	2.07	7	5,569	nw.	
Hartford	159	122	132	29.80	29.98	-.01	48.2	75	21	58	26	3	38	33	42	35	65	3.58	8	5,365	nw.	
New Haven	106	116	155	29.86	29.98	-.01	48.5	+.2.4	73	21	58	28	3	39	33	42	35	63	4.48	+.1.0	7	6,840	nw.	
<i>Mid. Atlantic States.</i>																								
Albany	97	102	115	29.88	29.99	-.01	47.4	+.1.4	75	19	57	25	3	38	36	41	34	63	2.20	-.0.3	9	5,555	nw.	
Binghamton	875	79	90	29.07	30.01	-.01	45.2	-.0.3	73	19	55	23	3	36	40	39	32	73	2.32	+.0.2	13	4,924	nw.	
New York	314	108	350	29.64	29.98	-.02	51.7	+.3.6	74	30	60	31	1	43	29	45	39	67	5.78	+.2.4	8	9,712	nw.	
Harrisburg	374	94	104	29.62	30.02	-.00	53.4	+.3.3	79	30	63	31	1	44	34	45	36	55	3.35	-.0.1	8	5,967	nw.	
Philadelphia	117	116	184	29.88	30.01	-.00	55.5	+.5.0	84	30	65	32	1	46	30	47	39	58	3.17	+.0.2	7	6,640	nw.	
Seranton	805	111	119	29.13	30.00	-.01	48.0	76	20	58	27	3	38	32	42	35	65	4.25	12	5,589	nw.	
Atlantic City	52	37	48	29.95	30.02	+.02	50.4	+.3.6	78	21	58	28	1	42	24	45	39	69	1.83	-.1.5	7	6,339	nw.	
Cape May	17	48	52	30.02	30.04	+.05	51.5	+.3.3	77	27	58	31	1	44	28	46	37	1.17	-.2.0	10	6,844	s.		
Baltimore	123	69	117	29.88	30.01	-.00	56.1	+.3.0	80	27	60	31	1	46	33	47	37	52	3.31	-.0.1	9	5,972	nw.	
Washington	112	59	76	29.90	30.02	-.00	55.5	+.2.5	87	20	66	27	3	44	36	47	38	57	3.03	-.0.3	9	5,283	nw.	
Cape Henry	18	11	58	30.01	30.03	+.03	56.8	+.2.2	87	20	65	35	1	48	36	50	46	1.29	-.3.2	9	10,081	s.		
Lynchburg	681	83	88	29.29	30.04	+.02	58.6	+.2.7	87	30	72	31	1	45	47	50	43	62	2.47	-.0.9	6	3,447	nw.	
Mount Weather	1,725	10	57	28.20	30.03	+.01	51.2	82	30	61	21	1	42	28	43	34	58	3.61	8	14,424	nw.	
Norfolk	91	102	111	29.95	30.05	+.04	58.7	+.2.5	87	30	68	35	1	49	34	50	44	62	1.54	-.2.5	10	7,264	s.	
Richmond	144	145	153	29.90	30.05	+.03	59.1	89	30	71	30	1	47	38	48	44	72	1.28	-.1.8	9	5,590	s.	
Wytheville	2,293	40	47	27.69	30.06	+.03	54.2	+.2.6	81	30	67	30	3	42	38	48	44	72	1.28	-.1.8	9	5,069	w.	
<i>S. Atlantic States.</i>																								
Asheville	2,255	53	75	27.74	30.09	+.06	56.5	+.2.0	84	30	69	29	2	44	39	47	40	61	2.35	-.0.7	7	5,700	nw.	
Charlotte	773	68	76	29.24	30.08	+.05	63.2	+.3.5	85	30	74	37	24	52	33	52	44	58	0.93	-.2.6	7	5,674	s.	
Hatteras	11	12	47	30.06	30.07	+.06	69.1	+.1.9	77	27	66	37	2	52	22	32	32	73	1.78	-.2.4	7	11,356	s.	
Raleigh	376	71	79	29.65	30.03	+.02	62.8	+.4.0	90	30	75	34	3	51	40	51	52	53	0.73	-.2.6	6	5,247	s.	
Wilmington	78	81	91	29.97	30.05	+.02	63.4	+.1.9	88	27	73	37	1	54	30	54	49	67	0.49	-.2.5	3	6,424	s.	
Charleston	48	14	92	30.04	30.09	+.06	66.2	+.2.1	86	28	73	44	2	58	27	58	54	72	1.17	-.2.4	3	7,827	s.	
Columbia, S. C.	351	41	57	29.69	30.07	+.04	65.2	+.1.6	88	30	77	33	3	53	37	55	47	60	2.74	-.0.9	0	7,479	s.	
Augusta	180	89	97	29.89	30.08	+.05	66.0	+.1.8	89	12	78	38	3	54	38	55	47	58	0.97	-.2.4	6	4,733	nw.	
Savannah	65	81	89	30.03	30.10	+.07	67.6	+.1.5	91	29	78	42	1	58	30	58	52	60	1.17	-.2.3	5	5,759	s.	
Jacksonville	43	101	129	30.04	30.09	+.05	68.6	-.0.3	89	29	78	42	1	60	27	61	58	75	0.30	-.2.6	4	6,367	s.	
<i>Florida Peninsula.</i>																								
Jupiter	2,43	10	48	30.05	30.08	+.04	72.4	+.0.4	83	29	78</td													

TABLE I.—Climatological data for U. S. Weather Bureau stations, April, 1906—Continued.

Stations.	Elevation of instruments		Pressure, in inches.		Temperature of the air, in degrees Fahrenheit.								Precipitation, in inches.		Wind.		Maximum velocity.														
	Barometer above sea level, feet.	Thermometers above ground.	Actual, reduced to mean of 24 hours.	Sea level, reduced to mean of 24 hrs.	Departure from normal.	Mean max. + mean min. + 2.	Departure from normal.	Maximum.	Date.	Mean maximum.	Minimum.	Date.	Mean minimum.	Greatest daily range.	Mean wet thermometer.	Mean temperature of the dew-point.	Mean relative humidity, per cent.	Total.	Departure from normal.	Days with .01, or more.	Total movement, miles.	Precipitation direction.	Miles per hour.	Date.	Clear days.	Partly cloudy days.	Cloudy days.	Average cloudiness during daylight, tenths.	Total snowfall.		
<i>Up. Lake Reg.—Con't.</i>																															
Grand Rapids.....	707	121	162	29.27	30.04	+.02	48.4	+.1.4	77	13	59	26	1	38	30	42	1.94	—0.2	11	7,754	w.	37	bw.	22	7	10	13	6.4	T.		
Houghton.....	668	66	74	29.28	30.02	-.06	42.0	—	75	17	51	19	5	33	36	—	1.00	—	9	5,435	e.	28	n.	14	11	10	9	5.1	0.3		
Marquette.....	734	77	116	29.22	30.03	+.01	43.0	+.5.8	72	18	51	24	6	35	34	37	29	63	1.08	—0.9	11	7,213	nw.	36	w.	20	11	8	11	5.2	3.4
Port Huron.....	638	70	120	29.33	30.03	+.01	46.6	+.3.9	74	19	55	27	1	36	34	39	34	71	1.25	—0.9	11	7,611	ne.	36	w.	14	14	7	9	4.6	0.7
Baile Ste. Marie.....	614	40	61	29.34	30.05	+.02	40.2	+.2.5	69	20	50	21	6	31	36	35	30	72	1.12	—0.5	9	6,320	nw.	29	bw.	30	11	10	9	5.0	0.7
Chicago.....	823	140	310	29.15	30.05	+.05	50.7	+.5.1	80	25	58	34	1	43	31	41	41	76	1.86	—1.2	9	9,956	sw.	40	s.	13	10	13	7	4.8	
Milwaukee.....	681	122	142	29.30	30.05	+.06	47.2	+.4.5	70	20	55	28	1	39	29	42	38	77	2.04	—0.8	7	6,896	w.	30	se.	24	14	9	7	4.1	1.5
Green Bay.....	617	49	86	29.34	30.06	—.01	45.9	+.2.9	70	20	55	26	1	36	32	40	35	71	2.05	—0.5	9	7,531	sw.	38	n.	22	7	7	9	4.6	3.3
Duluth.....	1,133	11	47	28.76	30.01	-.06	43.4	+.6.4	75	17	52	24	1	35	31	36	30	66	1.51	—0.9	8	11,382	ne.	48	n.w.	21	10	14	6	4.4	5.3
<i>North. Dakota.</i>																															
Moorhead.....	940	8	57	28.95	29.98	—.01	47.9	+.6.6	80	25	59	24	6	37	43	42	39	78	2.50	+.0.3	5	7,144	nw.	30	sw.	17	8	10	12	5.6	1.6
Bismarck.....	1,674	15	57	28.18	29.97	-.06	48.6	+.6.5	85	24	61	23	14	36	44	40	32	60	0.85	—1.4	6	10,269	nw.	46	w.	2	16	8	6	4.3	
Devils Lake.....	1,482	11	44	28.36	29.95	—.04	45.4	—	86	17	60	14	4	31	46	39	33	70	1.15	—	8	10,623	se.	45	n.	13	13	6	11	4.9	1.0
Williston.....	1,875	14	44	27.97	29.96	—.00	47.4	+.4.8	84	23	60	14	4	35	37	40	34	68	1.59	+.0.2	10	8,492	nw.	48	w.	8	10	10	5.9	2.6	
<i>Upper Miss. Valley.</i>																															
Minneapolis.....	102	208	—	—	—	—	50.4	+.3.3	80	25	60	28	4	40	33	—	2.21	—0.6	9	9,465	nw.	40	bw.	5	8	11	11	4.8	T.		
St. Paul.....	837	171	179	29.06	29.98	—.01	50.4	+.5.2	80	25	60	28	6	40	34	44	37	66	2.23	—0.2	9	7,867	se.	45	nw.	5	7	14	9	5.9	T.
La Crosse.....	714	71	87	29.22	30.00	+.02	51.6	+.4.2	80	25	61	26	1	42	29	—	0.73	—1.5	9	4,886	s.	28	n.	22	11	8	11	5.4	0.8		
Madison.....	974	70	78	28.96	30.02	+.03	48.8	+.2.8	79	25	58	27	1	39	31	42	36	67	0.90	—1.5	5	7,274	s.	32	s.	13	11	8	11	5.3	1.2
Charles City.....	1,015	8	58	28.92	30.00	+.02	49.2	+.0.5	78	24	60	21	1	38	37	44	40	76	1.28	—1.3	11	6,742	se.	30	bw.	5	4	12	14	6.6	2.2
Davenport.....	606	71	79	29.35	30.01	+.03	53.6	+.3.9	80	25	64	28	1	44	33	46	40	66	1.92	—0.9	7	6,148	nw.	27	bw.	9	10	11	9	5.1	
Des Moines.....	861	84	101	29.09	30.00	+.03	53.8	+.3.3	86	24	64	29	1	43	42	47	41	66	2.96	+.0.2	10	7,252	se.	35	sw.	13	7	16	7	5.6	
Dubuque.....	698	100	117	29.28	30.03	+.05	52.2	+.3.6	81	25	62	27	1	42	29	45	39	67	1.16	—1.6	5	5,886	se.	27	s.	25	15	9	6	3.9	1.0
Keokuk.....	614	63	78	29.36	30.03	+.05	56.4	+.4.4	85	24	67	29	1	46	40	48	43	68	2.16	—1.0	8	6,008	se.	34	w.	9	16	8	6	3.7	
Cairo.....	356	87	93	29.68	30.07	+.08	62.0	+.3.1	85	28	71	36	1	53	31	54	49	66	1.29	—2.6	5	3,392	s.	30	s.	8	13	7	10	4.9	
La Salle.....	536	56	64	29.47	30.04	+.05	53.0	—	80	26	64	27	1	42	36	—	1.16	—	9	6,306	w.	35	sw.	13	16	6	8	4.2			
Peoria.....	609	11	45	29.37	30.04	+.05	52.2	—	82	25	65	28	1	43	33	47	40	65	2.77	—	9	7,482	se.	40	sw.	8	19	6	5	3.7	
Springfield, Ill.....	644	10	92	29.34	30.03	+.05	56.4	+.3.0	83	25	67	28	1	46	32	49	43	66	2.26	—1.4	9	7,148	se.	31	w.	9	10	13	7	4.8	
Hannibal.....	534	75	109	29.45	30.02	+.04	57.0	+.3.3	86	24	67	30	1	47	37	—	2.77	0.0	19	7,550	sw.	38	sw.	25	15	9	6	4.0			
St. Louis.....	567	208	217	29.42	30.03	+.05	59.8	+.3.6	87	25	69	31	1	50	34	51	43	59	1.98	—1.8	8	7,767	se.	42	sw.	12	15	7	8	4.0	
<i>Missouri Valley.</i>																															
Columbia, Mo.....	784	11	84	29.17	30.01	+.03	58.2	+.1.8	87	24	70	31	1	47	37	—	1.96	—1.0	10	6,981	se.	36	sw.	8	18	4	8	3.8			
Kansas City.....	963	75	95	28.99	30.03	+.07	59.9	+.5.5	87	24	70	35	1	49	31	50	44	63	2.51	—0.4	10	6,191	se.	34	sw.	27	14	10	6	4.2	
Springfield, Mo.....	1,324	98	104	28.62	30.02	+.05	60.4	+.2.9	83	24	71	34	1	50	30	53	48	69	2.10	—1.7	7	8,791	se.	45	sw.	8	16	9	5	3.8	
Iota.....	984	40	47	28.96	30.00	+.05	61.4	—	86	23	73	36	1	49	36	—	2.26	—0.7	8	6,871	s.	31	sw.	24	9	11	10	5.8			
Topeka.....	85	89	—	—	—	—	59.4																								

APRIL, 1906.

MONTHLY WEATHER REVIEW.

183

TABLE I.—Climatological data for U. S. Weather Bureau stations, April, 1906—Continued.

Stations.	Elevation of instruments.			Pressure, in inches.			Temperature of the air, in degrees Fahrenheit.						Precipitation, in inches.			Wind.			Maximum velocity.												
	Barometer above sea level, feet.	Thermometers above ground.	Anerometer above ground.	Actual reduced to mean of 24 hours.	Sea level, reduced to mean of 24 hrs.	Departure from normal.	Mean max. + mean min. + 2.	Departure from normal.	Maximum.	Date.	Mean maximum.	Minimum.	Date.	Mean minimum.	Greatest daily range.	Mean wet thermometer.	Mean temperature of the dewpoint.	Mean relative humidity, per cent.	Total.	Departure from normal.	Days with 0° or more.	Total movement, miles.	Prevailing direction.	Miles per hour.	Date.	Clear days.	Partly cloudy days.	Cloudy days.	Average cloudiness during daylight, tenths.	Total snowfall.	
<i>Mid. Pac. Coast Reg.</i>																															
Eureka	62	62	80	30.05	30.12	+ .01	56.0	+ 1.5	51.8	66	5	57	38	1	46	32	49	70	1.36	— 2.1	10	6,845	n.	44	n.	2	12	9	9	4.1	T.
Mount Tamalpais	2,375	11	18	27.58	30.06	+ .01	56.0	+ 1.8	51.8	73	20	57	33	1	44	24	48	51	0.98	— 0.9	4	13,339	n.w.	70	n.w.	27	14	12	4	4.2	
Point Reyes Light	490	7	18	29.51	30.02	—	53.8	+ 3.4	56.8	43	1	49	24	—	42	38	51	42	1.75	— 0.4	5	17,712	n.w.	74	n.w.	10	14	12	4	4.0	
Red Bluff	332	50	56	29.66	30.02	+ .01	59.7	+ 0.4	56.8	85	19	71	37	1	48	35	51	42	66	— 1.1	6	6,858	s.	35	n.w.	12	18	8	9	3.7	
Sacramento	69	106	117	29.96	30.02	+ .01	58.4	+ 0.2	81	20	68	44	25	49	27	51	45	66	1.21	— 1.0	4	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
San Francisco †	155	161	167	29.97	30.08	+ .03	56.4	+ 1.8	76	5	63	43	28	50	25	51	47	76	0.92	— 1.0	4	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
San Jose †	141	78	88	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Southeast Farallon	30	9	17	30.04	30.07	—	54.1	— 0.6	66	5	57	46	1	52	12	—	71	0.88	— 0.5	5	13,107	n.w.	54	n.w.	28	15	10	5	4.0		
<i>S. Pac. Coast Reg.</i>																															
Fresno	330	67	70	29.68	30.04	+ .05	58.0	— 2.8	93	20	69	34	2	47	37	50	43	63	0.92	— 0.4	4	4,004	n.w.	34	n.w.	1	17	8	5	3.6	
Los Angeles	338	116	123	29.64	30.00	+ .01	59.2	+ 0.3	92	20	69	39	2	50	33	51	46	69	0.69	— 0.7	4	4,120	w.	26	sw.	28	9	11	10	5.4	
San Diego	87	94	102	29.91	30.00	+ .01	58.2	+ 0.1	88	20	65	42	2	51	32	53	49	76	0.98	+ 0.2	5	4,694	n.w.	26	n.w.	1	22	3	5	2.8	
San Luis Obispo	201	47	54	29.84	30.06	+ .01	56.8	0.0	90	19	68	38	29	46	39	50	46	75	0.71	— 1.3	2	4,465	n.w.	26	w.	27	17	11	2	3.4	
<i>West Indies.</i>																															
Grand Turk	11	6	20	30.03	30.04	+ .03	77.4	—	88	28	83	66	4	72	—	—	70	2.06	—	6	—	e.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
San Juan	82	48	90	29.92	30.00	+ .02	77.2	— 0.1	89	1	82	67	3	72	18	71	68	75	6.55	+ 3.0	15	8,635	e.	30	n.	3	5	11	14	6.7	
Panama	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—		
Ancon	—	—	—	29.75	29.82	—	83.0	—	96	* 91	70	13	75	23	76	73	83	7.77	—	9	—	n.	—	—	—	0	15	15	7.0		
Naos	—	—	—	29.79	29.83	—	82.2	—	94	16	90	71	13	75	21	76	74	86	6.56	—	8	7,062	n.w.	35	ne.	3	0	16	14	7.2	

* More than one date.

† Instruments destroyed by fire following earthquake on the 18th; record for 28½ days.

‡ Instruments destroyed by fire following earthquake on the 18th.

TABLE II.—Climatological record of cooperative observers, April, 1906.

Stations.	Temperature, (Fahrenheit.)			Precipitation.			Temperature, (Fahrenheit.)			Precipitation.			Temperature, (Fahrenheit.)			Precipitation.		
	Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.	Stations.	Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.	Stations.	Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.	Stations.
	Alaska.	Arizona—Cont'd.	Arkansas.	Arizona.	Arizona.	Arkansas.	Arizona.	Arizona.	Arizona.	Arkansas.	Arkansas.	Arizona.	Arkansas.	Arkansas.	Arizona.	Arizona.	Arkansas.	
Alabama	88	32	62.4	2.00	Ins.	Fort Liscum	48	18	35.4	4.20	31.6	Signal	97	42	64.8	0.67	—	Silver Bell
Ashville	90	39	65.4	0.93	—	Juneau	56	29	44.8	3.03	2.0	Tempe	91	38	66.1	0.21	—	Temper
Auburn	95	32	65.1	0.57	—	Killisnoo	55	28	40.8	5.15	—	Thatcher	100	32	64.8	0.72	—	Tombstone
Bermuda	91	38	66.4	0.91	—	Sitka	69	31	41.9	10.64	—	Tuba	87	32	59.8	0.33	—	Tucson
Boligee	—	—	—	2.43	—	Allaire Ranch	—	—	—	—	—	Walnut Grove	81	38	59.8	0.10	—	Willcox
Bridgeport	—	—	—	0.31	—	Alpine	—	—	—	—	—	Williams	82	25	52.6	0.19	—	Williams
Burkeville	—	—	—	0.61	—	Arizona Canal Co. Dam.	99	35	65.6	0.55	—	Yarnell	83	21	54.2	0.25	—	Young
Calera	91	34	65.5	5.0	1.00	Aztec	101	41	70.6	T.	—	Yuma (Date Orchard)	102	28	64.8	0.10	—	Yuma
Camp Hill	—	—	—	1.02	—	Benson	88	34	61.8	0.15	—	Arlie	88	33	62.0	0.50	—	Arlie
Cedar Bluff	—	—	—	1.69	—	Bisbee	78	36	57.6	0.05	—	Amity	88	33	62.0	1.40	—	Amity
Citronelle	89	40	67.2	2.02	—	Blue	78	26	52.2	1.18	—	Arkadelphia	90	33	64.2	2.34	—	Arkadelphia
Clanton	92	34	64.4	0.89	—	Bonita	90	34	65.6	0.00	—	Arkansas City	90	33	64.2	0.70	—	Arkansas City
Cordova	—	—	—	1.46	—	Bowie	99	32	63.0	1.04	—	Arnett	84	35	61.2	4.81	—	Arnett
Dadeville	—	—	—	0.40	—	Buckeye	99	32	63.0	1.04	—	Batesville	90	30	64.6	1.61	—	Batesville
Daphne	85	40	67.0	0.65	—	Casagrande	101	28	62.7	0.57	—	Beebranch	88	27	61.4	3.00	—	Beebranch
Decatur																		

TABLE II.—*Climatological record of cooperative observers—Continued.*

Stations.	Temperature. (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.		Stations.	Temperature. (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.		Stations.	Temperature. (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.		
	Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.		Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.		Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.	
<i>Arkansas—Cont'd.</i>																		
Newport	97	34	66.6	1.42	2.53		Montague	88	25	52.7	0.62		Colorado—Cont'd.	0	0	0	Ins.	0.5
Oregon	90	36	63.0	2.67			Monumental	85	30	49.2	4.31		Fowler	0	0	0	Ins.	0.5
Ozark	90	32	64.2	4.58			Mountainview	88	26	56.6	0.68		Frances	64	11	37.6	5.67	51.0
Pinebluff	90	30	61.2	3.90			Mount St. Helena	85	28	50.0	0.95		Fruita	79	28	51.2	1.69	
Pond	87	30	61.2	3.90			Napa	85	38	57.4	0.45		Garnett	74	11	41.6	1.06	T.
Prescott	92	37	65.3	2.43			Needles	98	46	70.2	0.60		Gleneyre	78	19	46.6	2.48	
Princeton	90	29	63.4	3.94			Nellie	85	26	53.2	3.86	6.2	Glenwood Springs	76	21	45.8	2.10	
Rison	90 ^a	30	64.6 ^a	2.18			Nevada City	84	26	50.8	2.68		Grand Valley	79	28	49.6	3.11	0.5
Rogers	87	34	62.3	2.36			Newcastle	88	30	57.8	2.42		Greeley	82	22	50.6	3.04	1.0
Russellville	88	32	63.4	3.65			Niles	86	38	56.6	1.33		Gunnison	70	12	39.7	0.79	6.0
Spielerville	88	37	65.4	2.74			Nimshew	85	36	56.4	3.78		Hahns Peak	67	10	34.8	2.39	18.0
Stuttgart	88	30	63.6	2.68			North Bloomfield	83	21	50.1	2.36		Hamps.	79	23	47.2	4.17	6.5
Texarkana							Oakland	81	41	58.2	0.95		Hoehne	80	16	46.8	2.26	T.
Warren	92	28	65.2	1.70			Ojai Valley	93	30	56.2	0.57		Holly	90	20	58.4	2.96	0.5
White Cliffs							Orleans	98	33	62.4	2.21		Idaho Springs	72	14	43.1	4.19	21.0
Wiggs	87	29	62.4	1.90			Oroville (near)	88	32	59.2	1.28		Lake City	64	15	40.2	1.50	5.0
Winchester	90	31	64.2				Ozena	88	28	50.0	0.57		Lake Moraine	55	8	32.2	2.09	28.0
Witts Springs	80	32	58.3	2.73			Palermo	86	34	58.8	1.25		Lamar	87	28	53.6	3.11	4.0
<i>California.</i>							Peachland	84	33	56.0	1.11		Laporte	85	25	54.0	4.46	2.0
Alturas	80	20	47.0	0.66			Pilot Creek	84	26	54.2	4.43	0.5	Las Animas	90	25	53.9	3.40	T.
Auburn	82	41	61.2	2.64			Pine Crest	87	38	57.8	1.15		Lay	73	18	42.0		
Bagdad	97	45	67.8	0.60			Placerville	80	29	53.2	3.85		Leroy	83	21	48.5	4.53	7.0
Bakersfield	98	36	59.7	1.34			Point Lobos	70	43	58.6	0.17		Long Peak	61	2	35.2	4.45	42.0
Barstow	89	31	57.2	0.25			Porterville	90	33	59.8	2.20		Loveland	72	23	45.0	3.35	15.0
Bear Valley							Poway	89	37	59.3	1.06		Mancos	72	23	45.0	3.46	
Berkeley	81	40	56.8	0.74			Quincy	78	23	47.4	1.01	1.0	Manassa	75	16	42.6	0.67	
Bishop	84	23	50.8	0.74			Reedley	93	31	58.1	1.78		Meeker	74	22	43.8	4.12	7.0
Blocksburg	84	28	53.0	2.41			Reprea						Monrose	76	22	46.0	2.04	
Blue Canyon	70	15	43.2	7.42	18.0		Rialto	90	30	59.0	2.37		Moraine	65	8	39.3	2.63	29.0
Branscomb	84	26	50.6	3.11			Rivista	82	36	57.7	1.80		Pogoda	75	18	43.1	2.88	8.0
Brush Creek	84	28	52.8	2.29			Riverside	93	31	58.2	1.57		Paonia	78	23	49.1	3.51	9.0
Butte Valley							Rocklin	87	37	58.5	2.35		Platte Canon	72	23	45.0	5.71	
Calexico	94	48	68.6	0.29			Rohnerville	85	34	54.8	1.93		Rockyford	84	15	52.0	5.59	
Campbell	88	35	55.0	1.11			Sacramento	81	41	59.0	1.56		Saguache	70	18	42.6	0.39	2.0
Campo							Salinas	87	35	57.2	0.55		Salida	72	18	44.2	3.41	21.0
Cedarville	84	15	47.6	0.68			San Bernardino	93	30	50.0	1.16		San Louis	68	14	42.0	0.05	
Chico	84	36	60.1	1.72			San Jacinto	94	31	59.0	0.94		Santa Clara	70	13	42.2	4.87	25.0
Claremont	90	33	57.8	1.51			San Leandro	86	34	56.6	0.76		Sapinero	66	13	38.4	2.28	16.5
Cloverdale	87	36	58.2	1.55			Santa Barbara	86	40	57.8	0.83		Sheridan Lake	83	21	48.6	3.82	T.
Colfax	85	27	53.2	2.72			Santa Cruz	90	34	56.9	1.50		Westcliffe	68	16	41.9	1.79	17.0
Colusa	81	32	55.9	0.87			Santa Maria	89	36	58.0	0.55		Whitepine	53	5	31.2	2.00	20.0
Craftonville							Santa Monica	81	36	54.9	1.32		Wray	88	28	52.9	4.82	T.
Crescent City	72	33	51.4	3.77			Santa Rosa	83	34	55.4	0.72		Yuma	72	23	45.0	4.45	
Crockers							Sierra Madre	86	37	57.3	2.84		Connecticut.					
Cuyamaca							Sisson	82	25	48.7	1.35		Bridgeport	75	25	49.0	5.87	
Delta	93	31	59.5	3.16	11.0		Sonoma	83	37	55.9	0.63		Canton	74	20	45.8	4.97	2.0
Dobbins	88	38	59.8	2.48			Sonora	91	29	59.2	4.50		Colchester	72	20	46.2	4.53	T.
Durham	87	35	58.8	1.89			Sterling	80	23	49.7	4.09	3.0	Falls Village	74	20	47.6	4.27	6.5
Elecjon	94	36	59.4	1.66			Stockton	87	40	54.1	1.74		Hawleyville	74	24	46.8	5.59	2.0
Electra	90	39	59.1	3.38			Storey	88	32	54.2	0.71		Lake Konomoe	74	20	47.5	3.82	T.
Elmwood	88	23	56.8	1.60			Summerdale	72	16	42.6	5.91	17.0	New London	74	20	47.5	3.82	T.
Elsinore	92	32	58.6	0.93			Summit	65	18	44.8	2.60	26.0	North Grosvenor Dale	74	20	46.2	2.72	
Emigrant Gap	66	22	43.2	5.30	28.0		Susanville	78	22	47.6	0.27		Norwalk	75	20	47.6	6.32	
Esonido	89	29	53.8	1.59			Tamarack	56	8	39.7	5.23	28.0	Southington	74	20	46.8	4.40	3.0
Folsom	89	36	59.3	2.46			Tejon	86	37	54.6	1.32		South Manchester					
Fordyce							Towle	78	18	48.1	4.76	8.0	Storrs	73	17	46.1	4.40	
Fort Bragg							Truckee	66	20	44.8	1.26	6.0	Voluntown	74	19	46.1	4.95	
Fort Ross	73	35	52.6	2.22			Summerdale	94	32	58.8	1.33		Wallingford	72	24	46.8	3.95	T.
Georgetown	81	27	52.0	4.68			Tustin						Waterbury	75	21	48.8	4.30	2.0
Gilroy (near)	90	34	57.8	1.08			Ukiah	89	31	56.2	1.71		West Cornwall	75	19	44.0	3.67	10.0
Glendora							Upland	87	32	62.1	2.42		West Simsbury	74	24	46.8	3.84	4.0
Gold Run	78	26	55.5	2.22		</td												

TABLE II.—*Climatological record of cooperative observers—Continued.*

Stations.	Temperature, (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.		Stations.	Temperature, (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.		Stations.	Temperature, (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.	
	Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.		Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.		Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.
<i>Florida—Cont'd.</i>	°	°	°	Ins.	Ins.	<i>Georgia—Cont'd.</i>	°	°	°	Ins.	Ins.	<i>Illinois—Cont'd.</i>	°	°	°	Ins.	Ins.
Hypoluxo	82	49	72.5	4.19		Talbotton	91	36	64.9	0.75		Lagrange	82	22	50.8	1.59	
Inverness	91	43	69.2	3.79		Tallapoosa	91	35	64.2	0.97		Laharpe	82	25	54.9	1.70	
Jasper	94	58	67.0	0.00		Toccoa	84	33	61.2	1.89		Lanark	82	22	50.5	2.17	T.
Johnstown	93	39	67.8	0.35		Valdosta	92	35	66.7	0.35		Lincoln	84	31	56.8	2.45	
Kissimmee	90	43	71.2	1.48		Valona	90	34	66.8	0.57		<i>Idaho.</i>					
Lake City	95	37	68.7	1.70		Washington	89	35	63.8	1.52		American Falls	81	23	47.0	1.51	
Macclellany	94	38	67.3	0.13		Waycross	96	41	69.0	0.01		Blackfoot	79	22	44.8	1.32	
Madison	40	25	55.0	0.00		Waynesboro	89	37	66.4	0.50		Caldwell	90	25	53.2	1.04	5.0
Malabar	91	42	72.2	0.73		Westpoint	94	34	65.0	0.66		Chesterfield	73	8	40.5	1.44	T.
Manatee	90	46	70.4	0.66		Woodbury	88	31	62.6	0.95		Dent	92	28	53.0	0.76	
Marianna	97	34	68.8	0.55		<i>Illinois—Cont'd.</i>	°	°	°	Ins.	Ins.	Dewey	70	28	45.5	1.50	
Merritt Island	87	48	71.9	0.23		Lagrange	82	22	50.8	1.59		Ellerslie	85	25	50.2	0.82	1.0
Miami	85	51	73.9	2.33		Tallapoosa	91	35	64.2	0.97		Fernwood	71	21	44.2	1.00	2.0
Middleburg				1.26		Valona	90	34	66.8	0.57		Forney	85	6	41.5	0.48	1.5
Molino	91	35	65.6	0.73		Washington	89	35	62.6	0.99		Garnett	88	27	56.2	0.55	
Monticello				T.		Waycross	96	41	69.0	0.01		Grangeville	82	28	48.5	2.57	8.2
Mount Pleasant				2.12		Westpoint	94	34	65.0	0.66		Hope	96	35	60.0	0.35	
New Smyrna	98	47	71.2	2.23		Woodbury	88	26	55.7	0.89		Hot Springs	88	26	55.7	0.89	
Nocatee	94	44	71.7	0.89		<i>Idaho.</i>						Idaho Falls	78	22	45.2	1.10	
Ocala	95	39	70.2	2.33		Lakeview	66	4	34.9	1.05		Kellogg	87	24	47.8	0.90	
Orange City	98	39	70.8	2.27		Lake	71	18	40.2	1.86		Lake	66	4	34.9	1.05	8.0
Orange Home	95	39	70.0	2.31		Lakeview	81	28	49.5	1.10		Lakeview	71	18	40.2	1.86	7.8
Orlando	96	42	71.4	1.27		Lando	71	18	40.2	1.86		Lando	72	13	39.2	1.64	2.5
Plant City	96	41	72.0	1.70		Lardo	72	13	39.2	1.64		Lost River	75	14	41.9	0.47	1.0
Rockwell	89 ^b	41 ^b	69.0 ^b	1.91		Lovell	77	20	45.3	0.63		Meadows	84	18	45.8	0.85	
St. Andrews	86	40	65.6	0.45		Milner	89	23	47.5	0.77		Milner	82	27	50.8	0.37	
St. Augustine	88	43	67.9	2.02		Minidoka	82	21	48.2	1.07		Minidoka	82	27	50.8	0.37	2.0
St. Leo	95	44	70.6	0.74		Moscow	86	27	51.0	0.35		Moscow	87	27	51.0	0.35	T.
Stephenville	91	38	67.2	1.60		Murray	85	22	46.8	1.11		Murray	87	27	51.0	0.35	
Switzerland	91	40	68.2	0.86		Nevens Ranch						Nevens Ranch					
Tallahassee	91	39	67.8	0.15		Oakley	79	22	47.2	1.23		Oakley	79	22	47.2	1.23	5.0
Tarpon Springs	90	44	68.9	0.54		Ola	87	25	50.4	1.35		Ola	87	25	50.4	1.35	
Titusville	89	40	70.2	1.57		Orofino	96	25	54.1	0.75		Orofino	96	25	54.1	0.75	
Wausau				0.89		Paris	71	10	36.8	1.03		Paris	71	10	36.8	1.03	1.0
<i>Georgia.</i>						Pearl	80	20	46.4	0.70		Pearl	80	20	46.4	0.70	6.0
Abbeville				1.28		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36	
Adairsville	86 ^c	31 ^c	61.8 ^c	1.88		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36	
Albany	98	38	69.0	0.84		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36	
Allapaha	95	32	66.9	0.82		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36	
Americus	93	36	65.2	1.26		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36	
Athens	82	36	61.4	2.79		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36	
Bainbridge	97	33	68.0	1.20		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36	
Blakely	97	33	68.0	0.60		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36	
Bowersville	87	37	62.2	1.75		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36	
Brunswick	91	41	70.8	0.53		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36	
Butler				0.49		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36	
Camak	34	64.6 ^d	1.06			Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36	
Canton				2.00		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36	
Carlton				2.25		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36	
Clayton	85	25	58.6	3.01		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36	
Columbus	93	36	67.5	0.75		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36	
Cordele	93	32	65.8	1.04		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36	
Covington				1.28		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36	
Cuthbert	89	39	67.0	1.27		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36	
Dahlonega	85	32	60.6	1.57		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36	
Dawson	98	38	70.5	0.98		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36	
Diamond	86	30	62.6 ^d	0.50		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36	
Dublin				T.		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36	
Dudley	94	37	66.4	0.50		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36	
Eastman	97	39	68.4	1.20		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36	
Eatononton	93	34	64.8	0.83		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36	
Elberton	86	36	64.0	3.18		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36	
Experiment	91	36	65.0	0.34		Pearl	88	31	53.5	0.36		Pearl	88				

TABLE II.—Climatological record of cooperative observers—Continued.

Stations.	Temperature. (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.	Stations.	Temperature. (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.	Stations.	Temperature. (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.		
	Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.			Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.	Maximum.			Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.		
<i>Indiana—Cont'd.</i>	0	0	0	Ina.	Ina.	0	0	0	Ina.	Ina.	0	0	0	0	Ina.	Ina.
Terre Haute	85	30	58.2	3.66	Little Sioux	92	26	55.2	3.06	Lakin	90	26	55.6	3.08		
Valparaiso	82	23	51.9	2.09	Logan	92	27	54.0	2.44	Larned	86	27	56.4	2.25		
Wheelersburg	83 ⁴	28 ⁴	55.6 ⁴	1.40	Maple Valley	2.51	Lebanon	89	3.40		
Vevay	85	28	56.8	0.55	Marshalltown	87	25	51.5	2.18	Lebo	89	33	60.0	2.48		
Vincennes	89	29	58.3	1.74	Mason City	80	27	50.4	1.90	Macksville	89	23	57.3	2.24		
Washington	86	29	57.2	0.97	Massena	89	26	55.0	2.41	McPherson	90	32	58.6	2.96		
<i>Indiana Territory</i>					Mountayr	86	28	54.5	4.13	Madison	90	34 ⁴	58.6 ⁴	3.01		
Ardmore	89	36	62.0	4.41	Mount Pleasant	83	23	54.1	2.81	Manhattan	92	29	59.6	2.26		
Calvin	5.00	Mount Vernon	84	25	53.2	1.92	Manhattan	90	29	57.8	2.36		
Durant	85	40	62.0	4.22	Muscatine	1.75	Marion	88 ⁷	82 ⁷	58.4 ⁷	3.75		
Fairland	87	37	62.8	2.77	Nevada	2.57	Medicine Lodge	91	35	60.0	3.22		
Fort Gibson	3.32	New Hampton	78	25	50.6	1.20	Morane	90	32	57.2	2.46		
Hartshorne	84	36	61.4	3.86	Northwood	77	25	49.8	1.79	Moundhope	87	32	61.4	1.42		
Healdton	90	36	62.2	6.06	Odebolt	91	22	52.8	3.10	Neosho Rapids	3.25		
Marlow	86	37	61.8	6.12	Ogden	86	28	53.0	2.81	Norton	92	29	57.9	5.18	T.	
Muskogee	86	40	63.4	5.23	Olin	79	23	51.1	1.23	Norwich	87	36	60.4	2.94		
Oklmulgee	90	35	65.0	3.24	Osawa	94	26	54.6	3.47	Oberlin	3.48	2.0	
Pauls Valley	88	34	61.0	4.80	Osage	77	27	50.2	1.65	Olathe	84	31	59.8	2.82		
Ravia	87	40	63.6	4.66	Oskaloosa	86	26	54.2	1.63	Osage City	88	31	58.6	3.18		
Roff	87	38	62.6	4.23	Ottumwa	88	28	55.4	2.40	Oswego	86	35	61.6	1.89		
South McAlister	5.86	Pacific Junction	90	27	55.3	2.27	Ottawa	89	30	59.8	2.42		
Tulsa	89	38	63.7	3.60	Pella	88	26	55.5	2.58	Pittsburg	89	35	62.7	2.54		
Vinita	88	34	62.0	2.31	Perry	89	27	53.0	3.38	Plainville	91 ⁷	30	55.7 ⁷	3.52		
Wagoner	88	38	64.2	4.45	Plover	89	26	51.4	2.59	Pleasanton	85	32	60.8	1.69		
Webbers Falls	89	35	63.2	4.04	Pocahontas	89	28	51.3	2.61	Pratt	89	30	59.6	2.48		
<i>Iowa</i>					Redoak	89	31	56.8	3.06	Republie	91	28	55.8	4.15		
Afton	87	27	54.3	4.25	Ridgeway	82	27	51.8	1.56	Rome	89	35	61.0	6.59		
Albia	85	26	53.0	1.87	Rock Rapids	82	26	49.9	2.03	Russell	93	33	56.4	2.03		
Algona	85	25	50.6	2.08	Sac City	89	26	51.8	2.75	Salina	92	29	58.6	1.82		
Allerton	79	28	54.0	2.96	St. Charles	86	28	54.9	2.49	Scott	92	31	56.4	3.35		
Alta	90	27	50.2	2.47	Sheldon	92	24	51.4	4.16	Sedan	1.98		
Alton	89	26	51.0	2.09	Sibley	90	25	48.4	2.81	Toronto	93	33	58.0	2.05		
Amana	82	26	52.9	1.98	Sigourney	86	25	55.0	1.48	Valley Falls	90	33	58.4	1.89		
Ames	86	25	52.7	3.16	Sioux Center	88	26	50.0	1.79	Wakeeney	91	30	56.5	3.57		
Atlantic	89	24	53.1	4.34	Stockport	85	24	54.8	2.17	Wakeeney (near)	3.77		
Audubon	90	28	52.8	2.96	Storm Lake	87	27	49.2	2.09	Wallace	90	22	53.0	4.27	1.8	
Baxter	86	25	53.4	2.60	Stuart	78	25	52.4	2.62	Walnut	86	35	62.2	1.84		
Bedford	76	27	51.2	3.59	Thurman	90	25	55.6	2.60	Wamego ¹	87	38	59.6	3.40		
Belleplaine	83	26	51.4	2.07	Tipton	80	29	54.0	1.21	Winfield	88	38	62.4	6.70		
Bonaparte	85	25	54.7	2.40	Toledo	86	26	53.2	2.35	Yates Center	92	33	62.2		
Boone	86	27	51.4	3.32	Vinton	82	26	52.2	<i>Kentucky</i>		
Britt	86	27	50.3	3.13	Wapello	81	28	55.4	1.56	Alpha	88	30	61.6	1.90		
Buckingham	1.50	T.	Washington	84	26	52.2	1.51	Anchorage	87	26	56.8	1.67		
Burlington	88	28	54.6	2.63	Washto	91	25	50.2	4.13	Bardstown	91	27	59.4	1.25		
Carroll	90	26	51.6	2.01	Waterloo	83	26	51.3	1.55	Beattyville	89	24	57.0	1.92		
Cedar Rapids	84	25	52.7	1.15	Waukeen	86	27	53.8	4.07	Beaver Dam	89	27	59.0	1.47		
Chariton	84	27	55.3	2.78	Waverly	82	28	51.3	1.70	Berea	87	27	59.8	1.41		
Clarinda	90	27	54.0	2.82	Webster City	87	22	52.5	3.27	Blandville	84	31	60.2	1.48		
Clearlake	85	26	50.8	0.85	Westbend	85	25	50.8	1.66	Bowling Green	94	27	62.0	1.12		
Clinton	85	22	53.0	2.22	Whittem	79	24	51.4	3.06	Burnside	91	28	59.6	1.26		
College Springs	87	29	55.1	2.53	Wilton Junction	83	30	54.4	2.04	Cadiz	91	28	61.9	1.20		
Columbus Junction	81	26	54.6	1.64	Winterset	87	27	54.5	3.36	Calhoun	90	29	61.8	1.06		
Corning	86	26	53.4	3.49	Woodburn	83	24	53.2	3.14	Catlettsburg	89	27	58.6	1.50		
Corydon	85	27	55.0	1.88	Zearing	85	25	51.8	2.82	Earlington	89	26	61.7	1.26		
Creston	86	27	52.0	3.36	Abilene	2.24	Edmonton	87	27	55.5	1.11		
Cumberland	2.40		Alton	93	29	57.0	2.41	Eubank	86	26	57.0	1.35		
Decorah	82	27	51.2	0.97	Anthony	3.34	Falmouth	0.92		
Delaware	77	25	50.2	1.54	Atchison	88	33	58.6	3.27	Farmers	88	23	57.2	1.53		
Denison	90	24	52.6	2.89	Baker	88	32	56.2	3.63	Frankfort	85	29	57.4	1.15		
Desoto	86	28	53.8	3.01	Beloit	3.53	Franklin	88	28	60.8	1.47		
Dows	86	26	50.4	2.38	Blue Rapids	2.83	Greensburg	90	23	58.2	1.72		
Earlham	85	23	52.6	3.37	Burlington	88	34	51.1	2.85	High Bridge	94	30	59.6	0.53		
Elkader	83	23	52.3	1.65	Chapman	79	30	54.8	1.97	Hopkinsville	90	26	60.0	1.27		
Elliott	90	27	56.2	2.16	Cimarron	89	29	57.0	2.24	Irvington	87	29	59.6	1.63		
Estererville	89 ²	25	49.0 ⁶	0.71	Clay Center	90	29	57.7	2.92							

TABLE II.—*Climatological record of cooperative observers—Continued.*

Stations.	Temperature. (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.		Stations.	Temperature. (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.		Stations.	Temperature. (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.	
	Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.		Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.		Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.
<i>Louisiana</i> —Cont'd.																	
Donaldsonville	92	37	69.6	2.40	Ins.	Amherst.	74	21	46.0	3.25	2.0	Muskegon	96	21	45.4	1.47	T.
Farmerville	88	35	67.6	2.65		Bedford	75	23	46.6	2.92		Newberry	76	21	43.2	0.40	3.0
Franklin	91	38	69.3	3.79		Bluehill (summit)	73	22	45.6	2.50	1.0	Old Mission	72	21	43.2	0.88	
Georgetown	87	35	66.3	7.08		Cambridge	71	26	47.7	2.32		Olivet	77	24	48.6	2.46	T.
Grand Coteau	87	38	68.8	4.80		Chestnuthill	74	26	47.0	2.62		Omer	74	24	45.2	2.45	3.0
Hammond	86	37	66.8	2.38		Concord	75	22	45.4	2.79	2.0	Ovid	76	26	47.9	2.53	
Houma	87	35	68.2	3.32		East Templeton*1	73	24	43.3	1.00	2.0	Owosso	72	25	48.2	2.58	
Jennings	86	40	68.9	3.75		Fairiver	69	26	46.8	2.99		Petoskey	73	25	43.6	0.70	
Lafayette	86	37	68.6	4.08		Fitchburg	75	24	46.0	2.38	5.0	Plymouth	78	23	49.6	2.10	
Lake Charles	90	41	70.5	3.05		Framingham	75	21	46.0	2.72		Pontiac	75	25	47.6	1.34	T.
Lakeside	85	46	69.8	3.20		Groton	74	21	44.1	2.96	4.0	Port Austin	72	25	44.0	1.50	
Lawrence	87	42	67.8	1.94		Hyannis						Reed City	74	25	48.6	0.75	T.
Libertyhill	90	34	66.4	1.91		Jefferson						Roscommon	68	18	44.6		
Logansport				1.87		Lawrence	76	23	45.6	2.97	2.5	Saginaw (W. S.)	75	25	48.2	2.51	T.
Melville	88	35	68.2	3.96		Leominster						St. James	65	25	42.8	2.34	
Minden	83	37	64.2	2.60		Lowell	72	23	46.9	3.00		St. Johns	77	23	49.1	2.18	T.
Monroe	90	35	67.9	1.28		Ludlow Center	70	14	41.2	2.91	1.0	St. Joseph	75	26	47.9	2.40	0.5
Morgan City				2.54		Middleboro	74	19	45.2	2.03		Slocum	73	20	45.7	1.84	
New Iberia	84	42	68.8	2.50		Monson	74	17	45.6	2.87	3.0	Somerset	75	22	47.4	2.27	
Opelousas	88	37	68.2	5.11		New Bedford	71	27	47.2	2.51		South Haven	77	20	43.8	3.14	T.
Oxford	89	45	68.2	2.04		Pittsfield						Staunton	75	23	47.6	1.37	T.
Plain Dealing	89	38	66.0	2.42		Plymouth	68	25	44.3	2.39		Thomaston	76	16	44.0		
Rayne	88	38	69.2	3.25		Princeton						Thornville	74	24	48.8	2.27	4.0
Reserve	93	44	70.2	1.56		Provincetown	60	26	44.2	1.53		Traverse City	73	24	45.2	0.30	T.
Robeline	87	37	66.2	3.03		Salem						Vassar	75	20	48.7	2.35	
Ruston	90	36	67.8	2.40		Somerset*1	73	20	47.6	2.61		Weberville	80	22	48.4	3.24	
St. Francisville	89	38	67.9	3.10		Sterling						West Branch	68	19	43.4	0.99	4.0
Schriever	91	36	68.9	0.83		Taunton	66	18	44.8	2.33		Westmore	83	10	40.0	0.85	2.0
Simmesport				3.54		Westboro	77	22	47.7	2.79	1.0	Whitefish Point	64	19	36.8	1.87	3.0
Southern University				2.00		Weston	74	20	45.0	2.59	0.5	Woodlawn	71	14	41.6	2.01	6.0
Sugar Experiment Station	87	43	68.6	1.95		Williamstown	70	20	43.6	2.93	2.0	Ypsilanti	77	19	48.4	1.82	T.
Sugartown	84	38	67.4	5.34		Worcester	74	25	47.0	2.22	2.3	<i>Michigan</i> —Cont'd.					
<i>Maine</i> .						<i>Michigan</i> .						<i>Michigan</i> .					
Bar Harbor	64	20	39.8	4.33	15.0	Adrian	82	19	50.7	2.78		Albert Lea	77	28	50.1	1.52	T.
Chesunucok	51	4	33.1	2.33	14.0	Agricultural College						Alexandria	81	21	47.6	2.16	
Cornish	72	19	42.4	1.91	9.5	Allegan	87	20	49.0			Amboy					
Danforth				4.88		Alma	75	23	47.4	1.71		Angus	84	20	46.8	1.99	
Debsconeag	68	16	42.0	3.69	14.0	Ann Arbor	76	24	49.3	2.19		Ashby	78	24	48.3	2.28	
Farmington	68	12	40.6	2.06	12.0	Arbela	75	22	47.6	2.36	1.5	Bagley	78	20	45.6	1.70	1.0
Fort Fairfield	58	2	35.4	2.54	1.0	Baldwin						Beaupre	82	22	42.0	2.00	2.0
Gardiner	67	14	40.6	3.74	21.0	Ball Mountain	75	24	47.8	2.66		Bemidji	79	20	46.6	1.45	
Grant Farm				3.33		Battlecreek	80	26	52.2	2.13		Bird Island	77	23	49.6	1.70	
Greenville	57	—3	35.4	2.20	16.0	Bay City	67	25	45.6	2.20	1.0	Caledonia	81	24	50.4	1.15	1.5
Houlton	60	5	36.7	3.20	4.0	Benzonia	72	23	45.6	1.83		Campbell	81	24	47.4	1.85	
Lewiston	68	20	41.8	2.93	14.0	Berlin	74	23	47.0	1.83		Cass Lake					
Madison	62	15	39.8	4.27	6.5	Big Rapids	74	22	46.6	1.97		Crookston	80	23	46.0	2.56	T.
Mayfield	58	15	38.0	2.70	12.0	Birmingham	75	26	49.0	1.91		Detroit	81	19	45.6	1.94	
Milinocket	64	6	35.8	3.69	10.5	Bloomingdale	80	22	47.2	2.00		Fairmont	82	28	49.4	1.40	
North Bridgton	68	17	41.8	2.36	T.	Calumet	74	20	42.2	0.74	0.5	Faribault	77	26	49.2	1.31	
Oquossoc	60	—1	35.7	2.17	14.0	Carsonville	73	24	46.5	1.45		Farmington	82	27	50.0	3.38	T.
Orono	64	14	40.0	3.65	11.5	Cassopolis	82	21	49.4	4.00		Fergus Falls	82	26	49.4	2.13	1.2
Patten	60	3	36.2	2.40	4.0	Charlevoix	70	24	44.6 ^b	1.17		Floodwood	77	19	45.4	1.44	
Runford Falls	66	29	40.2	1.69	9.0	Charlotte	79	21	48.8	1.41		Glencoe	78	22	50.6	1.90	
The Forks				2.18		Chatham	69	18	40.4	0.85	1.0	Grand Meadow	78	26	47.6	1.69	0.5
Thomaston	62	18	40.6	3.64	11.0	Cheboygan	75	23	44.5	0.20		Hallie	82	18	47.3	1.03	
Vanburen	65	—7	34.6	1.60	6.0	Clinton	75	22	49.2	1.91		Hinckley	77	20	47.0	2.14	
Winslow	68	17	40.6	2.78	11.0	Coldwater	80	21	50.9	2.24		Hovland	65	17	39.9	0.82	T.
<i>Maryland</i> .						Concord	78	21	48.8	2.02		Leech	80	20	44.8	1.65	
Annapolis	85	28	57.0	2.81	T.	Deer Park	65	20	39.5	0.98		Little Falls	79	26	47.1	1.60	
Bachmans Valley	83	24	53.4	5.13		Dundee	78	22	49.8	1.80		Long Prairie	80	25	49.0	1.47	T.
Cambridge	90	30	56.2	2.27	T.	Eagle Harbor	72	21	41.7	0.89		Luverne	87	26	49.3	1.24	
Cheltenham	87	25	54.9	2.80		East Tawas	70	21	43.4 ^b	2.38	.02	Lynd	88	25	49.0	1.84	

TABLE II.—Climatological record of cooperative observers—Continued.

Stations.	Temperature. (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.		Stations.	Temperature. (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.		Stations.	Temperature. (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.	
	Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.		Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.		Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.
Mississippi—Cont'd.						Missouri—Cont'd.						Montana—Cont'd.					
Austin	88	30	64.9	2.45		Kidder	86	31	55.7	2.44		Missoula	80	26	50.2	0.20	
Batesville	92	31	64.7	1.10		Koshkonong	86	29	62.1	2.10		Nye				1.48	3.7
Bay St. Louis	83	42	67.8	1.42		Lamar	86	34	61.6	2.63		Ovando	81	18	43.1	0.90	
Biloxi	88	43	69.2	1.09		Lamotte				1.32		Phillipsburg	80	19	44.5	2.25	5.8
Booneville	84	35	64.0	1.67		Lebanon	87	30	60.2	2.41		Plains	83	23	48.3	0.40	
Brookhaven	90	40	66.8	2.20		Lexington	85	31	60.0	1.05		Poplar	89	15	48.7	3.10	4.0
Canton	89	38	66.8	0.99		Liberty	86	32	58.8	1.76		Raymond				0.25	2.0
Columbia				2.60		Lockwood	87	31	60.0 ^d	2.62		Red Lodge	75	9	42.2	1.72	2.9
Columbus	90	36	65.6	0.80		Louisiana	86	25	57.6	2.72		Ridgeglen	88	8	49.0	1.75	1.0
Corinth	83	33	61.9	1.84		Macon	88	28	58.0	2.50		St. Pauls	86	10	53.1	0.61	3.0
Crystal Springs	89	40	66.6	1.64		Marblehill	90	26	61.2	2.01		St. Peter	79	21	46.6	1.76	1.5
Duck Hill	89	31	63.4 ^c	1.31		Marshall	85	30	57.7	2.13		Saltese				0.48	
Edwards	88	35	66.9	2.15		Maryville	88	31	55.9	4.31		Springbrook	87	11	49.4	2.45	2.0
Enterprise				0.98		Mexico	87	28	56.9	1.96		Steely	87	22	50.6	0.53	2.0
Fayette	84	31	65.8	2.48		Monroe	83	27	53.6	2.63		Tokna	93	10	49.4	1.21	1.0
Fayette (near)				2.41		Montgomery City	86	28	58.3	1.06		Toston	84	18	48.6	0.15	
Greenville b	91	31	65.8	1.35		Mountain Grove	84	30	59.8	2.88		Townsend				0.54	
Greenwood	90	32	64.4	1.02		Neosho	87	31	61.4	2.63		Troy	86	20	47.0	0.61	
Hattiesburg	93	38	66.6	1.03		New Haven	88	38	61.4	2.33		Twin Bridges	81	15	47.1	1.00	
Hazlehurst	87	40	67.0	2.10		New Madrid				1.47		Utica	81	11	46.1	0.16	1.0
Hernando	90	30	65.9	1.76		New Palestine	86	33	59.8	1.56		Virginia City	74	12	41.4	1.81	6.0
Holly Springs	88 ^b	32 ^b	63.9 ^b	1.07		Oakfield	86	30	60.5	2.80		Warrick				0.15	T.
Jackson	89	39	66.4	1.77		Olden	87	23	61.6	4.18		Whitlash	88	12	47.6	0.57	T.
Kosciusko	89	34	65.2	1.72		Oregon	87	30	57.2	3.10		Wolf Creek	81	21	46.2	1.16	0.3
Lake	91	35	65.4	1.68		Oseola				1.83		Wolsey	76	3	38.5	0.66	3.0
Lake Como	88	36	66.0	1.72		Princeton	89	32	57.0	2.84		Yale	84	12	45.0	0.07	
Laurel	93	42	67.8	0.78		Rockport				4.11		Nebraska					
Leakesville	94	38	67.4	1.72		Rolla				2.11		Agate	84	10	45.1	1.66	2.0
Louisville	89	36	64.1	0.92		St. Charles	89	28	59.6	2.01		Agee ¹				4.30	
McNeill	79	38	63.5	1.96		St. Joseph				2.31		Ainsworth	86	21	50.6	4.00	2.5
Macon	90	37	66.3	0.60		Sarcocie				2.69		Albion	77	25	51.6	5.12	
Magee	90	37	65.8	1.52		Sedalia	87	29	60.2	1.45		Alliance	86	21	49.4	3.70	
Magnolia	89	38	67.3	4.65		Seymour	85	30	60.4	4.06		Alma	92	29	55.3	5.37	
Merrill				2.42		Sikeston	88	28	60.6	1.74		Arapaho				4.93	
Natchez	90	32	67.6	2.36		Steffenville	85	27	56.7	3.58		Arcadia				6.79	
Nitta Yuma	90	43 ^b	68.6 ^b	1.45		Sublett	83	28	55.6	4.15		Ashland	91	30	55.4	3.74	
Okolona	88	39	64.0	1.98		Trenton	82	31	56.1	2.24		Ashton				8.10	
Patmos				3.15		Unionville	84	27	54.6	3.24		Auburn	90	30	51.0	3.58	
Pearlington				1.26		Versailles	93	29	63.2	2.50		Beatrice	89	28	56.0	1.90	
Pecan	86	40	65.4	0.85		Warrensburg	88	32	60.6	1.31		Beaver	94	28	55.9	5.51	
Pittsboro	88	33	62.8	1.31		Warrenton	87	28	58.4	2.08		Bellevue	90	32	56.0	3.00	
Pontotoc	87	33	64.0	1.45		Warsaw	90	29	60.6	2.08		Blair	93	29	55.2	3.77	
Port Gibson	89	39	65.5	2.38		Wheatland				2.20		Bluehill				4.61	
Porterville	88	34	64.6	1.19		Willow Springs	85	22	57.7	3.66		Bradshaw				6.04	
Quitman	90	34	66.8	0.96		Windsor	86	29	59.8	2.28		Bridgeport	87	25	49.8	1.75	
Ripley	88	29	61.9	1.70		Zeitonia	88	22	58.1	1.84		Broken Bow	91	25	51.4	9.35	
Shelby	92	36	65.8	1.72		Montana						Burchard				2.89	
Shoecoe	90	36	67.1	1.86		Absarokee				1.25	2.0	Burge				1.79	4.0
Shubuta				0.77		Adell	79	12	43.0	1.37	6.5	Burwell				5.21	
Stonington				3.23		Anaconda	79	17	44.2	1.20		Callaway	92	25	52.8	8.88	
Suffolk	88	31	66.8	2.67		Augusta	86	14	45.6	0.92		Central City				10.13	
Swan Lake	91	31	65.8	1.13		Bear Creek				1.64	3.5	Chester				3.19	
Tchula	91	33	67.4	1.83		Billings	89	16	51.8	2.96	8.0	Clearwater	90	24	52.0	4.23	T.
Tupelo	89	35	64.2	1.08		Boulder	78	20	45.9	0.08	1.0	Cody				1.20	0.6
University	89	31	63.7	1.43		Bozeman	75	12	42.4	1.61	4.4	Columbus	88	27	52.6	3.96	
Utica	87	35	65.4	2.30		Butte	76	6	43.0	1.70		Crawford				1.31	
Walnutgrove	87 ^b	36 ^b	65.8 ^b	2.13		Canyon Ferry	84	19	47.6	0.72		Crete	89	30	56.0	3.42	
Watervalley	90	32	64.4	2.80		Cascade	86	20	50.8	1.16		Culbertson	92	22	56.8	3.48	1.0
Waynesboro				0.76		Chester	88	16	46.2	0.02		David City	87	28	53.4	5.67	T.
Woodville	86	35	67.0	4.03		Chinook	84	16	50.0	0.07		Dawson	92	30	58.8	3.27	
Yazoo City	89	35	66.4	2.82		Choteau	85	22	47.4	0.56		Dubois				3.21	
Missouri						Clear Creek	84	14	49.0	0.29		Duff				7.30	
Albany				2.90		Columbia Falls	84	18	45.8	0.38		Dunning				5.80	3.0
Appleton City	86	31	60.0	1.93		Copper				0.52	0.2	Edgar				3.35	
Arlington				1.75		Crow Agency	84	6	49.4	1.40	6.0	Ellis				2.	

TABLE II.—*Climatological record of cooperative observers—Continued.*

Stations.	Temperature, (Fahrenheit.)			Precipitation.			Stations.	Temperature, (Fahrenheit.)			Precipitation.			Stations.	Temperature, (Fahrenheit.)			Precipitation.		
	Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.			Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.			Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.	
Nebraska—Cont'd.							New Hampshire—Cont'd.							New Mexico—Cont'd.						
Kennedy	94	22	51.4	2.75	12.0		Durham	71	17	43.2	2.93	5.0		Mesilla Park	87	34	60.0	0.50		
Kimball	81	23	47.8	2.52	0.5		Franklin Falls	73	15	42.6	2.75	12.0		Mineral Hill				2.78	7.0	
Kirkwood	91	21	51.3	4.42	T.		Grafton	72	12	41.4	2.15	11.0		Monument	86	39	61.2	1.88		
Leavitt	90	28	53.2	7.51			Groveton							Nara Visa	83	30	56.3	2.30		
Lexington	91	25	52.2	6.11	3.0		Hanover	72	13	41.7	1.55	10.0		Orange	88	36	61.1	0.71		
Loup	88	23	52.3	6.55			Jefferson Highland							Palma				0.06		
Lynch	94	22	54.2	3.76			Keene	75	15	43.1	1.18	5.0		Portales	84	32	57.1	3.89		
McCook				2.44	0.1		Nashua	76	21	45.6	2.75	3.5		Redrock	75	29	52.5			
McCool				5.97			Newton	70	17	43.3	3.14	3.0		Rincon	87	31	58.8	0.37		
Madison	87	27	51.5	6.18	T.		North Woodstock							Rociada	64	16	42.6	2.98	7.0	
Marquette				6.57	T.		Plymouth	73	20	43.8	2.38	8.3		Rosa	73	28	49.8	1.70		
Mason				9.40			New Jersey.							Rosedale	73	28	49.8	1.70		
Minden	90	29	52.8	4.28	T.		Asbury Park	76	28	50.9	3.00			San Marcial	90	33	60.1	T.		
Monroe				6.39			Bayonne	78	29	51.2	5.21			San Rafael	76	25	50.2	0.91		
Nebraska City	91	28	56.6	2.64			Belvidere	81	23	51.9	4.66			Socorro	84	31	57.6	0.65		
Neunaha				4.45			Bergen Point	78	29	51.3	5.91			Strauss				T.		
Norfolk	89	25	52.6	5.70			Beverly	82	27	52.9	2.85			Taos	74	24	47.6	1.18	T.	
North Loup	90	25	53.4	6.41			Bridgeton	87	26	55.0	2.31			Tres Piedras	69	12	42.4	1.10	3.0	
Oakdale	89	24	50.6	4.90	0.1		Browns Mills	83 ⁴	22 ⁴	52.6 ⁴				Tucumcari	82	30	56.9	1.79	1.5	
Oakland	89	27	52.7	3.31			Canton							Valley				2.30		
Odell				1.93			Cape May C. H.	80	25	53.2	1.54			Vermejo	69	20	43.3	2.06	1.0	
Ord				7.95			Charlotteburg	75	20	48.0	4.37	T.		Whiteoaks				1.56		
Palmer				8.02			Clayton	83	27	52.8	2.94			Windsor				T.		
Palmyra* ¹	90	30	55.0	2.05			College Farm	80	25	51.6	4.38			New York.						
Pawnee City	90	28	56.3	2.44			Dover	74	23	48.4	4.66			Adams				1.29	2.0	
Plymouth				3.90			Elizabeth	81	30	53.9	4.00			Addison	78	21	46.6	1.40	T.	
Purdum	94	21	50.1	5.17	T.		Englewood	76	28	51.0	6.42			Akron				2.35		
Ravenna	88	26	53.2	6.39	0.1		Flemington	81	26	51.9	3.30			Amsterdam	74	20	45.0	3.22	4.5	
Redcloud	91	28	57.5	4.52			Friesburg	86	25	52.7	2.73			Angelica	78	18	43.4	1.42	T.	
Rulo				4.14			Hightstown	77	27	50.0	4.07			Appleton	75	25	45.4	1.71	2.0	
St. Libory				7.24	T.		Imaystown	82	27	53.5	2.67			Arcade	77	19	42.2	2.55	1.0	
St. Paul	90	27	54.1	6.17	T.		Indian Mills	85	24	53.2	2.92			Athens	75	24	47.4	3.66	1.5	
Santee	96	24	55.1	2.99			Jersey City	77	29	52.0	5.83			Atlanta	75	20	44.6	2.52		
Schuyler				3.77			Lakewood	82	26	51.6	3.18			Atwater				2.18	0.3	
Seward	89	28	53.7	5.55			Lambertville	82	25	52.7	3.38			Auburn	74	22	45.1	1.54	1.0	
Smithfield				5.30			Layton	76	17	47.2	4.31			Avon	77	22	45.2	1.79	T.	
Springview	88	20	50.4	3.80			Moorestown	81	26	52.4	2.71			Baldwinsville	70	24	44.0	2.57		
Stanton	89	25	53.2	6.07			Newton	78	23	49.2	4.74			Ballston Lake	73	22	45.2	3.09	6.0	
Strang				5.53			Paterson	80	28	53.5	5.49			Bedford	75	24	49.2	3.96		
Stratton				2.93			Phillipsburg	79	28	51.4	3.84			Berlin	71	17	44.3	3.06		
Strausburg				7.49			Plainfield	78	27	51.2	4.52			Blue Mountain Lake				2.58	13.5	
Superior	95	29	55.7	4.44			Pleasantville							Bolivar	77	17	44.0	1.91	2.5	
Syracuse				2.18			Rancocas							Bouckville	70	20	43.4	2.42	3.0	
Tablerock				3.04			Rivervale	75	19	48.4	2.96			Brockport	77	25	46.5	2.05		
Tecumseh	79 ²	28	55.1 ²	1.87			Sandy Hook	73	30	50.2	3.36			Cape Vincent	67	22	41.8	1.85		
Tekamah	91	29	55.2	4.32			Somerville	81	28	51.4	4.34			Carmel	75	25	46.9	5.41	0.5	
Turlington	91	30	55.4	2.25			South Orange	76	30	50.8	5.29			Carvers Falls	72	11	43.0	1.29	2.0	
University Farm	89	30	56.0	2.86			Sussex	77	23	50.2	4.83			Chatham	76	19	46.8	3.30	1.0	
Wahoo				7.72			Toms River	85	24	51.4	2.95			Chazy	70	18	42.4	0.20	1.0	
Wakefield	89	25	51.6	3.58			Trenton	80	28	53.4	3.84			Coeymans	78	21	46.6	2.70	T.	
Wallace				4.25			Tucker	79	22	51.3	2.15			Cold Spring Harbor	74	21	48.4	5.39	T.	
Wauneta				4.05			Vineland	86	25	52.8	2.45			Cooperstown	71	19	40.8	2.83	T.	
Weeping Water				1.89	T.		Woodbine	82	24	52.0	2.12			Cortland	72	21	45.3	1.67	T.	
Westpoint	90	27	54.7	5.54									Cutchogue	71	25	48.0	2.81			
Whitman				2.28	3.0								Dannemora	67	14	40.7	0.35	2.0		
Wilber				3.98									De Ruyter	75	18	42.8	2.73	2.0		
Wilcox				5.10									Easton				1.84	1.5		
Wilsonville				8.48									Elba	76	24	43.9	1.85	2.0		
Winnebago	84	19	51.6	3.08	T.								Elmira	80	22	48.6	2.05			
Wisner				8.48									Faust	69	9	38.8	0.86	2.5		
Wymore				1.52									Fayetteville</td							

TABLE II.—*Climatological record of cooperative observers—Continued.*

Stations.	Temperature. (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.		Stations.	Temperature. (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.		Stations.	Temperature. (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.	
	Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.		Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.		Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.
New York—Cont'd.	•	•	•	In.	In.	North Dakota.	•	•	•	In.	In.	Ohio—Cont'd.	•	•	•	In.	In.
Oneonta	75	20	45.6	4.04	2.0	Amenia	83	24	46.6	2.34	4.0	Jacksonburg	86	25	55.8	1.61	
Oriskany Falls	72	19	43.5	1.54		Ashley	87	18	47.6	2.30		Killbuck	82	23	52.2	2.80	
Otto	76	22	46.6	1.97		Berlin	79	18	46.3	2.47		Lancaster	83	25	53.9	1.33	
Oxford	71	20	44.0	2.78		Bottineau	83	10	46.8	0.69	0.3	Lima	82	21	52.0	3.25	
Oyster Bay	73	28	49.0	5.07	T.	Buford	87	12	48.6	1.79	2.0	McConnellsburg	85	23	53.6	2.28	
Palermo				2.09	2.0	Cando	82	18	46.6	0.84	0.2	Manara	83	25	54.0	1.09	
Perry City	74	18	43.0	1.80	0.2	Chilcot	84	11	48.6	1.11	0.5	Mansfield				2.75	
Plattsburg	70	20	44.0	1.30	3.0	Cooperstown	77	23	48.8			Marietta	87	27	56.2	3.01	
Port Jervis	78	22	48.8	4.27		Denhoff	77	19	46.1	0.42	1.4	Marion	84	20	52.6	2.23	T.
Potsdam	79	18	43.8	1.22	1.0	Dickinson	83	13	48.2	1.10	T.	Medina	81	20	49.9	1.53	T.
Richland				2.00	T.	Donnybrook	80	14	45.6	1.62	T.	Milfordton	82	21	50.4	1.33	
Richmondville	75	17	44.6	3.50	4.0	Dunseith	84	11	46.7	0.66		Milligan	81	23	53.4	2.07	
Ridgeway	73	27	45.6	2.36	2.2	Edgeley	85	22	47.6	1.58	3.0	Millport	79	21	48.5	2.00	T.
Romulus	75	22	46.3	1.26		Edmore	80	17	47.1	1.30	3.0	Montpelier	81	22	50.2	1.95	T.
Salisbury Mills				4.32	T.	Fargo	82	26 ^b	46.6 ^b	2.21		Napoleon	82	24	51.7	2.17	
Saranac	69	8	38.8	0.94	4.1	Flasher	86	19	50.2	1.58		Nellie				3.30	T.
Sarsdale	76	22	50.2	2.62	T.	Forman	86	24	49.0	2.52	T.	New Alexandria	82	21	51.8	2.30	T.
Setauket	72	31	48.4	3.57	T.	Fort Berthold	85	17	50.0	1.77	T.	New Berlin	80	22	49.4	1.73	
Shortsville	75	23	45.2			Fort Yates	85	21	50.3	0.87	T.	New Bremen	82	20	53.4	1.02	T.
Skaneateles				3.04		Fullerton	82	21	46.6	2.82	6.3	New Richmond	88	26	57.0	1.39	
Southampton	68	26	46.8	4.27	T.	Gackle	80 ^a	19 ^a	45.6 ^a	1.60	2.0	New Waterford	80	22	48.6	2.42	T.
South Canisteo	75	18	44.2	1.95	1.0	Glenullin	85	15	47.9	1.13	T.	North Royalton	80	26	49.4	1.61	
South Schroon	70	17	41.5	1.87	10.5	Grafton	80	21	47.3	0.97	T.	Norwalk	83	22	52.4	2.18	T.
Ticonderoga	71	18	44.0	1.25	2.5	Hamilton	80	20	45.2	0.97	3.0	Ohio State University	83	25	52.4	1.54	
Volusia	77	22	44.3	2.19	5.0	Hannah	82	19	45.4	0.64	1.4	Orangeville	80	22	45.7	2.05	
Wappinger Falls	76	22	48.0	3.95	1.0	Hillsboro	78	23	48.2	2.90		Ottawa	84	26	53.2	2.29	
Warwick				3.45		Jamestown						Pataskala	83	23	53.0	2.05	
Watertown	75	20	43.7	1.53	2.0	Kulm	85	20	47.6	2.60	3.0	Philo	83	24	54.0	1.17	
Waverly	78	18	45.8	2.29	2.5	LaFollette	86	10	49.6	1.22	0.7	Plattsburg	84	24	53.4	1.12	
Wedgewood	74	21	44.6	1.49	0.5	Langdon	80	19	46.3	0.91	1.6	Pomeroy	88	22	53.6	1.38	
Wells	74	10	41.6	2.44	11.0	Larimore	77	16	45.6	1.63	2.4	Pulse	85	25	54.8	1.42	
West Berne	75	17	44.4	1.40	4.0	Lisbon	79	18	45.4	2.60		Rittman	84	21	49.4	1.80	
Westfield	75	23	46.0	2.15	5.0	McKinney	84	15	46.4	1.00		Rockyridge	84	20	50.5	1.93	T.
Windham	75	16	43.1	2.44	3.0	Manfred	86	18	48.0	0.68	T.	Shenandoah	81	20	49.1	3.21	0.5
Youngstown				1.31	4.0	Melville	85	20	47.4	1.25	4.0	Sidney	85	21	54.0	1.36	
North Carolina.						Milton	80	20	46.4	1.00		Somerset	85	24	53.8	2.05	
Battleboro				0.55		Minnewaukon	78 ^b	25 ^b	48.0 ^b	1.39		South Lorain	83	19	48.4	1.63	1.2
Beaufort	78	39	61.3	0.50		Minot	86	14	47.0	1.03	T.	Springfield				1.42	
Brevard	85	26	56.6	3.43		Minto	89	21	47.3	1.52		Summerfield	84	21	51.8	3.16	T.
Bryson City				3.31		Moyersville	84	16	46.9	0.68	T.	Thurman	89	25	57.0	1.37	
Buck Springs	75	19	51.3	4.47		Napoleon	88	14	46.6	1.55	5.0	Tiffin	79	24	51.4	2.05	T.
Caroleen	88	30	61.4	2.35		New England	82	27	51.2	1.24	T.	Toledo (St. Johns College)	82	25	50.8	1.60	
Catawba				1.82		Oakdale	81	15	47.8	1.90	2.0	Upper Sandusky	82	22	52.8	2.12	
Chalybeate Springs	91	28	62.5	0.68		Oriska	77	23	47.2	3.51	2.0	Urbana	85	21	52.6	1.86	
Chapelhill	90	33	61.6	1.32		Park River	79	22	47.2	0.95	0.5	Vickery	84	29	49.4	1.59	T.
Eagletown	92	30	60.5	1.46		Pembina	84	14	46.7	0.90	2.0	Warren	82	24	48.0	2.21	0.6
Edenton	90	33	60.4	1.10		Portal	82	18	47.2	1.17	2.6	Wauseon	84	20	49.2	1.90	T.
Fayetteville	89	34	64.3	1.28		Power	83	20	47.8	2.91	T.	Waverly	89	25	56.3	1.56	
Goldboro	91	33	62.3	0.52		Pratt	85	13	47.6	0.80	0.2	Waynesville	85	24	54.8	1.90	
Graham				0.82		Rolla	79	16	44.8	1.04	1.3	Wellington	81	21	50.8	1.54	
Greensboro	91	34	60.7	1.22		Sentinel Butte	85	8	49.1	1.57	3.0	Willoughby				2.04	T.
Greenville				0.65		Steele	83	18	47.6	1.25		Wilson	89	23	55.4	1.37	
Henderson	87	32	59.8	1.66		University	80	20	48.2	1.98		Wooster	80	21	51.9	2.27	0.2
Hendersonville	82	30	57.2	2.42		Wahpeton	80 ^a	24 ^a	49.4 ^a	1.94		Zanesville	80	21	51.9	1.73	
Horse Cove	78	30	58.0	3.91		Washburn	86 ^b	17 ^b	50.0 ^b	0.75		Oklahoma.					
Hot Springs	87	30	58.6			Wishek	85	18	46.0	1.58	0.5	Alva	90	40	62.2	3.21	
Kinston	94	30	63.8	0.52		Ohio.	80	24	49.6	1.19	1.5	Arapaho	95	34	63.0	4.42	
Lenoir	86	27	59.3	1.24		Akron	81	24	49.6	1.19	T.	Binger	87	37	62.8	5.38	
Lexington	91	29	59.5	1.29		Amesville	88	23	56.0	1.78		Blackburn	90	35	63.0	5.31	
Lincolnton	90	30	60.5			Atwater	78	18	48.4	1.95	0.5	Cache	89	41	64.1	7.15	
Linville	72	20	48.8	3.61		Bangorville	80	20	51.7	1.98	T.	Chandler	93	37	65.9	4.62	
Louisburg	88	32	61.1	1.05		Bellefontaine	82	18	50.8	1.35	0.5	End	86	34</			

TABLE II.—*Climatological record of cooperative observers—Continued.*

Stations.	Temperature. (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.	Stations.	Temperature. (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.	Stations.	Temperature. (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.		
	Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.			Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.			Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.			
<i>Oregon—Cont'd.</i>					<i>Pennsylvania—Cont'd.</i>					<i>South Carolina—Cont'd.</i>						
Bend.....	84	12	45.8	0.07	Gettysburg.....	85	24	54.0	4.53	St. Georges.....	89	40	68.2	0.60		
Beulah.....	85	19	49.6	0.50	Girardville.....	6.21		St. Matthews.....	86	39	64.6	1.07		
Blackbutte.....	80	29	49.6	2.90	Gordon.....	76	18	48.2	6.96	St. Stephens.....	1.80			
Blalock.....	90	37	58.6	0.60	Greensboro.....	3.02		Saluda.....	89	32	64.8	1.44		
Bullrun.....	70	24	47.0	0.27	Greenville.....	81	21	47.4	2.36	Santuck.....	90	34	63.4	1.67		
Burns.....	85	28	51.7	1.34	Hamburg.....	81	28	51.5	5.04	Seivern.....	90	28	62.4	2.43		
Carlton.....	85	28	51.7	1.34	Hanover.....	86	26	55.6	4.45	Smiths Mills.....	1.55			
Cascade Locks.....	85	31	55.2	2.80	Herrs Island Dam.....	1.91		Societyhill.....	87	36	64.8	0.59		
Coquille.....	2.15		Huntingdon.....	77	23	50.8	2.65	Spartanburg.....	90	35	63.4	1.34		
Corvallis.....	88	28	53.7	2.38	Hyndman.....	2.58		Statesburg.....	88	37	66.4	0.94		
Dale.....	1.05		Indiana.....	79	24	48.6	2.72	Summerville.....	92	35	66.4	1.35		
Dayville.....	88	27	52.8	0.60	Irwin.....	82	22	52.6	2.01	Sumter.....	92	35	66.7	1.50		
Doraville.....	87	29	51.5	1.51	Johnstown.....	80	26	51.4	2.87	Trenton.....	87°	40°	64.3°	1.57		
Drain.....	92	29	53.8	2.31	Kennett.....	84	27	53.6	4.55	Trial.....	90	34	65.2	1.21		
Echo.....	92	30	55.6	0.02	Lansdale.....	3.57		Walterboro.....	91	33	64.8	1.50		
Ella.....	89	30	57.0	0.17	Lawrenceville.....	78	20	46.6	1.43	Winnisboro.....	89	38	64.7	1.65		
Eugene.....	87	29	53.4	1.83	Lebanon.....	84	25	53.0	4.58	Winthrop College.....	89	36	63.8	1.22		
Fairview.....	89	30	52.2	4.09	Leroy.....	70	20	45.0	2.69	Yemassee.....	92	36	64.6	1.20		
Falls City.....	85	29	51.6	3.28	Lockhaven.....	79	25	51.4	4.13	Yorkville.....	92	38	65.7	2.25		
Forestgrove.....	89	28	53.7	2.21	Lock No. 4.....	2.66		<i>South Dakota.</i>		
Gardiner.....	73	30	52.0	1.57	Lycippus.....	80	21	51.2	1.90	Aberdeen.....	92	19	49.2	5.16		
Glendale.....	88	29	51.8	1.76	Marion.....	83	24	52.6	2.70	Academy.....	89	23	51.2	6.04	0.5	
Glenora.....	90	28	52.3	5.43	Mifflintown.....	78	23	51.0	3.49	Alexandria.....	91	24	50.2	2.07		
Gold Beach.....	76	32	51.6	5.71	Milford.....	78	19	46.9	3.40	Armour.....	87	24	51.0	3.98		
Government Camp.....	1.80	Montrose.....	89	24	44.0	3.43	Bowdile.....	87	20	49.4	2.26	8.0		
Granite.....	74	13	43.3	0.70	New Germantown.....	82	23	52.6	2.86	Brookings.....	86	21	48.0	1.40		
Grants Pass.....	94	30	53.5	1.27	Ottsville.....	3.45		Canton.....	89	24	50.2	2.27	T.	
Grass Valley.....	82	23	49.0	0.10	Parker.....	2.22		Castlewood.....	85	22	47.8	1.43	0.3	
Heisler.....	92	23	52.2	0.38	Penmar.....	82	30	55.0	3.73	Centerville.....	90	24	50.8	1.16		
Heppner.....	85	28	53.6	0.36	Philadelphia.....	82	30	55.0	3.73	Chamberlain.....	94	23	52.6	3.91	
Hood River.....	87	30	55.3	0.75	Pocono Lake.....	71	12	42.6	4.26	Cherry Creek.....	88	17	53.7	0.69		
Huntington.....	85	27	53.2	0.08	Point Pleasant.....	3.42		Clark.....	82	23	47.6	3.99	T.	
Jacksonville.....	91	29	53.2	0.98	Pottsville.....	82	26	52.8	4.30	Clear Lake.....	84	20	47.9	1.61		
John Day.....	90	23	51.6	1.33	Reading.....	82	26	52.8	4.30	Desmet.....	87	20	49.3	3.06		
Joseph.....	79	24	45.8	0.49	Renovo.....	2.38		Doland.....	89	19	49.6	5.34		
Kerby.....	89	27	52.4	2.52	Saegerstown.....	81	18	45.4	3.21	Elkpoint.....	92	24	53.0	2.88		
Klamath Falls.....	74	23	47.7	0.35	St. Marys.....	74	20	45.8	3.14	Fairfax.....	90	20	51.4	4.85		
Lagrange.....	84	26	50.2	1.14	Somersett.....	78	24	47.5	3.26	Farmington.....	0.99			
Lakeview.....	80	12	45.9	0.53	South Eaton.....	74	25	47.3	3.67	Faulkton.....	89	18	50.1	3.03		
Lonerock.....	84	23	48.2	0.82	Springdale.....	2.10		Flandreau.....	91	23	48.8	1.00		
McKenzie Bridge.....	93	24	52.0	2.89	Springmount.....	3.74		Forestburg.....	90	19	49.3	2.47		
McMinnville.....	90	35	58.0	1.17	State College.....	75	23	49.4	2.12	Fort Meade.....	85	20	50.6	0.25	T.	
Marble Creek.....	102	24	48.5	0.46	Swarthmore.....	84	28	53.2	3.12	Gann Valley.....	91	19	51.0	2.69		
Marshfield.....	80	30	51.4	2.90	Towanda.....	75	20	46.2	2.61	Grand River School.....	89	22	51.0	1.38		
Meacham.....	1.90	Uniontown.....	83	22	52.2	2.41	Greenwood.....	90	24	54.0	4.33		
Mill City.....	86	27	51.0	2.80	Warren.....	80	19	46.6	2.20	Hermosa.....	89	18	51.0	0.89		
Monroe.....	80	28	53.4	1.93	Wellsboro.....	74	20	45.6	1.17	Highmore.....	90	19	50.5	2.30	1.0	
Mount Angel.....	87	34	55.6	2.25	Westchester.....	84	26	53.0	3.66	Hotch City.....	90	19	52.6	4.61	4.0	
Nehalem.....	4.29	West Newton.....	1.84		Howell.....	90	17	49.1	2.44		
Newport.....	72	35	50.2	3.95	Wilkesbarre.....	76	25	49.0	3.50	Howard.....	1.40			
Odell.....	0.61	Williamsport.....	76	27	50.2	3.22	Ipswich.....	90	18	48.2	4.17	2.0	
Ontario.....	0.70	<i>Rhode Island.</i>	0.2		Kidder.....	80	21	48.0	2.10		
Paisley.....	79	18	47.0	0.44	Bristol.....	66	28	46.6	2.65	Kimball.....	90	22	51.0	2.57		
Pendleton.....	86	27	53.0	0.22	Kingston.....	72	20	45.4	3.72	Leola.....	88	22	47.7	4.73	2.0	
Port Oxford.....	71	36	51.4	5.02	Pawtucket.....	77	31	51.2	2.72	Marion.....	90	24	51.5	1.17		
Prineville.....	85	20	45.8	0.44	Providence.....	75	28	49.7	2.75	Mennno.....	89	25	51.2	1.76		
Prospect.....	77	23	45.2	1.45	<i>South Carolina.</i>	0.74		Milbank.....	82	24	47.2	2.72		
Richland.....	84	25	49.8	0.25	Aiken.....	90	37	65.8	1.85	Mitchell.....	91	23	51.3	2.84		
Riverside.....	89	20	49.7	0.50	Allendale.....	90	39	66.8	0.94	Oelrichs.....	88	22	49.0	2.90		
Salem.....	85	31	54.6	1.58	Anderson.....	90	37	64.2	1.59	Pine Ridge.....	87	15	49.6	2.97		
Silverlake.....	80	12	42.6	0.38	Barksdale.....	87	38	62.0	1.15	Ramsey.....	91	20	49.5	1.67		
Sparta.....	75	25	49.5	0.06	Batesburg.....	90	36	64.8	1.90	Redfield.....	89	19	48.0	2.77		
Stafford.....	88	30	54.3	2.23	Beaufort.....	88	40	66.7	1.43	Rosebud Agency.....	92	18	50.2	2.84	5.0	
The Dalles.....	88	31	56.8	0.11	Bennettsville.....	93	34	65.5	0.46</td							

TABLE II.—*Climatological record of cooperative observers—Continued.*

Stations.	Temperature. (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.		Stations.	Temperature. (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.		Stations.	Temperature. (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.		
	Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.		Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.		Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.	
	Stations.	°	°	°	In.	In.	Stations.	°	°	°	In.	In.	Stations.	°	°	°	In.	In.
Tennessee—Cont'd.							Texas—Cont'd.						Utah—Cont'd.					
Franklin	87	29	61.3	5.47			Hondo	93	49	69.6	2.20		Panquitch	°	°	°	In.	In.
Greeneville	83	27	58.6	3.08			Huntsville	90	43	68.6	0.82		Parowan	76	18	43.8	1.71	
Halls Hill				3.32			Jefferson	84	40	66.2	2.43		Payson				10.0	
Harriman	86	32	60.2	2.83			Junction						Pinto	71	19	42.8	2.15	
Hohenwald	88	22	59.1	3.42			Kaufman	88	44	68.1	2.37		Plateau	71	20	42.0	2.40	17.9
Iron City	88	29	60.8	3.52			Kerrville	86	41	67.2	2.20		Provo	90	27	53.0	3.18	10.0
Jackson	90	31	64.6	1.39			Knickerbocker	91	35	66.8	4.24		Ranch	69	5	41.0	2.55	
Johnsonville	90	28	61.6	3.73			Kopperl						Randolph				1.21	3.0
Jonesboro	88	24	56.3	2.08			Lampasas	88	36	65.3	2.85		Richfield	79	24	48.2	1.24	
Kenton	88	30	62.9	2.48			La Para						Rockville	89	29	58.1	1.38	
Kingston				2.11			Liberty	90	43	68.4	3.30		St. George	94	26	58.0	0.90	
Lafayette	89	25	60.2	4.88			Llano	90	43	69.3	1.11		Salt Air	79	29	48.0	3.57	
Leadvale				1.70			Longlake						San Juan				2.31	
Lewisburg	89	28	62.0	2.07			Longview	88	42	66.3	1.51		Scipio	79	19	46.2	3.07	T.
Loudon				1.65			Luling	86	43	68.6	2.72		Snowville	78	20	44.2	1.51	
Lynnville	84	31	60.2	1.64			Marlin	89	45	68.3	4.68		Soldier Summit	66	18	39.0	0.24	
McGee				2.70			Menardville						Strawberry Valley	63	0	34.8		
McMinnville	89	28	60.8	1.95			Mexia	86	44	66.0	2.44		Sunnyside				4.30	35.0
Maryville	89	30	61.4	2.20			Miami	87	32	59.3	3.36		Theodore	78 ^t	22 ^t	48.8 ^t	0.47	0.5
Milan	88	29	62.6	2.07			Moheopee	88	33	59.6	3.52		Thistle	79	20	50.2		
Monterey	86	24	60.2	3.69			Mount Blanco	84	35	59.1	3.37		Tooele	79	23	48.0	3.17	
Newport	87	30	58.8	2.25			Mount Pleasant	90	39	66.2	2.28		Tropic	72	21	45.0	1.95	10.0
Palmetto	90	28	62.3	2.21			Nacogdoches	85	39	66.6	4.26		Trout Creek	78	20	47.8	1.18	
Pope	92	27	61.8	2.45			Nazareth	82	32	55.0	2.96		Vernal	77	27	48.0	1.52	
Rogersville	88	26	58.8	2.30			New Braunfels	86	46	68.2	2.84		Utah Lake	75	32	49.8	2.10	6.0
Rugby	88	23	57.4	3.35			Panter						Wellington	78 ^t	25 ^t	47.8 ^t	0.70	7.0
Savannah	87	30	62.6	0.53			Paris	90	42	64.9	4.24		Woodruff	70	28	47.2	1.60	14.0
Sevierville	88	27	60.1	2.46			Port Lavaca	87	51	70.4	2.13		Vermont.					
Sewanee	83	28	60.4	2.22			Quanah	89	38	64.0	5.88		Cavendish	78 ^t	15 ^t	42.8 ^t	1.35	8.0
Silver Lake	78	23	54.0	3.54			Rhineland	96	33	62.7	3.75		Chelsea	65	13	38.0	1.11	8.0
Sparta	88	32	59.8	2.46			Riverside						Cornwall	71	20	43.5	0.95	4.0
Springdale	96	24	56.8	2.68			Rock Island	89	35	69.0	2.12		Enosburg Falls	70	14	40.6	2.09	5.7
Springville	89	26	61.0	1.49			Rockland						Jacks onville	72	13	41.0	3.44	9.0
Tazewell				3.32			Rockport	80	56	68.4	3.20		Manchester	67	17	41.8	3.48	8.0
Tellico Plains	87	28	60.3	2.34			Runge						Norwich	70	12	40.4	2.07	6.0
Tracy City	82	27	57.5	2.04			Sabinol	98	45	70.0	2.05		St. Johnsbury	71	9	41.3	0.54	2.5
Trenton	87	27	61.6	3.72			San Marcos	89	43	68.2	1.45		Wells	68	17	41.0	2.12	3.0
Tullahoma	90	29	61.2	1.87			San Saba	89	36	66.7	1.88		Westfield				1.36	7.0
Union City	88	29	61.8	1.89			Santa Gertrude						Woodstock	68	16	41.8	0.96	
Walling				3.50			Seymour	91	37	63.8	2.90		Virginia.					
Waynesboro	87	29	61.1	2.33			Sherman	89	48	67.0	3.25		Arvonia	94	24	57.0	2.57	
Wilderville	85	29	61.8	2.88			Sonora	92	33	64.2	2.30		Ashland	88	28	56.4	2.31	
Yukon	87	31	63.2	1.59			Sugarland	85	44	70.0	2.18		Barbourville	87	28	57.6	3.52	
Texas.							Sulphur Springs	87	43	66.1	3.68		Bigstone Gap	85	27	57.4	4.22	
Albany	91	38	65.6	3.50			Temple	86	44	66.5	4.09		Blacksburg	82	23	52.0	1.73	
Alvin				0.51			Texline						Bristol	86	27	56.8	2.55	
Arthur				4.28			Tyler	90	39	69.0	1.84		Buchanan				1.19	
Austin	84	50	69.8	1.51			Valley Junction	87	42	66.6	2.70		Burke's Garden	76	20	51.0	2.29	
Ballinger	100	42	70.2	2.64			Victoria	87	47	70.3	2.88		Callaville	88	29	60.4	3.06	
Beaumont				4.46			Waco	90	43	68.9	3.46		Charlotteville	89	28	58.8	3.11	
Beeville	87	46	70.6	4.68			Waxahachie	90	40	65.5	1.67		Clarksville				2.66	
Big Spring	88	40	64.2	2.98			Weatherford	89	43	65.4	3.18		Columbia	91	28	56.4	1.56	
Blanco	84	38	63.3	1.91			Wichita Falls						Dale Enterprise	84	27	54.7	2.11	
Boerne	87	40	63.8	2.40			Willspoint	86	42	65.4	3.14		Danville				2.20	
Booth				1.32			Utah.						Dinwiddie	88	22	56.8	3.33	
Bowie	91	45	65.8	2.11			Alpine						Doswell	91	25	56.9	2.56	
Brazoria	85	49 ^t	67.7	0.78			Aneth	82	27	55.7	0.55		Elk Knob	81	28	58.0	2.73	
Brenham	86	48	69.0	2.10			Beaver	74	17	42.6	1.62		Farmville	90	30	54.0	1.33	
Brighton	83	58	71.3	3.30			Black Rock						Fredericksburg	88	26	56.4	2.93	
Brownwood	91	44	67.3	4.41			Black Smith Fork						Grahams Forge	82	24	53.8		
Channing	86	31	57.5	3.26			Castledale	76	12	42.8	2.71		Hampton	84	32	57.8	1.38	
Clarksville</																		

TABLE II.—*Climatological record of cooperative observers—Continued. Late reports for March, 1906.*

Stations.	Temperature. (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.		Stations.	Temperature. (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.		Stations.	Temperature. (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.	
	Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.		Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.		Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.	Rain and melted snow.	Total depth of snow.
<i>Washington—Cont'd.</i>						<i>West Virginia—Cont'd.</i>						<i>Wyoming—Cont'd.</i>					
Cle Elum.....	80	18	48.1	0.12	<i>Ins.</i>	Morgantown.....	83	21	53.6	4.10	<i>Ins.</i>	Clear Creek Cabin.....	66	0	35.5	1.55	15.5
Colville.....	90	21	52.0	0.02		Moundsville.....	86	23	54.4	3.41	T.	Cody.....	71	12	44.6	T.	T.
Conconully.....	80	24	50.8	0.32		New Cumberland.....	89	23	50.4	2.10	T.	Daniel.....	61	1	30.4	0.40	4.0
Coupeville.....	73	36	51.3	0.42		New Martinsville.....	88	25	55.4	3.46		Elk Mountain.....	2.00	21.2	
Crescent.....	78	25	49.4	0.69		Nuttallburg.....	70	26	48.7	1.95		Embar.....	61	20	38.5	1.03	9.0
Cusick.....	85	23	49.0	0.20		Oceana.....	90	25	58.2	2.93	T.	Evanston.....	69	16	39.8	1.45	5.0
Danville.....	84	23	52.0	0.34		Parsons.....	83	18	50.0	5.41	2.0	Experiment Farm.....	1.31	5.0	
Dayton.....	89	27	51.1	0.50		Philippi.....	84	20	52.6	6.16	0.2	Fontenelle.....	69	6	37.9	
Easton.....	0.24	0.2		Pickens.....	79	19	48.3	5.39	2.0	Fort Laramie.....	85	16	47.2	1.05	
East Sound.....	70	26	49.4	0.57		Point Pleasant.....	89	28	59.0	1.36		Fort Washakie.....	75	9	45.9	0.70	
Ellensburg.....	84	20	51.5	0.00		Powellton.....	88	27	57.8	2.63		French Creek.....	72	1	35.8	3.21	25.0
Ephrata.....	87	32	57.0	0.15		Princeton.....	77	20	50.6	4.25	T.	Gillette.....	81	6	45.0	1.60	12.0
Fort Simcoe.....	84	32	56.5	0.05		Romney.....	84	25	56.0	1.75	T.	Granite Canyon.....	68	11	39.9	1.63	14.6
Goldendale.....	85	25	51.2	0.18		Rowlesburg.....	Granite Springs.....	3.58	
Grandmound.....	80 ^c	22 ^c	50.4 ^c	1.14		Ryan.....	89	23	53.0	2.08		Green River.....	77	15	43.9	1.02	8.2
Granite Falls.....	1.88		Smithfield.....	85	21	53.1	3.79		Griggs.....	79	8	46.1	2.00	8.2
Hatton.....	90	22	55.4	0.06		Southside.....	88	25	57.0	2.67		Hatton.....	3.62	36.2	
Horse Heaven.....	0.80		Spencer.....	89	20	52.2	1.20		Hyattville.....	85	15	48.3	
Ilwaco.....	89	34	51.7	2.93		Sutton.....	92	28	55.8	4.24		Kirtley.....	79	16	44.9	1.46	
Kennewick.....	91	29	57.8	T.		Terra Alta.....	77	16	48.8	6.59		Laramie.....	69	10	39.8	1.75	6.4
Kiona.....	89	26	56.6	0.04		Union.....	82	35	57.8	1.78		Leo.....	71	11	40.5	1.30	3.0
Kosmos.....	89	28	54.4	1.44		Upper tract.....	83	20	54.0	2.85	T.	Little Medicine.....	68	10	37.0	1.65	13.0
Lacenter.....	87	28	52.0	2.03		Valley Fork.....	88	22	57.3	2.51		Lolabam Ranch.....	1.31	10.0	
Lakeside.....	82	30	55.2	0.05		Webster Springs.....	88	24	55.6	3.50	2.0	Lusk.....	82	12	45.0	0.30	
Lester.....	81	26	50.3	0.98		Wellsburg.....	79	23	51.3	2.21		Moorecroft.....	86	—10	46.9	1.67	9.0
Lind.....	88	28	54.4	0.07		Weston.....	86	22	52.2	4.42	0.6	Moore.....	76	15	45.5	1.76	4.0
Loomis.....	81	29	57.0	0.15		Wheeling.....	Pathfinder.....	76	19	46.0	1.50	1.5
Lucerne.....	0.01		Williamson.....	88	21	57.2	0.95		Phillips.....	81	12	47.2	3.04	
Merritt.....	0.48		Wisconsin.	Pine Bluff.....	77	19	46.5	2.86	
Metting Ranch.....	93	32	60.4	0.12		Amherst.....	77	18	34.4	1.69		Pinedale.....	69	—1	33.6	0.65	7.0
Mount Pleasant.....	82	22	52.4	2.47		Antigo.....	75	18	46.0	0.15	1.5	Rambler.....	54	8	31.6	2.12	
Moxee.....	87	24	53.7	0.00		Appleton.....	76	17	47.0	2.01	3.0	Rawlins.....	72	15	41.6	1.06	T.
Northport.....	82	19 ^a	48.4 ^a	0.45		Appleton Marsh.....	80	19	48.6	1.57	T.	Saratoga.....	76	16	42.3	1.38	6.9
Odessa.....	89	23	54.6	T.		Ashland.....	76	22	44.8	2.12	T.	Shoshone Canyon.....	78	12	46.4	0.70	3.0
Olga.....	68	30	51.2	0.75		Barron.....	78	20	47.0	2.58	T.	South Pass City.....	69	6	35.0	2.60	26.0
Olympia.....	80	26	51.1	1.41		Beloit.....	78	24	49.2	1.42		Thayne.....	71	5	37.0	1.27	3.9
Pinehill.....	87	28	54.6	0.33		Berlin.....	81	22	47.8	1.12		Thermopolis.....	70	8	42.8	2.45	8.0
Pomeroy.....	91	24	54.4	0.55		Black River Falls.....	1.00	Wells.....	56	—5	31.4	1.37	15.0
Port Townsend.....	71	36	51.6	0.39		Brodhead.....	83	22	51.0	1.42	T.	Wheatland.....	84	22	52.0	1.20	4.0
Pullman.....	86	28	52.9	0.42		Burnett.....	79	25	47.3	1.41	1.0	Wolf.....	79	20	48.2	0.31	T.
Rattlesnake.....	79	26	52.6	0.12		Butternut.....	81	12	45.0	2.26		Yellowstone Pk. (Fount) ^b	62	—2	34.8	
Republic.....	81	20	48.2	0.48		Chilton.....	73	24	47.0	1.32	2.0	Yellowstone Pk. (G. Can.)	62	—10	31.6	0.36	
Rex Creek.....	79	34	54.4	0.10		Chippewa Falls.....	1.38	Yellowstone Pk. (Lake)	59	—8	32.0	1.64	15.0
Ritzville.....	0.20		Downing.....	80	20	47.8	2.25	T.	Yellowstone Pk. (Norris)	66	—8	33.6	
Rock Lake.....	0.20		Eau Claire.....	76	22	49.8	1.52		Yellowstone Pk. (Riv'side)	67	—8	34.2	1.32	10.0
Rosalia.....	85	26	50.4	0.41		Florence.....	71	19	43.2	T.	Yellowstone Pk. (Snake R)	68	—2	36.0	0.50	5.0
Sedro.....	74	31	51.8	1.07		Fond du Lac.....	78	20	46.8	1.99	4.0	Yellowstone Pk. (Soda B.)	68	—5	35.5	1.57	6.5
Snohomish.....	79	28	52.8	1.06		Grand Rapids.....	75	18	48.1	1.40		Yellowstone Pk. (Thumb)	59	—7	29.2	1.47	22.5
Snoqualmie.....	85	29	53.0	1.92		Grand River Locks.....	1.35	2.0		Yellowstone Pk. (Up. B.)	63	—7	33.8	2.62	12.5
Sunnyside.....	84	30	54.6	0.11		Grantsburg.....	76	24	47.4	3.56		<i>Porto Rico.</i>	
Tekoa.....	0.44		Hancock.....	77	18	47.5	2.74	3.0	Adjuntas.....	88	49	68.6	2.35	
Touche.....	90	28	56.8	T.		Harvey.....	82	26	48.8	1.53	0.5	Aguas Buenas.....	2.20	
Trinidad.....	88	30	58.6	0.00		Hayward.....	82	15	46.1	1.15	T.	Aguirre.....	92	65	78.9	0.30	
Twisp.....	86	25	52.0	0.01		Hillsboro.....	78	17	48.6	1.83	2.5	Albonita.....	82	56	69.2	1.85	
Union.....	82	26	51.8	1.64		Koepenick.....	86	10	46.3	1.80		Anasco.....	93	64	77.2	1.97	
Vancouver.....	90	31	55.1	1.63		Lancaster.....	81	23	51.8	1.23	1.0	Arecibo.....	91	57	73.0	8.38	
Vashon.....	71	33	52.0	0.83		Manitowoc.....	70	26	44.0	1.96	1.5	Barros.....	86	58	71.0	
Wahluke.....	89	31	57.4	0.00		Manusha.....	77	18	47.5	2.74	3.0	Bayamon.....	93	60	75.2	9.24	
Waterville.....	80	26	51.0	0.00		Minocqua.....	75	9	42.0	0.75	2.0	Caguas.....	9				

TABLE II.—*Climatological record of cooperative observers. Late reports for March, 1906—Continued.*

Stations.	Temperature. (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.			Temperature. (Fahrenheit.)			Precipita- tion.	
	Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.				Maximum.	Minimum.	Mean.		
<i>Alaska—Cont'd.</i>											
Fort Egbert.....	51	-11	28.4	2.19	11.0		Beaullieu.....	53	-25	17.0	Ins. 6.0
Kenai.....	48	1	26.5	1.24	10.2		Nebraska.				
Summit.....	40	-3	22.0	1.04	12.0		West Point.....	68	-5	29.8	1.05 7.5
Udakta.....	50	7	31.9	12.19			New Hampshire.				
<i>Arizona.</i>							Jefferson Highland.....				
Alpine.....	91	29	59.8	2.24	14.4		New Mexico.				
Parker.....				0.89			Rociada.....				
<i>California.</i>							Ohio.				
Bakersfield.....	81	26	55.4	1.70			Nellie.....	60	-2	30.6	3.81 28.0
Imperial.....	103	36	62.2	0.35			South Dakota.				
San Miguel Island.....					9.07		Whitehorse.....	62	-25	22.0	0.45 4.5
Sneddens Ranch.....					8.50		Texas.				
Tejon.....	77	31	54.2	4.37			Waco.....	90	27	57.0	2.95
Upper Lake.....	80	29	50.0	5.94			Utah.				
Weldon.....					4.24		Farmington.....	60	15	38.6	25.8
<i>Colorado.</i>							Durbin.....	58	8	32.3	2.92 26.5
Canon City.....	72	-1	36.5	3.28	8.0		Valley Fork.....	70	8	38.8	5.74 8.8
Gleneyre.....	67	-13	28.6	2.69	16.0		Wisconsin.				
Grand Valley.....	69	-5	39.8	3.57	18.0		Medford.....				
Idaho Springs.....	62	-17	29.9	2.50	19.0		Wyoming.				
Lake Moraine.....	48	-7	23.0	3.04	38.0		Alcova.....	65	-21	25.2	1.99 14.3
<i>Florida.</i>							Basin.....	62	-42	17.8	0.82 8.0
Plant City.....	90	35	65.4	2.61			Lusk.....				
<i>Illinois.</i>							Fort Laramie.....				
Philo.....	54	-13	29.1	4.38	24.0		Thermopolis.....	68	-23	21.9	2.70 24.0
<i>Iowa.</i>							Yellowstone Park, Lake.....	58	-28	19.8	2.95 24.5
Iowa City.....	54	3	27.4	2.25	6.7		Yellowstone Park, Thimble.....	48	-39	18.6	2.69 26.0
<i>Maine.</i>							Yellowstone Park, Nicaragua.....	53	-47	14.4	4.45 44.0
Oquosoc.....	49	-19	16.8	2.14	25.5		Bluefields.....	89	72	81.0	2.30

EXPLANATION OF SIGNS.

* Extremes of temperature from observed readings of dry thermometer.

A numeral following the name of a station indicates the hours of observation from which the mean temperature was obtained, thus:

¹ Mean of 7 a. m. + 2 p. m. + 9 p. m. + 9 p. m. + 4.

² Mean of 8 a. m. + 8 p. m. + 2.

³ Mean of 7 a. m. + 7 p. m. + 2.

⁴ Mean of 6 a. m. + 6 p. m. + 2.

⁵ Mean of 7 a. m. + 2 p. m. + 2.

⁶ Mean of readings at various hours reduced to true daily mean by special tables.

The absence of a numeral indicates that the mean temperature has been obtained from daily readings of the maximum and minimum thermometers.

An italic letter following the name of a station, as "Livingston *a*," "Livingston *b*," indicates that two or more observers, as the case may be, are reporting from the same station. A small roman letter following the name of a station, or in figure columns, indicates the number of days missing from the record; for instance, "s" denotes 14 days missing.

No note is made of breaks in the continuity of temperature records when the same do not exceed two days. All known breaks of whatever duration, in the precipitation record receive appropriate notice.

TABLE III.—Wind resultants, from observations at 8 a. m. and 8 p. m., daily, during the month of April, 1906.

Stations.	Component direction from—						Resultant.		Stations.	Component direction from—						Resultant.	
	N.	S.	E.	W.	Direction from—	Duration.	N.	S.	E.	W.	Direction from—	Duration.					
New England.										North Dakota.							
Eastport, Me.	25	20	11	15	n. 39 w.	6	Moorhead, Minn.	23	21	16	16	n.	2				
Portland, Me.	25	19	6	22	n. 69 w.	17	Bismarck, N. Dak.	22	13	19	23	n. 24 w.	10				
Concord, N. H.†	18	2	9	9	n.	16	Devil's Lake, N. Dak.	20	19	18	17	n. 45 e.	1				
Northfield, Vt.	24	26	4	18	s. 82 w.	14	Williston, N. Dak.	23	19	17	17	n.	4				
Boston, Mass.	23	19	11	23	n. 72 w.	13	Upper Mississippi Valley.	7	11	10	10	s.	4				
Nantucket, Mass.	19	23	11	20	s. 66 w.	10	Minneapolis, Minn.*	18	22	20	12	s. 63 e.	9				
Block Island, R. I.	17	23	11	27	s. 69 w.	17	St. Paul, Minn.	8	12	5	8	s. 37 w.	5				
Providence, R. I.	21	17	13	24	n. 70 w.	12	La Crosse, Wis.†	11	24	15	21	s. 25 w.	14				
Hartford, Conn.	32	15	7	22	n. 42 w.	23	Madison, Wis.	21	22	16	19	s. 72 w.	3				
New Haven, Conn.	26	15	10	22	n. 47 w.	16	Charles City, Iowa	19	20	11	23	s. 85 w.	12				
Middle Atlantic States.							Davenport, Iowa	18	22	18	19	s. 14 w.	4				
Albany, N. Y.	28	15	4	25	n. 39 w.	25	Des Moines, Iowa	16	25	15	22	s. 38 w.	11				
Binghamton, N. Y.†	15	3	6	13	n. 30 w.	14	Dubuque, Iowa	13	24	20	18	s. 10 e.	11				
New York, N. Y.	24	15	11	25	n. 57 w.	17	Keokuk, Iowa	14	32	18	11	s. 21 e.	19				
Harrisburg, Pa.	24	11	14	28	n. 47 w.	19	Cairo, Ill.	8	9	10	13	s. 72 w.	3				
Philadelphia, Pa.	29	17	6	23	n. 55 w.	21	La Salle, Ill.†	14	24	18	19	s. 6 w.	10				
Scranton, Pa.†	30	9	14	19	n. 13 w.	22	Peoria, Ill.	11	23	19	21	s. 9 w.	12				
Atlantic City, N. J.	22	21	8	26	n. 87 w.	18	Hannibal, Mo.†	8	8	11	9	e.	2				
Cape May, N. J.	18	23	9	21	s. 67 w.	13	St. Louis, Mo.	11	22	25	16	s. 39 e.	14				
Baltimore, Md.	28	12	7	27	n. 51 w.	26	Missouri Valley.	6	10	9	27 e.	4					
Washington, D. C.	23	15	11	26	n. 62 w.	17	Columbia, Mo. *	14	27	15	s. 32 e.	15					
Cape Henry, Va.*	3	18	8	6	s. 8 e.	15	Kansas City, Mo.	9	28	21	s. 15 e.	20					
Lynchburg, Va.	20	17	14	26	n. 76 w.	12	Springfield, Mo.	5	12	11	s. 30 e.	8					
Mount Weather, Va.	29	11	8	32	n. 53 w.	30	Iola, Kans.†	3	13	7	s. 11 w.	10					
Norfolk, Va.	18	29	11	14	s. 15 w.	11	Topeka, Kans.*	19	23	12	s. 70 e.	12					
Richmond, Va.	18	24	13	17	s. 34 w.	7	Lincoln, Nebr.	22	21	17	n. 79 e.	5					
Wytheville, Va.	17	11	4	42	n. 81 w.	38	Omaha, Neb.	28	18	13	n. 17 w.	10					
South Atlantic States.							Sioux City, Iowa.†	8	11	9	s. 34 e.	4					
Asheville, N. C.	29	12	12	23	n. 33 w.	20	Pierre, S. Dak.	22	21	22	n. 83 e.	8					
Charlotte, N. C.	15	26	12	22	s. 42 w.	15	Huron, S. Dak.	21	21	21	13	e.	8				
Hatteras, N. C.	16	21	6	31	s. 79 w.	26	Yankton, S. Dak.†	7	8	11	11	s.	1				
Raleigh, N. C.	14	22	10	28	s. 69 w.	19	Northern Slope.	23	9	5	33	n. 63 w.	31				
Wilmington, N. C.	12	25	16	20	s. 17 w.	14	Havre, Mont.	19	17	13	23	n. 79 w.	10				
Charleston, S. C.	12	25	16	20	s. 54 w.	9	Miles City, Mont.	15	16	8	33	s. 88 w.	25				
Columbia, S. C.	18	23	12	19	s. 18 w.	15	Helena, Mont.	17	10	11	34	n. 73 w.	24				
Augusta, Ga.	18	21	11	26	s. 79 w.	15	Kalispell, Mont.	22	11	14	26	n. 47 w.	16				
Savannah, Ga.	12	26	15	23	s. 30 w.	16	Rapid City, S. Dak.	32	12	4	21	n. 40 w.	26				
Jacksonville, Fla.	17	23	21	14	s. 49 e.	9	Cheyenne, Wyo.	13	28	13	23	s. 34 w.	18				
Florida Peninsula.							Yellowstone Park, Wyo.	17	27	3	28	s. 68 w.	27				
Jupiter, Fla.	12	26	22	13	s. 33 e.	17	North Platte, Nebr.	23	18	20	18	n. 22 e.	5				
Key West, Fla.	18	10	38	2	n. 77 e.	37	Middle Slope.	24	22	11	11	n.	2				
Sand Key, Fla.†	12	5	18	1	n. 68 e.	18	Denver, Colo.	26	1	18	26	n. 18 w.	26				
Tampa, Fla.	27	5	24	18	n. 15 e.	23	Pueblo, Colo.	17	22	16	17	s. 11 w.	5				
Middle Gulf States.							Concordia, Kans.	17	22	16	20	s. 18 w.	13				
Atlanta, Ga.	12	17	15	28	s. 69 w.	14	Dodge, Kans.	12	24	16	20	s. 18 w.	13				
Macon, Ga.†	12	10	7	12	n. 68 w.	5	Wichita, Kans.	12	29	17	14	s. 10 e.	17				
Thomasville, Ga.†	9	8	7	10	n. 72 w.	3	Oklahoma, Okla.	11	37	6	17	s. 23 w.	28				
Pensacola, Fla.†	12	9	10	6	n. 53 e.	5	Southern Slope.	15	32	17	10	s. 22 e.	18				
Anniston, Ala.	17	24	18	12	s. 47 e.	9	Abilene, Tex.	13	28	17	17	s. 82 e.	15				
Birmingham, Ala.†	9	8	10	10	n.	1	Amarillo, Tex.	7	9	18	3	s. 45 w.	17				
Mobile, Ala.	22	29	10	17	s. 45 w.	10	Del Rio, Tex.†	14	26	10	22	n. 22 e.	17				
Montgomery, Ala.	12	26	15	15	s.	14	Roswell, N. Mex.	14	26	10	22	n. 18 w.	10				
Meridian, Miss.†	10	13	7	8	s. 18 w.	3	Southern Plateau.	17	8	23	26	n. 18 w.	10				
Vicksburg, Miss.	9	29	19	14	s. 14 e.	21	El Paso, Tex.	16	23	19	23	s. 36 e.	9				
New Orleans, La.	17	25	26	4	s. 70 e.	23	Santa Fe, N. Mex.	16	23	18	28	n. 84 w.	20				
Western Gulf States.							Flagstaff, Ariz.	20	18	8	28	n. 25	...				
Shreveport, La.	11	28	25	18	s. 22 e.	18	Phoenix, Ariz.	10	10	25	25				
Fort Smith, Ark.	6	18	26	16	s. 40 e.	16	Yuma, Ariz.	13	20	13	26	s. 62 w.	15				
Little Rock, Ark.	11	25	21	15	s. 23 e.	15	Independence, Cal.	27	13	12	23	n. 38 w.	18				
Corpus Christi, Tex.	6	23	41	3	s. 66 e.	42	Reno, Nev.	14	12	11	35	n. 85 w.	24				
Fort Worth, Tex.	14	30	17	14	s. 11 e.	16	Winnebago, Nev.	26	11	25	19	n. 22 e.	16				
Galveston, Tex.	9	27	36	8	s. 57 e.	33	Modena, Utah.	13	13	16	30	w.	14				
Palestine, Tex.	16	30	21	6	s. 47 e.	20	Salt Lake City, Utah.	21	16	19	20	n. 11 w.	5				
San Antonio, Tex.	16	18	40	3	s. 87 e.	37	Durango, Colo.	21	14	8	29	n. 72 w.	22				
Taylor, Tex.†	10	15	10	0	s. 63 e.	11	Grand Junction, Colo.	19	13	24	16	n. 53 e.	10				
Ohio Valley and Tennessee.							Northern Plateau.	20	30	13	12	s. 6 e.	10				
Chattanooga, Tenn.	19	17	9	25	s. 83 w.	16	Baker City, Oreg.	20	30	13	12	s. 6 e.	10				
Knoxville, Tenn.	19	18	10	27	s. 87 w.	17	Boise, Idaho.	19	18	16	21	n. 79 w.	5				
Memphis, Tenn.	13	29	19	18	s. 3 e.	16	Lewiston, Idaho.†	2	3	19	6	s. 86 e.	13				
Nashville, Tenn.	19	20	13	20	s. 82 w.	7	Pocatello, Idaho.	7	26	1							

TABLE IV.—Accumulated amounts of precipitation for each 5 minutes, for storms in which the rate of fall equaled or exceeded 0.25 in any 5 minutes, or 0.75 in 1 hour, during April, 1906, at all stations furnished with self-registering gages.

Stations.	Date.	Total duration.		Total amount of precipitation.	Excessive rate.		Amount before excessive began.	Depths of precipitation (in inches) during periods of time indicated.													
		From—	To—		Began—	Ended—		5 min.	10 min.	15 min.	20 min.	25 min.	30 min.	35 min.	40 min.	45 min.	50 min.	60 min.	80 min.	100 min.	120 min.
Albany, N. Y.	14-15	2	3	1.01	5	6	7														*
Alpena, Mich.	8-9			0.90																	0.17
Amarillo, Tex.	3-4			1.33																	0.26
Asheville, N. C.	13-14			1.44																	0.38
Atlanta, Ga.	9	10:00 a. m.	12:05 p. m.	0.58	11:15 a. m.	11:25 a. m.	0.22	0.05	0.30												
Atlantic City, N. J.	9			1.24																	0.26
Augusta, Ga.	27-28			0.50																	0.33
Baltimore, Md.	8-9			2.00																	0.32
Binghamton, N. Y.	14-15			0.77																	0.30
Birmingham, Ala.	9			0.68																	0.46
Bismarck, N. Dak.	25-26			0.46																	0.12
Block Island, R. I.	9-10			1.23																	0.25
Boise, Idaho.	1			0.76																	*
Boston, Mass.	15			0.43																	0.23
Buffalo, N. Y.	9-10			0.78																	0.19
Cairo, Ill.	30	10:15 a. m.	12:40 p. m.	0.67	11:02 a. m.	11:17 a. m.	0.01	0.21	0.48	0.55											0.12
Charles City, Iowa	28			0.28																	
Charleston, S. C.	14-15	9:05 p. m.	6:00 a. m.	0.84	9:08 p. m.	9:23 p. m.	T.	0.34	0.44	0.50											0.34
Charlotte, N. C.	14			0.57																	
Chattanooga, Tenn.	9	3:34 a. m.	7:42 a. m.	1.07	5:21 a. m.	6:02 a. m.	0.05	0.11	0.18	0.24	0.30	0.45	0.50	0.57	0.73						*
Cheyenne, Wyo.	7			1.33																	
Chicago, Ill.	28	2:23 p. m.	3:28 p. m.	0.32	3:12 p. m.	3:22 p. m.	0.01	0.18	0.30												
Cincinnati, Ohio.	5			0.43																	0.14
Cleveland, Ohio.	5-6			0.45																	0.14
Columbia, Mo.	12-13			0.82																	0.26
Columbia, S. C.	29	12:02 p. m.	1:50 p. m.	0.82	12:12 p. m.	12:32 p. m.	0.01	0.15	0.35	0.61	0.61	0.69									0.17
Columbus, Ohio.	29			0.18																	
Concord, N. H.	9-10			0.65																	*
Corpus Christi, Tex.	13	6:33 a. m.	9:02 a. m.	1.81	6:41 a. m.	7:56 a. m.	0.02	0.11	0.32	0.43	0.56	0.65	0.73	0.86	0.89	0.90	0.90	1.03	1.70		
Davenport, Iowa	28			0.46																	
Denver, Colo.	26-27			2.18																	*
Des Moines, Iowa	7-8			0.90																	0.53
Detroit, Mich.	8-9			0.68																	0.13
Dodge, Kans.	12			0.53																	0.47
Dubuque, Iowa	28			0.30																	0.16
Duluth, Minn.	8-9			0.60																	
Eastport, Me.	23-24			0.90																	
Elkins, W. Va.	9	4:30 p. m.	5:50 p. m.	0.47	4:46 p. m.	5:00 p. m.	0.01	0.17	0.31	0.40											0.19
Erie, Pa.	9-10			0.32																	0.34
Escanaba, Mich.	14			0.36																	*
Evansville, Ind.	8	7:30 p. m.	8:20 p. m.	0.66	7:35 p. m.	8:20 p. m.	T.	0.35	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	0.66			0.37	
Fort Smith, Ark.	30			0.91																	
Fort Worth, Tex.	12	3:43 p. m.	4:40 p. m.	0.50	4:00 p. m.	4:21 p. m.	0.01	0.10	0.31	0.38											0.07
Galveston, Tex.	13			0.14																	0.15
Grand Rapids, Mich.	25-26			0.28																	*
Green Bay, Wis.	8-9			1.05																	0.23
Hannibal, Mo.	7-8			0.95																	0.57
Harrisburg, Pa.	14-15			2.00																	0.35
Hartford, Conn.	9-10			1.99																	
Hatteras, N. C.																					
Huron, S. Dak.	27-28			0.79																	0.17
Indianapolis, Ind.	8			1.13																	0.40
Iola, Kans.	27	4:40 p. m.	5:09 p. m.	0.37	4:59 p. m.	5:08 p. m.	0.02	0.14	0.35												
Jacksonville, Fla.	14-15			0.28																	0.23
Jupiter, Fla.	13			0.54																	0.53
Kansas City, Mo.	27	6:01 p. m.	7:10 p. m.	0.67	6:22 p. m.	6:49 p. m.	0.02	0.09	0.22	0.36	0.43	0.51	0.61								0.28
Key West, Fla.	29			0.28																	
Knoxville, Tenn.	9			0.77																	0.62
La Crosse, Wis.	23-29			0.24																	0.09
La Salle, Ill.	8			0.45																	0.14
Lexington, Ky.	14			0.61																	0.29
Lincoln, Nebr.	27			0.63																	0.46
Little Rock, Ark.	20-21			0.50																	0.19
Los Angeles, Cal.	5			0.38																	0.26
Louisville, Ky.	8			0.65																	0.36
Lynchburg, Va.	14	7:30 p. m.	D. N.	1.38	8:14 p. m.	8:32 p. m.	0.30	0.21	0.34	0.47											
Macon, Ga.	9			0.35																	0.33
Madison, Wis.	8			0.43																	0.09
Memphis, Tenn.	12-13			0.47																	0.24
Meridian, Miss.	28	5:21 p. m.	6:39 p. m.	1.05	5:23 p. m.	5:38 p. m															

TABLE IV.—Accumulated amounts of precipitation for each 5 minutes, etc.—Continued.

Stations.	Date.	Total duration.		Total amount of precipitation.	Excessive rate.		Amount before excessive began.	Depths of precipitation (in inches) during periods of time indicated.													
		From—	To—		Began—	Ended—		5 min.	10 min.	15 min.	20 min.	25 min.	30 min.	35 min.	40 min.	45 min.	50 min.	60 min.	80 min.	100 min.	120 min.
San Antonio, Tex.	13	2 a.m.	3 a.m.	0.58	3:34 a.m.	3:50 a.m.	0.01	0.09	0.40	0.55	0.15
San Diego, Cal.	5-6	0.49	0.22	...
Sandusky, Ohio	14	0.57	0.37	...
San Francisco, Cal.	23	0.51	0.10	...
Savannah, Ga.	14	6:55 p.m.	9:30 p.m.	1.12	7:30 p.m.	7:55 p.m.	0.01	0.26	0.58	0.67	0.83	0.91	0.36	...
Scranton, Pa.	14-15	1.79	0.13	...
Seattle, Wash.	8-9	0.20	0.20	...
Shreveport, La.	13	0.46	0.39	...
Spokane, Wash.	8	0.16	0.19	...
Springfield, Ill.	8	0.86	0.46	...
Springfield, Mo.	12-13	1.04	0.42	...
Syracuse, N. Y.	9-10	1.19	0.09	...
Tampa, Fla.	12	4:34 p.m.	6:30 p.m.	0.77	4:36 p.m.	5:01 p.m.	0.02	0.09	0.17	0.31	0.45	0.53	0.15	...
Taylor, Tex.	16-17	0.59	0.17	...
Toledo, Ohio	13-14	0.42	0.46	...
Topeka, Kans.	12	0.82	0.42	...
Valentine, Nebr.	30	0.63	0.30	...
Vicksburg, Miss.	28	12:09 p.m.	4:00 p.m.	2.03	12:12 p.m.	12:57 p.m.	0.01	0.13	0.30	0.50	0.63	0.70	0.79	0.94	1.05	1.10
Washington, D. C.	9	4:00 a.m.	10:00 p.m.	1.53	7:43 p.m.	7:54 p.m.	1.07	0.22	0.31	0.36
Wichita, Kans.	12	3:45 p.m.	5:09 p.m.	0.65	4:10 p.m.	4:30 p.m.	0.10	0.22	0.32	0.43	0.51	0.09	...
Williston, N. Dak.	11-12	0.53	0.10	...
Wilmington, N. C.	9	0.18	0.14	...
Wytheville, Va.	14-15	0.52	0.30	...
Yankton, S. Dak.	30	1.16
San Juan, Porto Rico.	3-4	3:52 p.m.	D. N.	5.19	4:06 p.m.	5:44 p.m.	0.01	0.16	0.27	0.42	0.68	0.72	0.77	0.93	1.02	1.02	1.03	1.05	1.39	1.68	...
					4:34 p.m.	8:24 p.m.	1.98	0.14	0.37	0.57	0.77	0.86	1.10	1.24	1.39	1.51	1.74	1.89	2.24	2.45	...

* Self-register not working

TABLE V.—Data furnished by the Canadian Meteorological Service, April, 1906.

Stations.	Pressure, in inches.			Temperature.			Precipitation.			Stations.	Pressure, in inches.			Temperature.			Precipitation.				
	Actual, reduced to mean of 24 hours.	Sea level, reduced to mean of 24 hours.	Departure from normal.	Mean.	Departure from normal.	Mean maximum.	Total.	Departure from normal.	Mean.		Actual, reduced to mean of 24 hours.	Sea level, reduced to mean of 24 hours.	Departure from normal.	Mean.	Departure from normal.	Mean maximum.	Mean minimum.	Total.	Departure from normal.	Mean.	
St. Johns, N. F.	Ins.	Ins.	Ins.	o	o	o	o	o	o	Parry Sound, Ont.	Ins.	Ins.	Ins.	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	
Sydney, C. B. I.	29.81	29.95	+.06	34.0	-0.5	40.5	27.5	4.81	+.05	Port Arthur, Ont.	29.31	30.01	-.01	41.2	+	3.6	51.4	30.9	1.49	-0.42	0.5
Halifax, N. S.	29.93	29.97	+.08	34.5	-0.5	41.4	27.5	4.72	+.08	Winnipeg, Man.	29.11	29.94	-.08	47.0	+	11.1	60.3	33.6	1.64	+.09	0.0
Grand Manan, N. B.	29.85	29.96	-.00	38.9	+.1	46.5	31.4	8.38	+.20	Minnedosa, Man.	28.12	29.94	-.07	46.1	+	10.1	60.4	31.8	0.40	-0.66	2.8
Yarmouth, N. S.	29.85	29.90	-.04	39.0	-.02	45.1	33.0	3.15	+.19	Qu'Appelle, Assin.	27.65	29.90	-.09	45.1	+	7.7	57.6	32.6	1.40	+.035	5.6
Charlottetown, P. E. I.	29.91	29.95	+.05	35.1	-.01	41.9	28.4	6.10	+.345	Medicine Hat, Assin.	27.64	29.92	-.06	50.6	+	6.1	66.2	34.9	0.23	-0.51	0.0
Chatham, N. B.	29.91	29.93	+.03	37.4	+.1	48.1	26.7	4.32	+.169	Swift Current, Assin.	27.33	29.90	-.06	47.7	+	6.4	62.6	32.8	0.72	-0.21	3.2
Father Point, Que.	29.92	29.94	+.01	33.5	+.03	40.6	26.3	2.69	+.11	Calgary, Alberta.	26.40	29.94	+.04	45.5	+	5.9	61.1	29.9	0.37	-0.27	0.4
Quebec, Que.	29.62	29.95	-.04	35.8	+.07	43.8	27.9	2.03	-.06	Edmonton, Alberta.	25.36	29.96	+.06	42.4	+	7.1	55.1	29.7	0.32	-0.76	0.5
Montreal, Que.	29.75	26.96	-.04	42.0	+.2	42.3	50.0	33.9	1.92	Prince Albert, Sask.	27.60	29.88	-.01	48.8	+	8.9	63.1	34.5	0.44	-0.44	0.0
Rockliffe, Ont.	29.38	30.00	-.02	40.4	+.2	51.8	29.1	0.34	-.122	Battleford, Sask.	28.30	29.86	-.12	43.9	+	7.8	55.5	31.3	0.76	-0.07	1.0
Ottawa, Ont.	29.62	29.95	-.07	43.2	+.3	53.6	32.9	0.80	-.70	Kamloops, B. C.	28.20	29.94	-.03	47.4	+	10.2	61.3	33.6	0.31	-0.16	2.8
Kingston, Ont.	29.69	30.01	-.01	40.9	+.09	49.4	32.5	1.89	+.10	Victoria, B. C.	30.01	30.11	+.10	50.9	+	4.1	59.8	42.1	0.46	-1.91	0.0
Toronto, Ont.	29.63	30.02	-.00	44.7	+.3	53.6	35.7	1.77	-.60	Barkerville, B. C.	25.70	30.03	+.17	38.9	+	5.8	49.1	28.7	2.38	+.06	6.8
White River, Ont.	28.66	29.99	-.05	37.4	+.4	49.2	25.5	0.67	-.58	Hamilton, Bermuda.	29.93	30.10	+.10	63.4	—	0.5	68.2	58.7	3.32	-0.86	...
Port Stanley, Ont.	29.39	30.05	+.03	43.2	+.2	53.3	33.1	1.88	-.59	Dawson, Yukon
Saugeen, Ont.	29.32	30.04	+.01	41.7	+.3	50.8	32.6	2.10	+.30	2.5

TABLE VI.—Heights of rivers referred to zeros of gages, April, 1906.

Stations.	Distance to mouth of river.	Flood stage on gage.	Highest water.		Lowest water.		Mean stage.	Monthly range.	Stations.	Distance to mouth of river.	Flood stage on gage.	Highest water.		Lowest water.		Mean stage.	
			Height.	Date.	Height.	Date.						Height.	Date.	Height.	Date.		
<i>Milk River.</i>	<i>Miles.</i>	<i>Feet.</i>	<i>Feet.</i>		<i>Feet.</i>				<i>Cumberland River.</i>	<i>Miles.</i>	<i>Feet.</i>	<i>Feet.</i>		<i>Feet.</i>		<i>Feet.</i>	
Havre, Mont.	237	9	4.4		14	3.3	26, 28, 29	3.9	Burnside, Ky.	518	50	25.7		2.7		30	8.2
<i>Yellowstone River.</i>									Celina, Tenn.	383	45	34.0		3.9		30	12.4
Billings, Mont.	330	8	2.2	25, 26	0.3	5-7	1.1	1.9	Carthage, Tenn.	308	40	32.4		3.7		30	11.9
<i>Cheyenne River.</i>									Nashville, Tenn.	193	40	34.4		9.5		30	17.8
Rousseau, S. Dak.	7	9	4.7		— 0.2	28, 29	1.0	4.9	Clarksville, Tenn.	126	42	38.8		8.2		27	21.2
<i>James River.</i>									<i>Powell River.</i>								
Lamoure, N. Dak.	330	14	1.9	13	— 1.3	30	0.2	3.2	Tazewell, Tenn.	44	20	4.8		1.0		30	2.5
Huron, S. Dak.	139	9	8.9	1	2.5	25, 27	4.4	6.4	<i>Cinch River.</i>	156	20	3.6		0.1		30	1.4
<i>Big Blue River.</i>									Speers Ferry, Va.	52	25	10.6		5.0		30	8.0
Beatrice, Nebr.	92	14	4.0	1	2.3	19-26	2.6	1.7	Clinton, Tenn.								
Blue Rapids, Kans.	47	14	8.2	30	5.5	20, 28	6.4	2.7	<i>South Fork Holston River.</i>								
<i>Republican River.</i>									Bluff City, Tenn.	35	15	4.0	15, 16	1.2	28-30	2.0	2.8
Clay Center, Kans.	42	18	9.3	30	6.9	26-28	7.5	2.4	<i>Holston River.</i>								
Beloit, Kans.	75	16	2.4	9	1.0	22	1.6	1.4	Mendota, Va.	165	12	5.3		1.2	28-30	2.2	4.1
<i>Smoky Hill River.</i>									Rogersville, Tenn.	103	14	5.8		2.3		29, 29	3.5
Lindsborg, Kans.	109	20	2.6	26	1.3	24	1.8	1.3	<i>French Broad River.</i>								
Abilene, Kans.	45	22	1.7	13, 14	1.0	25, 26	1.3	0.7	Asheville, N. C.	144	6	3.2	15	0.5	12, 13, 25-29	1.0	2.7
<i>Kansas River.</i>									Leadville, Tenn.	70	15	5.0		0.7		30	2.2
Manhattan, Kans.	116	18	5.6	10	3.8	26-28	4.6	1.8	Dandridge, Tenn.	46	15	6.0		1.6	28-30	2.4	4.4
Topeka, Kans.	87	21	10.5	10	7.3	27	8.4	3.2	<i>Little Tennessee River.</i>								
<i>Osage River.</i>									McGhee, Tenn.	17	20	7.7		4.1	26, 27, 30	5.0	3.6
Bagnell, Mo.	70	28	10.4	1	3.0	30	5.4	7.4	Charleston, Tenn.	18	22	6.8		2.4		26	3.7
<i>Gasconade River.</i>									<i>Tennessee River.</i>								
Arlington, Mo.	98	16	4.8	15	0.6	29	1.9	4.2	Knoxville, Tenn.	635	29	8.1		2.2		30	4.0
<i>Missouri River.</i>									Loudon, Tenn.	590	25	6.5		2.7		30	3.9
Townsend, Mont.	2,504	11	5.0	26, 27	4.0	4-8	4.3	1.0	Kingston, Tenn.	556	25	7.6		2.9		30	4.8
Fort Benton, Mont.	2,285	12	2.2	27-30	1.2	20	1.7	1.0	Chattanooga, Tenn.	452	38	14.8		5.2		28	8.0
Wolfpoint, Mont.	1,952	17	2.5	1	1.6	23, 24, 27	2.0	0.9	Bridgeport, Ala.	402	24	12.0		3.3		28	6.2
Bismarck, N. Dak.	1,309	14	12.9	2	0.6	26	2.0	12.3	Guntersville, Ala.	349	31	18.8		6.4		29	10.6
Pierre, S. Dak.	1,114	14	6.5	6	1.6	30	2.9	4.9	Florence, Ala.	255	16	11.8	2,3	3.6		29	6.6
Sioux City, Iowa.	784	19	12.6	8	7.2	27	8.5	5.4	Riverton, Ala.	225	26	19.3		6.0		30	10.8
Blair, Nebr.	705	15	11.3	9	6.3	26, 27	8.1	5.0	Johnsonville, Tenn.	95	21	22.0		6.6		28	12.6
Omaha, Nebr.	669	18	13.1	10	9.4	29	10.8	3.7	<i>Ohio River.</i>								
Plattsmouth, Nebr.	641	17	7.8	10	4.0	27, 28	5.7	3.8	Pittsburg, Pa.	966	22	18.8		4.5		26	9.2
St. Joseph, Mo.	481	10	9.1	11	4.0	30	6.3	5.1	Davis Island Dam, Pa.	960	25	18.1		6.8		26	10.3
Kansas City, Mo.	388	21	17.0	11	10.5	27, 28, 30	13.4	6.5	Beaver Dam, Pa.	925	27	26.5		8.7		25, 26	14.3
Glasgow, Mo.	231	18	14.4	12	8.5	30	11.6	5.9	Wheeling, W. Va.	875	36	27.5		9.0		25, 27	19.3
Boonville, Mo.	199	20	16.2	12, 13	10.3	30	13.4	5.9	Parkersburg W. Va.	785	36	30.0		11.1		21, 26	21.6
Hermann, Mo.	103	24	16.3	14	9.7	30	13.3	6.6	Point Pleasant, W. Va.	708	35	37.3		9.5		26	20.2
<i>Minnesota River.</i>									Huntington, W. Va.	660	50	42.6		13.8		27	25.0
Mankato, Minn.	127	18	9.1	17	6.1	30	7.5	3.0	Catlettsburg, Ky.	651	50	43.9		13.0		27	25.4
<i>St. Croix River.</i>									Portsmouth, Ohio.	612	50	47.4		13.7		27	26.8
Stillwater, Minn. (°)	23	11	13.8	18	8.2	4	12.1	5.6	Maysville, Ky.	559	50	46.3		14.1		28	7.0
<i>Chippewa River.</i>									Cincinnati, Ohio.	499	50	50.2		14.1		29	30.3
Chippewa Falls, Wis.	75	16	11.5	15	4.2	30	7.8	7.3	Madison, Ind.	413	46	43.0		14.1		29	26.6
<i>Red Cedar River.</i>									Louisville, Ky.	367	28	26.3		6.4		30	19.9
Cedar Rapids, Iowa.	77	14	8.2	1	4.1	30	5.5	4.1	Evansville, Ind.	184	35	41.1		13.6		30	28.4
<i>Des Moines River.</i>									Mount Vernon, Ind.	148	35	41.3		13.8		30	27.5
Des Moines, Iowa.	205	19	9.4	1	4.5	30	6.7	4.9	Paducah, Ky.	47	40	40.5		17.7		30	32.5
<i>Illinois River.</i>									Cairo, Ill.	1	45	46.9		29.7		30	41.5
La Salle, Ill.	197	18	19.7	1, 11, 12	15.5	30	18.0	4.2	<i>St. Francis River.</i>								
Peoria, Ill.	135	14	15.5	1-3, 15, 16	13.0	30	14.8	2.5	Marked Tree, Ark.	104	17	16.5	16, 17	15.1		1	15.9
Beardstown, Ill.	70	12	15.6	10, 11	12.9	30	14.7	2.7	<i>Neosho River.</i>	326	22	15.8		1.6		2-4	4.7
<i>Clarion River.</i>									Iola, Kans.	262	10	4.6	11, 15	0.4		20	1.9
Clarion, Pa.	32	10	7.7	11	2.3	29, 30	3.9	5.4	Oswego, Kans.	184	20	8.5		1.0		25, 26	3.2
<i>Conemaugh River.</i>									Fort Gibson, Ind. T.	3	22	18.2		12.6	12, 13	14.0	5.6
Johnstown, Pa.	64	7	6.2	1	1.0	28-30	3.2	5.2	<i>Canadian River.</i>								
<i>Allegheny River.</i>									Calvin, Ind. T.	99	10	5.5		2.3		28, 29	3.4
Warren, Pa.	177	14	6.2	1	2.0	30	3.8	4.2	<i>Black River.</i>	67	12	24.1		12.0		30	19.3
Franklin, Pa.	114	15	8.2	1	2.4	30	4.8	5.8	<i>White River.</i>								
Parker, Pa.	73	20	8.8	1	2.2	30	5.0	6.6	Calicorock, Ark.	272	15	13.3		3.5		30	7.1
Freeport, Pa.	29	20	16.4	1	4.8	30	9.1	11.6	Batesville, Ark.	217	18	17.6		5.7		30	9.8
Springdale, Pa.	17	27	20.1	1	8.7	30	12.8	11.4	Newport, Ark.	185	26	29.0		13.8		30	22.1
<i>Cheat River.</i>									Clarendon, Ark.	75	30	33.1		26.2	1	29.5	6.9
Rowlesburg, W. Va.	36	14	10.1	26	3.0	21, 24	4.3	7.1	<i>Arkansas River.</i>								
<i>Youghiogheny River.</i>									Wichita, Kans.	832	10	1.2		0.4		26-29	0.2
Confluence, Pa.	59	10	5.8	1	1.9	30	3.2	3.9	Tulsa, Ind. T.	551	16	5.3		3.1		4	3.9
West Newton, Pa.	15	23	9.3	1	2.4	30	4.4	6.9	Webbers Falls, Ind. T.	465	23	9.2	9, 10	6.2		26-29	7.8
<i>Monongahela River.</i>									Fort Smith, Ark.	403	22	12.7		14		30	8.7
Weston, W. Va.	161	18	9.0	26	0.0	1, 1-25, 30	1.1	9.0	Dardanelle, Ark.	256	21	13.0		5.3		29	9.3
Fairmont, W. Va.	119	25	23.8	26	15.3	25	17.1	8.5	<i>Yazoo River.</i>	176	23	17.8		7.2		30	11.6
Greensburg, Pa.	81	18	22.0	26	8.3	25	10.6	13.7	Greenwood, Miss.	175	38	21.3		9		30	18.7
Lock No. 4, Pa.	40	28	25.8	27	8.6	26	12.5	17.2	Yazoo City, Miss.	80	25	22.6	27, 29	16.3		1	20.0
<i>Beaver River.</i>									Camden, Ark.	304	39	31.9		7.0		30	18.0
Ellwood Junction, Pa.	10	14	5.4	1	2.9	30	3.7	2.5	Monroe, La.	122	40	30.3	20-22	25.0		1	28.6
<i>Muskingum River.</i>									<i>Red River.</i>								
Zanesville, Ohio.	70	25	22.0	1	9.1	24, 25	11.8	12.9	Denison, Tex. (°)	768	22	9.3		0.2		4	3.4
Beverly, Ohio.	20	25	21.2	1	6.1	25	9.3	15.1	Arthur City, Tex.	688	27	18.0		2.2		10.4	10.8
<i>Little Kanawha River.</i>									Fulton, Ark.	515	28	22.5		11		29	17.9
Glenville, W. Va.	77	20	9.0	15	1.4	20, 30	2.8	7.6	Garrison, Ark.	327	29	15.5	12, 13	10.0		30	13.4
Creston, W. Va.	38	20	9.8	16	3.3	25	4.7	6.5	Alexandria, La.	118	33	24.0		17		29, 30	22.0
<i>New River.</i>									<i>Mississippi River.</i>								
Radford, Va.	155	14	3.5	1	0.9	— 7, 8	1.4	2.6	Fort Ripley, Minn. (°)	2,082	10	9.9		7		3	8.8
Hinton, W. Va.	95	14	6.3	1	2.5	26, 27, 30	3.6	3.8	St. Paul, Minn.	1,964	14	12.0		7.2		2	10.4
<i>Great Kanawha River.</i>									Red Wing, Minn.	1,914	14	11.0	18-20	4.5		1	6.5
Charleston, W. Va.	58	30	13.0	16	5.0	26	7.4	8.0	Reeds Landing, Minn.	1,884	12	10.1		4.0		1	8.3
<i>Scioto River.</i>									La Crosse, Wis.	1,819	12	11.5	20, 21	8.7		1	

TABLE VI.—Heights of rivers referred to zeros of gages—Continued.

Stations.	Distance to mouth of river.	Flood stage on gage.	Highest water.		Lowest water.		Mean stage	Monthly range	Stations.	Distance to mouth of river.	Flood stage on gage.	Highest water.		Lowest water.		Mean stage	Monthly range
			Height.	Date.	Height.	Date.						Height.	Date.	Height.	Date.		
			Feet.	Feet.	Feet.	Feet.	Feet.	Feet.				Feet.	Feet.	Feet.	Feet.	Feet.	Feet.
<i>Mississippi River—Cont'd.</i>																	
Grafton, Ill.	1,306	23	18.3	15	16.9	4-6	17.6	1.4	Moncure, N. C.	171	25	14.9	1	8.5	14, 21-30	9.1	6.4
St. Louis, Mo.	1,264	30	26.2	15	21.1	30	23.8	5.1	Cape Fear River.	112	38	35.5	1	4.4	30	10.1	31.1
Chester, Ill.	1,189	30	22.7	1, 16	18.0	30	20.8	4.7	Fayetteville, N. C.	40	7	5.0	1	2.0	29	3.7	3.0
Cape Girardeau, Mo.	1,128	28	27.6	2	22.1	30	25.6	5.5	Waccamaw River.								
New Madrid, Mo.	1,003	34	37.0	9-12	24.7	30	33.4	12.3	Conway, S. C.								
Luxora, Ark.	905	33	31.3	14, 15	20.4	30	27.9	10.9	Pedee River.								
Memphis, Tenn.	843	33	37.1	16	26.0	30	33.5	11.1	Cheraw, S. C.	149	27	22.0	1	2.9	27, 28	5.7	19.1
Helena, Ark.	767	42	47.0	18, 19	36.0	1	43.4	11.0	Smiths Mills, S. C.	51	16	14.4	7	5.5	30	10.8	8.9
Arkansas City, Ark.	635	42	50.0	22	39.4	1	46.8	10.6	Lynch Creek.								
Greenville, Miss.	595	42	44.9	23, 24	33.1	1	41.0	11.8	Effingham, S. C.								
Vicksburg, Miss.	474	45	47.2	26	35.5	1	43.2	11.7	Black River.								
Natchez, Miss.	373	46	46.7	29, 30	35.9	1	42.5	10.8	Kingstree, S. C. (4)	45	12	9.4	7, 8	3.3	29, 30	6.4	6.1
Baton Rouge, La.	240	35	34.9	30	26.6	1, 2	31.0	8.3	Catawba River.								
Donaldsonville, La.	188	28	27.3	30	20.9	1	24.3	6.4	Mount Holly, N. C.	28	15	3.8	1	2.0	21-27, 30	2.3	1.8
New Orleans, La.	108	16	17.1	30	13.4	1	15.4	3.7	Walteree River.								
<i>Atchafalaya River.</i>									Camden, S. C.	37	24	18.8	2	6.7	30	9.8	12.1
Simmesport, La.	127	33	39.5	30	30.9	1	35.4	8.6	Broad River.								
Melville, La.	103	31	35.9	30	31.1	1	33.6	4.8	Blairs, S. C.	36	14	4.5	1, 16	1.4	30	2.4	3.1
Morgan City, La.	19	8	5.0	26	2.8	17	3.8	2.2	Saluda River.								
<i>Grand River.</i>									Chappells, S. C.	56	14	7.6	1	3.9	23, 24, 27	5.0	3.7
Eaton Rapids, Mich.	166	6	4.1	14-17	3.6	27-30	3.9	0.5	Congaree River.								
Lansing, Mich.	140	11	6.9	16	2.7	29	4.5	4.2	Columbia, S. C.	52	15	6.0	1	1.5	9, 23	2.4	4.5
Grand Ledge, Mich. (4)	129	6	5.0	15	2.1	30	3.4	2.9	Santee River.								
Portland, Mich.	103	12	5.4	15-17	2.9	29, 30	4.0	2.5	St. Stephens, S. C.	50	12	9.1	1	6.2	30	8.2	2.9
Ionia, Mich.	81	24	16.0	16, 17	9.4	30	12.4	6.6	Edisto River.								
Lowell, Mich.	65	19	9.7	17, 18	4.8	29, 30	6.9	4.9	Edisto, S. C.	75	6	4.7	3	2.2	30	3.6	2.5
Grand Rapids, Mich.	38	11	6.7	18	2.2	30	4.2	4.5	Broad River.								
<i>Sandusky River.</i>									Carlton, Ga.	30	11	4.2	1	2.7	23-26	3.1	1.5
Tiffin, Ohio.	65	8	4.0	1	0.5	26-30	1.3	3.5	Savannah River.								
<i>Maumee River.</i>									Calhoun Falls, S. C.	347	15	4.5	15	2.9	26, 27	3.5	1.6
Napoleon, Ohio.	44	13	5.5	1	0.1	28	1.6	5.4	Augusta, Ga.	268	32	15.8	1	8.8	25-27	10.5	7.0
<i>Penobscot River.</i>									Milledgeville, Ga.	147	25	7.7	1	3.6	25, 26, 28	4.7	4.1
Mattawamkeag, Me. (15)	87	..	18.5	17	15.5	22	16.0	3.0	Dublin, Ga.	79	30	10.0	2	0.9	29	3.7	9.1
West Enfield, Me. (3)	60	..	12.0	20	5.8	3	9.0	6.2	<i>Ocmulgee River.</i>								
<i>Kennebec River.</i>									Macon, Ga.	203	18	9.2	1	3.2	28	4.8	6.0
Winslow, Me.	46	8	5.9	16, 17	3.7	28	4.9	2.2	Abbeville, Ga.	96	11	11.0	3	3.7	29, 30	6.8	7.3
<i>Merrimac River.</i>									<i>Flint River.</i>								
Franklin Junction, N. H.	110	13	16.1	16	5.3	1	7.5	10.8	Woodbury, Ga.	227	10	2.5	1	0.5	29	1.1	2.0
Concord, N. H.	94	10	7.0	16	2.0	9, 10, 29, 30	3.4	5.0	Montezuma, Ga.	152	20	11.8	1	3.4	30	5.9	8.4
Manchester, N. H.	68	8	6.1	17	1.8	3	3.2	4.3	Albany, Ga.	90	20	10.4	3	1.9	30	4.9	8.5
<i>Connecticut River.</i>									Bainbridge, Ga.	29	22	11.0	4, 5	3.9	30	7.0	7.1
Wells River, Vt. (7)	255	..	29.5	18	24.0	14	26.8	5.5	<i>Chattahoochee River.</i>								
Whiteriver Junction, Vt.	209	..	17.3	16	7.2	4	11.1	10.1	Oakdale, Ga.	305	18	5.6	1	3.2	17, 18	4.0	2.4
Bellows Falls, Vt.	170	12	9.2	16	2.4	11	4.9	6.8	West Point, Ga.	239	20	6.4	1, 2	3.1	28	4.2	3.3
Holyoke, Mass.	84	9	8.8	17	2.6	4	4.9	6.2	Eufaula, Ala.	90	40	8.5	1, 4	3.5	30	5.5	5.0
Hartford, Conn.	50	13	19.8	17	7.9	5	12.6	11.9	Alaga, Ala.	30	25	14.1	1	5.2	30	8.2	8.9
<i>Housatonic River.</i>									<i>Cosco River.</i>								
Gaylordsville, Conn.	44	15	8.1	16	5.3	29	6.4	2.8	Rome, Ga.	266	30	10.2	1	2.9	27	4.6	7.3
<i>Mohawk River.</i>									Gadsden, Ala.	162	22	14.3	1	3.0	28	5.9	11.3
Utica, N. Y.	98	6	9.4	16	2.3	30	6.1	7.1	Lock No. 4, Ala.	113	17	12.1	1	2.7	29, 30	5.3	9.4
Tribeshill, N. Y.	42	12	8.0	16	1.5	30	3.7	6.5	Wetumpka, Ala.	12	45	32.9	1	6.4	29	12.5	26.5
Schenectady, N. Y.	19	15	10.8	16	1.5	30	4.6	9.3	<i>Tallapoosa River.</i>								
<i>Hudson River.</i>									Milstead, Ala.	42	35	11.2	1	3.2	29, 30	5.4	8.0
Glen Falls, N. Y.	197	20	7.8	18-21	4.9	12	6.3	2.9	<i>Alabama River.</i>								
Troy, N. Y.	154	14	11.9	16, 17	6.1	29	7.9	5.8	Montgomery, Ala.	323	35	34.5	1	3.7	30	10.3	30.8
Albany, N. Y.	147	12	11.6	16	4.0	5	7.1	7.6	Selma, Ala.	246	35	43.4	1	5.8	30	15.4	37.6
<i>Pompton River.</i>									<i>Black Warrior River.</i>								
Pompton Plains, N. J.	6	8	6.1	10	4.5	29, 30	4.9	1.6	Tuscaloosa, Ala.	90	43	49.0	1	6.8	30	16.8	42.2
<i>Passaic River.</i>									<i>Tombigbee River.</i>								
Chatham, N. J.	69	7	5.3	16	2.8	9, 23, 29, 30	3.7	2.5	Columbus, Miss.	316	33	18.3	1, 2	2.0	28, 29	3.5	20.3
<i>Lehigh River.</i>									Vienna, Ala.	246	42	30.2	4	1.8	30	10.7	28.4
Mauch Chunk, Pa.	45	15	11.3	15	4.5	27-30	5.6	6.8									

TABLE VI.—Heights of rivers referred to zeros of gages—Continued.

Stations.	Distance to mouth of river.	Flood stage on gage.	Highest water.		Lowest water.		Mean stage.	Monthly range.	Stations.	Distance to mouth of river.	Flood stage on gage.	Highest water.		Lowest water.		Mean stage.	Monthly range.
			Height.	Date.	Height.	Date.						Height.	Date.	Height.	Date.		
<i>Kootenai River.</i> Bonners Ferry, Idaho.....	Miles. 123	Feet. 24	Feet. 13.1	25	Feet. 0.2	1	Feet. 6.3	12.9	<i>Columbia River—Cont'd.</i> The Dalles, Oreg.....	Miles. 166	Feet. 40	Feet. 16.8	27, 28	Feet. 9.8	1	Feet. 12.5	7.0
Pend d'Oreille River.									Willamette River.								
Newport, Wash.....	86	14	4.5	30	— 0.4	1, 2	1.8	4.9	Albany, Oreg.....	118	20	7.0	24	3.5	19-21	4.5	3.5
Snake River.									Salem, Oreg.....	84	20	6.0	24	2.2	20, 21	3.1	3.8
Lewiston, Idaho.....	144	24	10.2	24, 25	7.0	7, 8	8.5	3.2	Portland, Oreg.....	12	15	9.5	28	5.6	1	7.2	3.9
Riparia, Wash.....	67	30	10.2	25	7.4	7, 8	8.5	2.8	Sacramento River.								
<i>Columbia River.</i>									Red Bluff, Cal.....	201	23	25.0	1	6.0	26, 27, 30	8.5	19.0
Wenatchee, Wash.....	473	40	17.1	30	6.0	1	10.8	11.1	Sacramento, Cal.....	64	25	27.4	12	21.4	29, 30	23.6	6.0
Umatilla, Oreg.....	270	25	11.1	26, 27	5.8	5	8.2	5.3									

Figures after names of stations indicate number of days frozen. (a) 4 days missing. (b) 2 days missing. (c) 9 days missing.

Honolulu, T. H., latitude 21° 19' north, longitude 157° 52' west; barometer above sea, 38 feet; gravity correction, —.057, applied. April, 1906.

Day.	Pressure.*		Air temperature.				Moisture.				Wind.				Precipitation.		Clouds.						
	8 a. m.	8 p. m.	8 a. m.	8 p. m.	Maximum.	Minimum.	Wet.	Relative humidity.	Wet.	Relative humidity.	8 a. m.	8 p. m.	Direction.	Velocity.	8 a. m.	8 p. m.	Amount.	Kind.	8 a. m.	8 p. m.	Amount.	Kind.	Direction.
1	29.98	30.00	73.4	72.0	77	67	68.3	77	67.2	78	ne.	42	ne.	4	0.00	0.00	2	6	se.	se.	few.	Cu.	0
2	30.01	30.00	75.4	72.3	82	65	67.2	65	68.0	80	e.	4	se.	42	0.00	0.00	few.	0	Cu.	0	few.	S.-cu.	0
3	30.05	30.06	72.5	73.6	78	67	66.2	72	67.2	72	e.	3	ne.	5	0.00	0.00	few.	0	Cu.	0	1	S.-cu.	0
4	30.10	30.04	74.0	71.2	77	70	67.6	72	66.2	77	ne.	15	n.	18	0.00	T.	2	2	Cu.	e.	1	S.-cu.	n.
5	30.08	30.05	72.1	72.8	78	70	67.0	77	63.5	68	ne.	10	ne.	8	T.	T.	9	9	S.-cu.	e.	12	S.-cu.	ne.
6	30.06	30.06	73.6	72.5	79	70	64.6	61	64.4	65	e.	13	e.	9	0.00	T.	4	4	S.-cu.	e.	1	S.-cu.	e.
7	30.13	30.14	75.2	72.0	79	68	64.1	54	66.1	73	ne.	11	e.	11	0.00	T.	1	1	Cu.	e.	5	S.-cu.	e.
8	30.14	30.14	74.5	72.3	79	69	64.5	58	63.8	63	ne.	11	e.	9	0.03	0.00	1	S.-cu.	e.	2	S.-cu.	e.	
9	30.14	30.10	75.4	72.2	79	70	64.1	54	64.9	68	ne.	9	ne.	13	0.00	0.00	1	S.-cu.	e.	1	S.-cu.	e.	
10	30.08	30.03	75.0	71.9	79	69	63.4	60	64.4	67	e.	7	e.	4	0.00	0.00	1	Cu.	e.	1	S.-cu.	0	
11	30.06	30.03	75.2	72.0	79	66	65.2	58	65.3	70	ne.	3	e.	7	0.00	0.00	1	S.-cu.	e.	1	S.-cu.	e.	
12	30.07	30.06	76.7	73.0	80	69	66.3	57	64.0	61	e.	3	ne.	9	0.00	0.00	1	S.-cu.	e.	1	S.-cu.	e.	
13	30.10	30.11	74.0	73.6	80	69	68.9	78	67.0	71	e.	6	ne.	9	0.01	0.01	2	4	Ci.-s.	w.	9	S.-cu.	e.
14	30.11	30.09	74.7	73.8	79	70	66.1	63	65.8	65	e.	4	ne.	11	T.	T.	8	S.-cu.	e.	3	S.-cu.	e.	
15	30.11	30.07	72.5	71.8	78	68	67.0	75	66.3	75	ne.	10	ne.	4	0.03	T.	2	S.-cu.	e.	5	S.-cu.	e.	
16	30.08	30.05	75.3	73.1	80	70	66.0	61	67.0	73	ne.	5	ne.	9	T.	T.	1	S.-cu.	e.	8	N.	e.	
17	30.10	30.16	75.9	73.4	81	72	65.8	58	65.9	67	e.	12	e.	2	T.	0.00	3	Ci.-s.	w.	2	S.-cu.	e.	
18	30.13	30.10	76.2	74.2	80	70	66.4	60	66.5	66	n.	8	ne.	4	0.00	T.	1	Ci.-s.	w.	9	S.-cu.	e.	
19	30.07	30.05	74.5	72.2	78	70	66.3	66	65.3	69	ne.	11	ne.	15	T.	0.00	2	S.-cu.	e.	1	S.-cu.	0	
20	30.08	30.07	70.0	70.3	74	67	66.2	82	63.3	68	e.	9	ne.	11	0.07	0.01	5	S.-cu.	ne.	2	S.-cu.	ne.	
21	30.09	30.11	73.3	72.2	78	69	63.7	59	65.5	70	ne.	17	e.	12	T.	T.	few.	S.-cu.	e.	3	N.	e.	
22	30.14	30.12	75.0	73.4	78	70	67.5	68	65.8	67	ne.	11	ne.	7	0.01	T.	6	S.-cu.	e.	4	S.-cu.	e.	
23	30.15	30.12	75.3	73.6	80	72	66.6	63	66.0	68	e.	10	no.	13	0.00	T.	1	Cu.	e.	4	S.-cu.	e.	
24	30.11	30.08	75.7	73.4	80	70	68.4	69	67.0	71	se.	9	n.	3	T.	0.00	7	S.-cu.	e.	4	S.-cu.	0	
25	30.10	30.09	75.4	74.0	81	70	66.5	62	67.2	70	n.	5	ne.	10	0.00	0.00	1	S.-cu.	e.	5	S.-cu.	e.	
26	30.10	30.10	75.9	73.3	81	72	67.0	62	67.0	72	ne.	8	se.	4	T.	T.	2	Ci.-s.	w.	3	S.-cu.	e.	
27	30.09	30.08	76.0	73.9	81	71	66.6	61	66.4	67	e.	11	ne.	5	0.01	0.00	7	Ci.-s.	w.	1	Ci.-cu.	w.	
28	30.07	30.04	72.0	73.3	79	70	67.5	79	68.0	76	ne.	7	ne.	3	T.	T.	9	S.-cu.	se.	1	Cu.	sw.	
29	30.06	30.01	76.0	72.0	80	68	68.0	66	66.2	74	ne.	6	ne.	17	0.00	0.00	1	Cu.	e.	1	S.-cu.	e.	
30	30.02	30.00	71.0	67.9	75	65	61.8	59	59.1	59	n.	16	n.	8	0.00	0.00	3	Ci.-cu.	w.	few.	S.-cu.	0	
31	Mean...	30.07	74.4	72.6	79.0	69.1	66.2	65.2	65.7	69.7	ne.	8.5	ne.	8.2	0.16	0.02	3.9	S.-cu.	e.	3.3	S.-cu.	e.	

Observations are made at 8 a. m. and 8 p. m., local standard time, which is that of 157° 30' west, and is 5^h 30^m slower than 75th meridian time. *Pressure values are reduced to sea level and standard gravity.

APRIL, 1906.

Northeastern division.....	25	24	8.39	5.95

<tbl